

## Appendix A. Included Studies

- Addley HC, Griffin N, Shaw AS, et al. Accuracy of hepatocellular carcinoma detection on multidetector CT in a transplant liver population with explant liver correlation. *Clin Radiol.* 2011 Apr;66(4):349-56. PMID: 21295772.
- Ahn SS, Kim M-J, Lim JS, et al. Added value of gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MR imaging in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology.* 2010 May;255(2):459-66. PMID: 20413759.
- Akai H, Kiryu S, Matsuda I, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma by Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced liver MRI: comparison with triple phase 64 detector row helical CT. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Nov;80(2):310-5. PMID: 20732773.
- Alabdouly A, Inoue T, Hatanaka K, et al. Usefulness of combination of imaging modalities in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma using Sonazoid(R)-enhanced ultrasound, gadolinium diethylene-triamine-pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, and contrast-enhanced computed tomography. *Oncology.* 2011;81 Suppl 1:66-72. PMID: 22212939.
- An C, Park MS, Kim D, et al. Added value of subtraction imaging in detecting arterial enhancement in small (<3 cm) hepatic nodules on dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in patients at high risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:924-30. PMID: 23138382.
- An C, Park M-S, Jeon H-M, et al. Prediction of the histopathological grade of hepatocellular carcinoma using qualitative diffusion-weighted, dynamic, and hepatobiliary phase MRI. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Aug;22(8):1701-8. PMID: 22434421.
- Baccarani U, Adani GL, Avellini C, et al. Comparison of clinical and pathological staging and long-term results of liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in a single transplant center. *Transplant Proc.* 2006 May;38(4):1111-3. PMID: 16757280.
- Back CK, Choi JY, Kim KA, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: a comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and multiphasic MDCT. *Clin Radiol.* 2012 Feb;67(2):148-56. PMID: 21920517.
- Bartolozzi C, Donati F, Cioni D, et al. MnDPDP-enhanced MRI vs dual-phase spiral CT in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis. *Eur Radiol.* 2000;10(11):1697-702. PMID: 11097390.
- Bennett GL, Krinsky GA, Abitbol RJ, et al. Sonographic detection of hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules in cirrhosis: correlation of pretransplantation sonography and liver explant pathology in 200 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Jul;179(1):75-80. PMID: 12076908.
- Bhattacharjya S, Bhattacharjya T, Quaglia A, et al. Liver transplantation in cirrhotic patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma: an analysis of pre-operative imaging, explant histology and prognostic histologic indicators. *Dig Surg.* 2004;21(2):152-9; discussion 9-60. PMID: 15166485.
- Burrel M, Llovet JM, Ayuso C, et al. MRI angiography is superior to helical CT for detection of HCC prior to liver transplantation: an explant correlation. *Hepatology.* 2003 Oct;38(4):1034-42. PMID: 14512891.
- Catala V, Nicolau C, Vilana R, et al. Characterization of focal liver lesions: comparative study of contrast-enhanced ultrasound versus spiral computed tomography. *Eur Radiol.* 2007 Apr;17(4):1066-73. PMID: 17072617.
- Cereser L, Furlan A, Bagatto D, et al. Comparison of portal venous and delayed phases of gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging study of cirrhotic liver for the detection of contrast washout of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2010;34(5):706-11.
- Chalasani N, Horlander JC, Sr., Said A, et al. Screening for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with advanced cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1999 Oct;94(10):2988-93. PMID: 10520857.
- Chen MH, Wu W, Yang W, et al. The use of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography in the selection of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma for radio frequency ablation therapy. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine.* 2007;26(8):1055-63. PMID: 17646367.
- Chen Y-K, Hsieh D-S, Liao C-S, et al. Utility of FDG-PET for investigating unexplained serum AFP elevation in patients with suspected hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. *Anticancer Res.* 2005 Nov-Dec;25(6C):4719-25. PMID: 16334166.
- Cheung TT, Chan SC, Ho CL, et al. Can positron emission tomography with the dual tracers [11C]acetate and [18F]fluodeoxyglucose predict microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma? *Liver Transpl.* 2011 Oct;17(10):1218-25. PMID: 21688383.
- Cheung TT, Ho CL, Lo CM, et al. 11C-acetate and 18F-FDG PET/CT for clinical staging and selection of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma for liver transplantation on the basis of milan criteria: Surgeon's perspective. *J Nucl Med.* 2013;54(2):192-200. PMID: 23321459.
- Choi D, Kim SH, Lim JH, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: combined T2-weighted and dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MRI versus combined CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2001 Sep-Oct;25(5):777-85. PMID: 11584240.
- Choi SH, Lee JM, Yu NC, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in liver transplantation candidates: detection with gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008 Aug;191(2):529-36. PMID: 18647927.
- Chou C-T, Chen R-C, Chen W-T, et al. Characterization of hyperintense nodules on T1-weighted liver magnetic resonance imaging: comparison of Ferucarbotran-enhanced

- MRI with accumulation-phase FS-T1WI and gadolinium-enhanced MRI. *J Chin Med Assoc.* 2011 Feb;74(2):62-8. PMID: 21354082.
- Chung J, Yu J-S, Kim DJ, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver: diffusion-weighted imaging versus superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MRI. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2011 Nov;29(9):1235-43. PMID: 21907517.
- Chung S-H, Kim M-J, Choi J-Y, et al. Comparison of two different injection rates of gadoxetic acid for arterial phase MRI of the liver. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010 Feb;31(2):365-72. PMID: 20099350.
- Colagrande S, Farnoli R, Dal Pozzo F, et al. Value of hepatic arterial phase CT versus lipiodol ultrafluid CT in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2000 Nov-Dec;24(6):878-83. PMID: 11105704.
- Dai Y, Chen MH, Fan ZH, et al. Diagnosis of small hepatic nodules detected by surveillance ultrasound in patients with cirrhosis: Comparison between contrast-enhanced ultrasound and contrast-enhanced helical computed tomography. *Hepatol Res.* 2008 Mar;38(3):281-90. PMID: 17908168.
- de Ledinghen V, Laharie D, Lecesne R, et al. Detection of nodules in liver cirrhosis: spiral computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging? A prospective study of 88 nodules in 34 patients. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2002 Feb;14(2):159-65. PMID: 11981340.
- Delbeke D, Martin WH, Sandler MP, et al. Evaluation of benign vs malignant hepatic lesions with positron emission tomography. *Arch Surg.* 1998 May;133(5):510-5; discussion 5-6. PMID: 9605913.
- Denecke T, Grieser C, Froeling V, et al. Multislice computed tomography using a triple-phase contrast protocol for preoperative assessment of hepatic tumor load in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma before liver transplantation. *Transpl Int.* 2009 Apr;22(4):395-402. PMID: 19000231.
- Di Martino M, De Filippis G, De Santis A, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients: prospective comparison of US, CT and MR imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:887-96. PMID: 23179521.
- Di Martino M, Marin D, Guerrisi A, et al. Intraindividual comparison of gadoxetate disodium-enhanced MR imaging and 64-section multidetector CT in the Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *Radiology.* 2010 Sep;256(3):806-16. PMID: 20720069.
- D'Onofrio M, Rozzanigo U, Masinielli BM, et al. Hypoechoic focal liver lesions: characterization with contrast enhanced ultrasonography. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2005 May;33(4):164-72. PMID: 15856516.
- Doyle DJ, O'Malley ME, Jang H-J, et al. Value of the unenhanced phase for detection of hepatocellular carcinomas 3 cm or less when performing multiphase computed tomography in patients with cirrhosis. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2007 Jan-Feb;31(1):86-92. PMID: 17259838.
- Eckel F, Herrmann K, Schmidt S, et al. Imaging of proliferation in hepatocellular carcinoma with the in vivo marker 18F-fluorothymidine. *J Nucl Med.* 2009 Sep;50(9):1441-7. PMID: 19690030.
- Forner A, Vilana R, Ayuso C, et al. Diagnosis of hepatic nodules 20 mm or smaller in cirrhosis: Prospective validation of the noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma.[Erratum appears in *Hepatology.* 2008 Feb;47(2):769]. *Hepatology.* 2008 Jan;47(1):97-104. PMID: 18069697.
- Freeman RB, Mithoefer A, Ruthazer R, et al. Optimizing staging for hepatocellular carcinoma before liver transplantation: A retrospective analysis of the UNOS/OPTN database. *Liver Transpl.* 2006 Oct;12(10):1504-11. PMID: 16952174.
- Freney PC, Grossholz M, Kaakaji K, et al. Significance of hyperattenuating and contrast-enhancing hepatic nodules detected in the cirrhotic liver during arterial phase helical CT in pre-liver transplant patients: radiologic-histopathologic correlation of explanted livers. *Abdom Imaging.* 2003 May-Jun;28(3):333-46. PMID: 12719903.
- Furuse J, Maru Y, Yoshino M, et al. Assessment of arterial tumor vascularity in small hepatocellular carcinoma. Comparison between color doppler ultrasonography and radiographic imagings with contrast medium: dynamic CT, angiography, and CT hepatic arteriography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2000 Oct;36(1):20-7. PMID: 10996754.
- Gaiani S, Celli N, Piscaglia F, et al. Usefulness of contrast-enhanced perfusional sonography in the assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma hypervasculat at spiral computed tomography. *J Hepatol.* 2004 Sep;41(3):421-6. PMID: 15336445.
- Gambarin-Gelwan M, Wolf DC, Shapiro R, et al. Sensitivity of commonly available screening tests in detecting hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2000 Jun;95(6):1535-8. PMID: 10894592.
- Giorgio A, De Stefano G, Coppola C, et al. Contrast-enhanced sonography in the characterization of small hepatocellular carcinomas in cirrhotic patients: comparison with contrast-enhanced ultrafast magnetic resonance imaging. *Anticancer Res.* 2007 Nov-Dec;27(6C):4263-9. PMID: 18214030.
- Giorgio A, Ferraioli G, Tarantino L, et al. Contrast-enhanced sonographic appearance of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: Comparison with contrast-enhanced helical CT appearance. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004;183(5):1319-26. PMID: 15505297.
- Golfieri R, Marini E, Bazzocchi A, et al. Small (<or=3 cm) hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: the role of double contrast agents in MR imaging vs. multidetector-row CT. *Radiol Med (Torino).* 2009 Dec;114(8):1239-66. PMID: 19697104.
- Goshima S, Kanematsu M, Matsuo M, et al. Nodule-in-nodule appearance of hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison of gadolinium-enhanced and ferumoxides-

- enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2004 Aug;20(2):250-5. PMID: 15269950.
- Goto E, Masuzaki R, Tateishi R, et al. Value of post-vascular phase (Kupffer imaging) by contrast-enhanced ultrasonography using Sonazoid in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol.* 2012;47(4):477-85. PMID: 22200940.
- Guo L, Liang C, Yu T, et al. 3 T MRI of hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis: does T2-weighted imaging provide added value? *Clin Radiol.* 2012 Apr;67(4):319-28. PMID: 22099524.
- Haradome H, Grazioli L, Tinti R, et al. Additional value of gadoxetic acid-DTPA-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MR imaging in the diagnosis of early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with dynamic triple-phase multidetector CT imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2011 Jul;34(1):69-78. PMID: 21598343.
- Hardie AD, Kizziah MK, Boulter DJ. Diagnostic accuracy of diffusion-weighted MRI for identifying hepatocellular carcinoma with liver explant correlation. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2011 Aug;55(4):362-7. PMID: 21843170.
- Hardie AD, Kizziah MK, Rissing MS. Can the patient with cirrhosis be imaged for hepatocellular carcinoma without gadolinium?: Comparison of combined T2-weighted, T2\*-weighted, and diffusion-weighted MRI with gadolinium-enhanced MRI using liver explantation standard. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2011 Nov-Dec;35(6):711-5. PMID: 22082541.
- Hardie AD, Nance JW, Boulter DJ, et al. Assessment of the diagnostic accuracy of T2\*-weighted MR imaging for identifying hepatocellular carcinoma with liver explant correlation. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Dec;80(3):e249-52. PMID: 21112710.
- Hatanaka K, Kudo M, Minami Y, et al. Differential diagnosis of hepatic tumors: value of contrast-enhanced harmonic sonography using the newly developed contrast agent, Sonazoid. *Intervirology.* 2008;51 Suppl 1:61-9. PMID: 18544950.
- Hecht EM, Holland AE, Israel GM, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver: gadolinium-enhanced 3D T1-weighted MR imaging as a stand-alone sequence for diagnosis. *Radiology.* 2006 May;239(2):438-47. PMID: 16641353.
- Hidaka M, Takatsuki M, Okudaira S, et al. The expression of transporter OATP2/OATP8 decreases in undetectable hepatocellular carcinoma by Gd-EOB-MRI in the explanted cirrhotic liver. *Hepatology International.* 2012;1:7.
- Higashihara H, Osuga K, Onishi H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of C-arm CT during selective transcatheter angiography for hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with intravenous contrast-enhanced, biphasic, dynamic MDCT. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Apr;22(4):872-9. PMID: 22120061.
- Hirakawa M, Yoshimitsu K, Irie H, et al. Performance of radiological methods in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma preoperatively in a recipient of living related liver transplantation: comparison with step section histopathology. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2011 Feb;29(2):129-37. PMID: 21359938.
- Ho C-l, Chen S, Yeung DWC, et al. Dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in evaluation of metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2007 Jun;48(6):902-9. PMID: 17504862.
- Ho C-L, Yu SCH, Yeung DWC. 11C-acetate PET imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma and other liver masses. *J Nucl Med.* 2003 Feb;44(2):213-21. PMID: 12571212.
- Hori M, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of SPIO-enhanced MRI with dynamic helical CT. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 Sep-Oct;26(5):701-10. PMID: 12439302.
- Hori M, Murakami T, Oi H, et al. Sensitivity in detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma by helical CT with intra-arterial injection of contrast medium, and by helical CT and MR imaging with intravenous injection of contrast medium. *Acta Radiol.* 1998 Mar;39(2):144-51. PMID: 9529444.
- Hwang J, Kim SH, Lee MW, et al. Small (<= 2 cm) hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced 3.0 T MRI and multiphasic 64-multirow detector CT. *Br J Radiol.* 2012 Jul;85(1015):e314-22. PMID: 22167508.
- Hwang KH, Choi D-J, Lee S-Y, et al. Evaluation of patients with hepatocellular carcinomas using [(11)C]acetate and [(18)F]FDG PET/CT: A preliminary study. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2009 Jul-Aug;67(7-8):1195-8. PMID: 19342249.
- Iannaccone R, Laghi A, Catalano C, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: role of unenhanced and delayed phase multidetector row helical CT in patients with cirrhosis. *Radiology.* 2005 Feb;234(2):460-7. PMID: 15671002.
- Iavarone M, Sangiovanni A, Forzenigo LV, et al. Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis by dynamic contrast imaging: the importance of tumor cell differentiation. *Hepatology.* 2010 Nov;52(5):1723-30. PMID: 20842697.
- Ichikawa T, Kitamura T, Nakajima H, et al. Hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma: can double arterial phase imaging with multidetector CT improve tumor depiction in the cirrhotic liver? *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Sep;179(3):751-8. PMID: 12185057.
- Ichikawa T, Saito K, Yoshioka N, et al. Detection and characterization of focal liver lesions: a Japanese phase III, multicenter comparison between gadoxetic acid disodium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and contrast-enhanced computed tomography predominantly in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and chronic liver disease. *Investigative Radiology.* 2010 Mar;45(3):133-41. PMID: 20098330.
- Imamura M, Shiratori Y, Shiina S, et al. Power Doppler sonography for hepatocellular carcinoma: factors affecting the power Doppler signals of the tumors. *Liver.* 1998 Dec;18(6):427-33. PMID: 9869398.

- Inoue T, Kudo M, Hatanaka K, et al. Imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: Qualitative and quantitative analysis of postvascular phase contrast-enhanced ultrasonography with sonazoid. *Oncology*. 2008;75(SUPPL. 1):48-54. PMID: 19092272.
- Inoue T, Kudo M, Komuta M, et al. Assessment of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI for HCC and dysplastic nodules and comparison of detection sensitivity versus MDCT. *J Gastroenterol*. 2012 Sep;47(9):1036-47. PMID: 22526270.
- Inoue T, Kudo M, Maenishi O, et al. Value of liver parenchymal phase contrast-enhanced sonography to diagnose premalignant and borderline lesions and overt hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009 Mar;192(3):698-705. PMID: 19234266.
- Ito K, Fujita T, Shimizu A, et al. Multiarterial phase dynamic MRI of small early enhancing hepatic lesions in cirrhosis or chronic hepatitis: Differentiating between hypervascula hepatocellular carcinomas and pseudolesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2004;183(3):699-705. PMID: 15333358.
- Iwazawa J, Ohue S, Hashimoto N, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of angiographic C-arm CT and MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010 Oct;195(4):882-7. PMID: 20858813.
- Jang HJ, Lim JH, Lee SJ, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: are combined CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography in addition to triple-phase helical CT all necessary for preoperative evaluation? *Radiology*. 2000 May;215(2):373-80. PMID: 10796910.
- Jeng C-M, Kung C-H, Wang Y-C, et al. Spiral biphasic contrast-enhanced computerized tomography in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2002 Aug;101(8):588-92. PMID: 12440092.
- Jeng L-B, Changlai S-P, Shen Y-Y, et al. Limited value of 18F-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography to detect hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus carriers. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2003 Nov-Dec;50(54):2154-6. PMID: 14696485.
- Jeong MG, Yu JS, Kim KW, et al. Early homogeneously enhancing hemangioma versus hepatocellular carcinoma: differentiation using quantitative analysis of multiphasic dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. *Yonsei Med J*. 1999 Jun;40(3):248-55. PMID: 10412337.
- Jeong WK, Byun JH, Lee SS, et al. Gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced liver MR imaging in cirrhotic patients: quantitative and qualitative comparison of 1-hour and 3-hour delayed images. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2011 Apr;33(4):889-97. PMID: 21448954.
- Jin Y, Nah S, Lee J, et al. Utility of Adding Primovist Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Analysis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Liver Dynamic Computed Tomography. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2013;11(2):187-92. PMID: 23142203.
- Kamura T, Kimura M, Sakai K, et al. Small hypervascula hepatocellular carcinoma versus hypervascula pseudolesions: Differential diagnosis on MRI. *Abdom Imaging*. 2002;27(3):315-24. PMID: 12173363.
- Kang BK, Lim JH, Kim SH, et al. Preoperative depiction of hepatocellular carcinoma: ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging versus triple-phase helical CT. *Radiology*. 2003 Jan;226(1):79-85. PMID: 12511672.
- Kawada N, Ohkawa K, Tanaka S, et al. Improved diagnosis of well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma with gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and Sonazoid contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. *Hepatol Res*. 2010;40(9):930-6. PMID: 20887598.
- Kawaoka T, Aikata H, Takaki S, et al. FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography for the detection of extrahepatic metastases from hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res*. 2009;39(2):134-42. PMID: 19208034.
- Kawata S, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Multidetector CT: diagnostic impact of slice thickness on detection of hypervascula hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2002 Jul;179(1):61-6. PMID: 12076906.
- Khalili K, Kim TK, Jang H-J, et al. Optimization of imaging diagnosis of 1-2 cm hepatocellular carcinoma: an analysis of diagnostic performance and resource utilization. *J Hepatol*. 2011 Apr;54(4):723-8. PMID: 21156219.
- Khan MA, Combs CS, Brunt EM, et al. Positron emission tomography scanning in the evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol*. 2000 May;32(5):792-7. PMID: 10845666.
- Kim AY, Kim YK, Lee MW, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and diffusion-weighted MRI with respect to the severity of liver cirrhosis. *Acta Radiol*. 2012 Oct 1;53(8):830-8. PMID: 22847903.
- Kim CK, Lim JH, Lee WJ. Detection of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic liver: accuracy of ultrasonography in transplant patients. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2001 Feb;20(2):99-104. PMID: 11211142.
- Kim DJ, Yu JS, Kim JH, et al. Small hypervascula hepatocellular carcinomas: value of diffusion-weighted imaging compared with "washout" appearance on dynamic MRI. *Br J Radiol*. 2012 Oct;85(1018):e879-86. PMID: 22573299.
- Kim KW, Lee JM, Klotz E, et al. Quantitative CT color mapping of the arterial enhancement fraction of the liver to detect hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology*. 2009 Feb;250(2):425-34. PMID: 19188314.
- Kim M-J, Lee M, Choi J-Y, et al. Imaging features of small hepatocellular carcinomas with microvascular invasion on gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR imaging. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012 Oct;81(10):2507-12. PMID: 22137613.
- Kim PN, Choi D, Rhim H, et al. Planning ultrasound for percutaneous radiofrequency ablation to treat small (<= 3 cm) hepatocellular carcinomas detected on computed

- tomography or magnetic resonance imaging: a multicenter prospective study to assess factors affecting ultrasound visibility. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2012 May;23(5):627-34. PMID: 22387030.
- Kim SE, Lee HC, Shim JH, et al. Noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatic masses >2 cm in a hepatitis B virus-endemic area. *Liver Int.* 2011 Nov;31(10):1468-76. PMID: 21745284.
- Kim SH, Choi D, Kim SH, et al. Ferucarbotran-enhanced MRI versus triple-phase MDCT for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005 Apr;184(4):1069-76. PMID: 15788575.
- Kim SH, Kim SH, Lee J, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI versus triple-phase MDCT for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009 Jun;192(6):1675-81. PMID: 19457834.
- Kim SJ, Kim SH, Lee J, et al. Ferucarbotran-enhanced 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging using parallel imaging technique compared with triple-phase multidetector row computed tomography for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma.[Erratum appears in *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008 Jul-Aug;32(4):615]. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008 May-Jun;32(3):379-85. PMID: 18520541.
- Kim SK, Lim JH, Lee WJ, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of dynamic three-phase computed tomography images and four-phase computed tomography images using multidetector row helical computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 Sep-Oct;26(5):691-8. PMID: 12439300.
- Kim T, Murakami T, Hori M, et al. Small hypervasculcar hepatocellular carcinoma revealed by double arterial phase CT performed with single breath-hold scanning and automatic bolus tracking. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Apr;178(4):899-904. PMID: 11906869.
- Kim TK, Lee KH, Jang H-J, et al. Analysis of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MR findings for characterizing small (1-2-cm) hepatic nodules in patients at high risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology.* 2011 Jun;259(3):730-8. PMID: 21364083.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Chung GH, et al. Comparison of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced dynamic MRI and 16-MDCT for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006 Jan;186(1):149-57. PMID: 16357395.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: gadoxetic acid-enhanced 3-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging versus multidetector row computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2009 Nov-Dec;33(6):844-50. PMID: 19940648.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of liver malignancy with gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI: is addition of diffusion-weighted MRI beneficial?.[Erratum appears in *Clin Radiol.* 2011 Oct;66(10):1006]. *Clin Radiol.* 2011 Jun;66(6):489-96. PMID: 21367403.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: can gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging replace combining gadopentetate dimeglumine-enhanced and superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging? *Investigative Radiology.* 2010 Nov;45(11):740-6. PMID: 20644488.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MRI for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Radiol.* 2010 May;65(5):358-65. PMID: 20380933.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: intraindividual comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI at 3.0 and 1.5 T.[Erratum appears in *Invest Radiol.* 2011 Sep;46(9):600]. *Investigative Radiology.* 2011 Jun;46(6):383-9. PMID: 21467946.
- Kim YK, Kim CS, Kwak HS, et al. Three-dimensional dynamic liver MR imaging using sensitivity encoding for detection of hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison with superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced mr imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2004 Nov;20(5):826-37. PMID: 15503325.
- Kim YK, Kwak HS, Han YM, et al. Usefulness of combining sequentially acquired gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and resovist-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with computed tomography hepatic arteriography and computed tomography arteriopertigraphy using 16-slice multidetector computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2007 Sep-Oct;31(5):702-11. PMID: 17895780.
- Kim YK, Kwak HS, Kim CS, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: comparison of SPIO-enhanced MR imaging and 16-detector row CT. *Radiology.* 2006 Feb;238(2):531-41. PMID: 16371577.
- Kim YK, Lee YH, Kim CS, et al. Added diagnostic value of T2-weighted MR imaging to gadolinium-enhanced three-dimensional dynamic MR imaging for the detection of small hepatocellular carcinomas. *Eur J Radiol.* 2008 Aug;67(2):304-10. PMID: 17714904.
- Kim YK, Lee YH, Kim CS, et al. Double-dose 1.0-M gadobutrol versus standard-dose 0.5-M gadopentetate dimeglumine in revealing small hypervasculcar hepatocellular carcinomas. *Eur Radiol.* 2008 Jan;18(1):70-7. PMID: 17404740.
- Kim Y-K, Lee K-W, Cho SY, et al. Usefulness 18F-FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography for detecting recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in posttransplant patients. *Liver Transpl.* 2010 Jun;16(6):767-72. PMID: 20517911.
- Kitamura T, Ichikawa T, Erturk SM, et al. Detection of hypervasculcar hepatocellular carcinoma with multidetector-row CT: single arterial-phase imaging with computer-assisted automatic bolus-tracking technique compared with double arterial-phase imaging. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008 Sep-Oct;32(5):724-9. PMID: 18830101.

- Kondo H, Kanematsu M, Itoh K, et al. Does T2-weighted MR imaging improve preoperative detection of malignant hepatic tumors? Observer performance study in 49 surgically proven cases. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2005 Jan;23(1):89-95. PMID: 15733793.
- Korenaga K, Korenaga M, Furukawa M, et al. Usefulness of Sonazoid contrast-enhanced ultrasonography for hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison with pathological diagnosis and superparamagnetic iron oxide magnetic resonance images. *J Gastroenterol*. 2009;44(7):733-41. PMID: 19387532.
- Koushima Y, Ebara M, Fukuda H, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma: assessment with T1-weighted spin-echo magnetic resonance imaging with and without fat suppression. *Eur J Radiol*. 2002 Jan;41(1):34-41. PMID: 11750150.
- Krinsky GA, Lee VS, Theise ND, et al. Transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis: Sensitivity of magnetic resonance imaging. *Liver Transpl*. 2002;8(12):1156-64. PMID: 12474156.
- Krinsky GA, Lee VS, Theise ND, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules in patients with cirrhosis: prospective diagnosis with MR imaging and explantation correlation. *Radiology*. 2001 May;219(2):445-54. PMID: 11323471.
- Kumano S, Uemura M, Haraikawa T, et al. Efficacy of double arterial phase dynamic magnetic resonance imaging with the sensitivity encoding technique versus dynamic multidetector-row helical computed tomography for detecting hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *Jpn J Radiol*. 2009 Jul;27(6):229-36. PMID: 19626408.
- Kunishi Y, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Efficacy of fusion imaging combining sonography and hepatobiliary phase MRI with Gd-EOB-DTPA to detect small hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2012 Jan;198(1):106-14. PMID: 22194485.
- Kwak H-S, Lee J-M, Kim C-S. Preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of combined contrast-enhanced MR imaging and combined CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography. *Eur Radiol*. 2004 Mar;14(3):447-57. PMID: 14531005.
- Kwak H-S, Lee J-M, Kim Y-K, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of ferumoxides-enhanced and gadolinium-enhanced dynamic three-dimensional volume interpolated breath-hold MR imaging. *Eur Radiol*. 2005 Jan;15(1):140-7. PMID: 15449000.
- Laghi A, Iannaccone R, Rossi P, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with triple-phase multi-detector row helical CT in patients with chronic hepatitis. *Radiology*. 2003 Feb;226(2):543-9. PMID: 12563152.
- Lauenstein TC, Salman K, Morreira R, et al. Gadolinium-enhanced MRI for tumor surveillance before liver transplantation: center-based experience. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007 Sep;189(3):663-70. PMID: 17715115.
- Lee CH, Kim KA, Lee J, et al. Using low tube voltage (80kVp) quadruple phase liver CT for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: two-year experience and comparison with Gd-EOB-DTPA enhanced liver MRI. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012 Apr;81(4):e605-11. PMID: 22297180.
- Lee DH, Kim SH, Lee JM, et al. Diagnostic performance of multidetector row computed tomography, superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, and dual-contrast magnetic resonance imaging in predicting the appropriateness of a transplant recipient based on milan criteria: correlation with histopathological findings. *Investigative Radiology*. 2009 Jun;44(6):311-21. PMID: 19462486.
- Lee J, Won JL, Hyo KL, et al. Early hepatocellular carcinoma: Three-phase helical CT features of 16 patients. *Korean J Radiol*. 2008;9(4):325-32. PMID: 18682670.
- Lee JE, Jang JY, Jeong SW, et al. Diagnostic value for extrahepatic metastases of hepatocellular carcinoma in positron emission tomography/computed tomography scan. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2012 Jun 21;18(23):2979-87. PMID: 22736922.
- Lee J-M, Kim I-H, Kwak H-S, et al. Detection of small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas in cirrhotic patients: comparison of superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging with dual-phase spiral CT. *Korean J Radiol*. 2003 Jan-Mar;4(1):1-8. PMID: 12679628.
- Lee JY, Kim SH, Jeon YH, et al. Ferucarbotran-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging versus gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: initial experience. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2010 Jan;34(1):127-34. PMID: 20118735.
- Lee MH, Kim SH, Park MJ, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MRI and high-b-value diffusion-weighted imaging to distinguish well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinomas from benign nodules in patients with chronic liver disease. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011 Nov;197(5):W868-75. PMID: 22021534.
- Lee MW, Kim YJ, Park HS, et al. Targeted sonography for small hepatocellular carcinoma discovered by CT or MRI: factors affecting sonographic detection. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010 May;194(5):W396-400. PMID: 20410384.
- Li CS, Chen RC, Tu HY, et al. Imaging well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma with dynamic triple-phase helical computed tomography. *Br J Radiol*. 2006 Aug;79(944):659-65. PMID: 16641423.
- Li S, Beheshti M, Peck-Radosavljevic M, et al. Comparison of (11)C-acetate positron emission tomography and (67)Gallium citrate scintigraphy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int*. 2006 Oct;26(8):920-7. PMID: 16953831.
- Liangpunsakul S, Agarwal D, Horlander JC, et al. Positron emission tomography for detecting occult hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis C cirrhotics awaiting for liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc*. 2003 Dec;35(8):2995-7. PMID: 14697959.
- Libbrecht L, Bielen D, Verslype C, et al. Focal lesions in

- cirrhotic explant livers: pathological evaluation and accuracy of pretransplantation imaging examinations. *Liver Transpl.* 2002 Sep;8(9):749-61. PMID: 12200773.
- Lim JH, Choi D, Kim SH, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: value of adding delayed phase imaging to dual-phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Jul;179(1):67-73. PMID: 12076907.
- Lim JH, Kim CK, Lee WJ, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic livers: accuracy of helical CT in transplant patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2000 Sep;175(3):693-8. PMID: 10954452.
- Lim JH, Kim SH, Lee WJ, et al. Ultrasonographic detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: Correlation of preoperative ultrasonography and resected liver pathology. *Clin Radiol.* 2006;61(2):191-7. PMID: 16439225.
- Lin M-T, Chen C-L, Wang C-C, et al. Diagnostic sensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma imaging and its application to non-cirrhotic patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011 Apr;26(4):745-50. PMID: 21418303.
- Lin W-Y, Tsai S-C, Hung G-U. Value of delayed 18F-FDG-PET imaging in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nuclear Medicine Communications.* 2005 Apr;26(4):315-21. PMID: 15753790.
- Liu WC, Lim JH, Park CK, et al. Poor sensitivity of sonography in detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in advanced liver cirrhosis: accuracy of pretransplantation sonography in 118 patients. *Eur Radiol.* 2003 Jul;13(7):1693-8. PMID: 12835987.
- Liu YI, Kamaya A, Jeffrey RB, et al. Multidetector computed tomography triphasic evaluation of the liver before transplantation: importance of equilibrium phase washout and morphology for characterizing hypervasculär lesions. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2012 Mar-Apr;36(2):213-9. PMID: 22446362.
- Liu YI, Shin LK, Jeffrey RB, et al. Quantitatively defining washout in hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2013 Jan;200(1):84-9. PMID: 23255745.
- Lu CH, Chen CL, Cheng YF, et al. Correlation between imaging and pathologic findings in explanted livers of hepatocellular carcinoma cases. *Transplant Proc.* 2010 Apr;42(3):830-3. PMID: 20430183.
- Luca A, Caruso S, Milazzo M, et al. Multidetector-row computed tomography (MDCT) for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic candidates for liver transplantation: prevalence of radiological vascular patterns and histological correlation with liver explants.[Erratum appears in Eur Radiol. 2011 Jul;21(7):1574 Note: Gruttaduria, Salvatore [corrected to Gruttaduria, Salvatore]]. *Eur Radiol.* 2010 Apr;20(4):898-907. PMID: 19802612.
- Luo W, Numata K, Kondo M, et al. Sonazoid-enhanced ultrasonography for evaluation of the enhancement patterns of focal liver tumors in the late phase by intermittent imaging with a high mechanical index. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine.* 2009 Apr;28(4):439-48. PMID: 19321671.
- Luo W, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Focal liver tumors: characterization with 3D perflubutane microbubble contrast agent-enhanced US versus 3D contrast-enhanced multidetector CT. *Radiology.* 2009 Apr;251(1):287-95. PMID: 19221060.
- Luo W, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Three-dimensional contrast-enhanced sonography of vascular patterns of focal liver tumors: pilot study of visualization methods. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009 Jan;192(1):165-73. PMID: 19098197.
- Lv P, Lin XZ, Chen K, et al. Spectral CT in patients with small HCC: investigation of image quality and diagnostic accuracy. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Oct;22(10):2117-24. PMID: 22618521.
- Lv P, Lin XZ, Li J, et al. Differentiation of small hepatic hemangioma from small hepatocellular carcinoma: recently introduced spectral CT method. *Radiology.* 2011 Jun;259(3):720-9. PMID: 21357524.
- Maetani YS, Ueda M, Haga H, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients undergoing living-donor liver transplantation. Accuracy of multidetector computed tomography by viewing images on digital monitors. *Intervirology.* 2008;51 Suppl 1:46-51. PMID: 18544948.
- Marin D, Catalano C, De Filippis G, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: added value of coronal reformations from isotropic voxels with 64-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009 Jan;192(1):180-7. PMID: 19098199.
- Marin D, Di Martino M, Guerrisi A, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: qualitative comparison of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MR imaging and multiphasic 64-section CT. *Radiology.* 2009 Apr;251(1):85-95. PMID: 19332848.
- Marrero JA, Hussain HK, Nghiem HV, et al. Improving the prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients with an arterially-enhancing liver mass. *Liver Transpl.* 2005 Mar;11(3):281-9. PMID: 15719410.
- Matsuo M, Kanematsu M, Itoh K, et al. Detection of malignant hepatic tumors: comparison of gadolinium-and ferumoxide-enhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2001 Sep;177(3):637-43. PMID: 11517061.
- Mita K, Kim SR, Kudo M, et al. Diagnostic sensitivity of imaging modalities for hepatocellular carcinoma smaller than 2 cm. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2010 Sep 7;16(33):4187-92. PMID: 20806437.
- Mok TSK, Yu SCH, Lee C, et al. False-negative rate of abdominal sonography for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis B and elevated serum alpha-fetoprotein levels. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004 Aug;183(2):453-8. PMID: 15269040.
- Monzawa S, Ichikawa T, Nakajima H, et al. Dynamic CT for detecting small hepatocellular carcinoma: usefulness of delayed phase imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007 Jan;188(1):147-53. PMID: 17179357.
- Mori K, Yoshioka H, Takahashi N, et al. Triple arterial

- phase dynamic MRI with sensitivity encoding for hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of the diagnostic accuracy among the early, middle, late, and whole triple arterial phase imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005 Jan;184(1):63-9. PMID: 15615952.
- Moriyasu F, Itoh K. Efficacy of perflubutane microbubble-enhanced ultrasound in the characterization and detection of focal liver lesions: phase 3 multicenter clinical trial. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009 Jul;193(1):86-95. PMID: 19542399.
- Mortele KJ, De Keukeleire K, Praet M, et al. Malignant focal hepatic lesions complicating underlying liver disease: dual-phase contrast-enhanced spiral CT sensitivity and specificity in orthotopic liver transplant patients. *Eur Radiol.* 2001;11(9):1631-8. PMID: 11511882.
- Motosugi U, Ichikawa T, Sou H, et al. Distinguishing hypervascular pseudolesions of the liver from hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas with gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology.* 2010 Jul;256(1):151-8. PMID: 20574092.
- Murakami T, Kim T, Kawata S, et al. Evaluation of optimal timing of arterial phase imaging for the detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma by using triple arterial phase imaging with multidetector-row helical computed tomography. *Investigative Radiology.* 2003 Aug;38(8):497-503. PMID: 12874516.
- Murakami T, Kim T, Takamura M, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with double arterial phase multi-detector row helical CT. *Radiology.* 2001 Mar;218(3):763-7. PMID: 11230652.
- Nagaoka S, Itano S, Ishibashi M, et al. Value of fusing PET plus CT images in hepatocellular carcinoma and combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma patients with extrahepatic metastases: preliminary findings. *Liver Int.* 2006 Sep;26(7):781-8. PMID: 16911459.
164. Nakamura H, Ito N, Kotake F, et al. Tumor-detecting capacity and clinical usefulness of SPIO-MRI in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol.* 2000;35(11):849-55. PMID: 11085494.
- Nakamura Y, Tashiro H, Nambu J, et al. Detectability of hepatocellular carcinoma by gadoxetate disodium-enhanced hepatic MRI: Tumor-by-tumor analysis in explant livers. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2013;37(3):684-91. PMID: 23055436.
- Nakayama A, Imamura H, Matsuyama Y, et al. Value of lipiodol computed tomography and digital subtraction angiography in the era of helical biphasic computed tomography as preoperative assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg.* 2001 Jul;234(1):56-62. PMID: 11420483.
- Noguchi Y, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of dynamic MR imaging with dynamic double arterial phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003 Feb;180(2):455-60. PMID: 12540451.
- Noguchi Y, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Detection of hypervasculature hepatocellular carcinoma by dynamic magnetic resonance imaging with double-echo chemical shift in-phase and opposed-phase gradient echo technique: comparison with dynamic helical computed tomography imaging with double arterial phase. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 Nov-Dec;26(6):981-7. PMID: 12488747.
- Onishi H, Kim T, Imai Y, et al. Hypervasculature hepatocellular carcinomas: detection with gadoxetate disodium-enhanced MR imaging and multiphasic multidetector CT. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Apr;22(4):845-54. PMID: 22057248.
170. Ooi C-C, Low S-CA, Schneider-Kolsky M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of contrast-enhanced ultrasound in differentiating benign and malignant focal liver lesions: a retrospective study. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2010 Oct;54(5):421-30. PMID: 20958940.
- Ooka Y, Kanai F, Okabe S, et al. Gadoteric acid-enhanced MRI compared with CT during angiography in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2013;31(5):748-54. Epub 2012 Dec 5. PMID: 23218794.
- Park G, Kim YK, Kim CS, et al. Diagnostic efficacy of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI in the detection of hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison with gadopentetate dimeglumine. *Br J Radiol.* 2010 Dec;83(996):1010-6. PMID: 20682591.
- Park JH, Kim SH, Park HS, et al. Added value of 80 kVp images to averaged 120 kVp images in the detection of hepatocellular carcinomas in liver transplantation candidates using dual-source dual-energy MDCT: results of JAFROC analysis. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Nov;80(2):e76-85. PMID: 20875937.
- Park J-W, Kim JH, Kim SK, et al. A prospective evaluation of 18F-FDG and 11C-acetate PET/CT for detection of primary and metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2008 Dec;49(12):1912-21. PMID: 18997056.
- Park M-S, Kim S, Patel J, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with diffusion-weighted versus contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in pretransplant patients. *Hepatology.* 2012 Jul;56(1):140-8. PMID: 22370974.
- Park Y, Kim SH, Kim SH, et al. Gadoteric acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA)-enhanced MRI versus gadobenate dimeglumine (Gd-BOPTA)-enhanced MRI for preoperatively detecting hepatocellular carcinoma: an initial experience. *Korean J Radiol.* 2010 Jul-Aug;11(4):433-40. PMID: 20592927.
- Paul SB, Gulati MS, Sreenivas V, et al. Evaluating patients with cirrhosis for hepatocellular carcinoma: value of clinical symptomatology, imaging and alpha-fetoprotein. *Oncology.* 2007;72 Suppl 1:117-23. PMID: 18087192.
- Pauleit D, Textor J, Bachmann R, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with gadolinium- and ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging of the liver. *Radiology.* 2002 Jan;222(1):73-80. PMID: 11756708.
- Pei XQ, Liu LZ, Xiong YH, et al. Quantitative analysis of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography: Differentiating focal nodular hyperplasia from hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J*

- Radiol. 2013 Mar;86(1023):20120536 Epub 2013 Feb 7. PMID: 23392189.
- Peterson MS, Baron RL, Marsh JW, Jr., et al. Pretransplantation surveillance for possible hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: epidemiology and CT-based tumor detection rate in 430 cases with surgical pathologic correlation. Radiology. 2000 Dec;217(3):743-9. PMID: 11110938.
- Petruzzi N, Mitchell D, Guglielmo F, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma likelihood on MRI exams. Evaluation of a standardized categorization System. Acad Radiol. 2013 Jun;20(6):694-8 Epub 2013 Mar 28. PMID: 23541479.
- Piana G, Trinquart L, Meskine N, et al. New MR imaging criteria with a diffusion-weighted sequence for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic liver diseases. J Hepatol. 2011 Jul;55(1):126-32. PMID: 21145857.
- Pitton MB, Kloeckner R, Herber S, et al. MRI versus 64-row MDCT for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2009 Dec 28;15(48):6044-51. PMID: 20027676.
- Pozzi Mucelli RM, Como G, Del Frate C, et al. Multidetector CT with double arterial phase and high-iodine-concentration contrast agent in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. Radiol Med (Torino). 2006 Mar;111(2):181-91. PMID: 16671376.
- Pugacheva O, Matsui O, Kozaka K, et al. Detection of small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas by EASL criteria: comparison with double-phase CT during hepatic arteriography. Eur J Radiol. 2011 Dec;80(3):e201-6. PMID: 20855175.
- Quaia E, Alaimo V, Baratella E, et al. The added diagnostic value of 64-row multidetector CT combined with contrast-enhanced US in the evaluation of hepatocellular nodule vascularity: implications in the diagnosis of malignancy in patients with liver cirrhosis. Eur Radiol. 2009 Mar;19(3):651-63. PMID: 18815790.
- Rhee H, Kim MJ, Park MS, et al. Differentiation of early hepatocellular carcinoma from benign hepatocellular nodules on gadoteric acid-enhanced MRI. Br J Radiol. 2012 Oct;85(1018):e837-44. PMID: 22553295.
- Rickes S, Schulze S, Neye H, et al. Improved diagnosing of small hepatocellular carcinomas by echo-enhanced power Doppler sonography in patients with cirrhosis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2003 Aug;15(8):893-900. PMID: 12867800.
- Rimola J, Forner A, Tremosini S, et al. Non-invasive diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma <= 2 cm in cirrhosis. Diagnostic accuracy assessing fat, capsule and signal intensity at dynamic MRI. J Hepatol. 2012 Jun;56(6):1317-23. PMID: 22314420.
- Rizvi S, Camci C, Yong Y, et al. Is post-Lipiodol CT better than i.v. contrast CT scan for early detection of HCC? A single liver transplant center experience. Transplant Proc. 2006 Nov;38(9):2993-5. PMID: 17112883.
- Rode A, Bancel B, Douek P, et al. Small nodule detection in cirrhotic livers: evaluation with US, spiral CT, and MRI and correlation with pathologic examination of explanted liver. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2001 May-Jun;25(3):327-36. PMID: 11351179.
- Ronzoni A, Artioli D, Scardina R, et al. Role of MDCT in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2007 Oct;189(4):792-8. PMID: 17885047.
- Sangiovanni A, Manini MA, Iavarone M, et al. The diagnostic and economic impact of contrast imaging techniques in the diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis. Gut. 2010 May;59(5):638-44. PMID: 19951909.
- Sano K, Ichikawa T, Motosugi U, et al. Imaging study of early hepatocellular carcinoma: usefulness of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR imaging. Radiology. 2011 Dec;261(3):834-44. PMID: 21998047.
- Schima W, Hammerstingl R, Catalano C, et al. Quadruple-phase MDCT of the liver in patients with suspected hepatocellular carcinoma: Effect of contrast material flow rate. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006;186(6):1571-9. PMID: 16714645.
- Sevil M, Obuz F, Altay C, et al. The role of dynamic subtraction MRI in detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. Diagn Interv Radiol. 2008 Dec;14(4):200-4. PMID: 19061165.
- Seitz K, Bernatik T, Strobel D, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) for the characterization of focal liver lesions in clinical practice (DEGUM Multicenter Trial): CEUS vs. MRI--a prospective comparison in 269 patients. Ultraschall Med. 2010 Oct;31(5):492-9. PMID: 20652854.
- Seitz K, Strobel D, Bernatik T, et al. Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS) for the characterization of focal liver lesions - prospective comparison in clinical practice: CEUS vs. CT (DEGUM multicenter trial). Parts of this manuscript were presented at the Ultrasound Dreilandertreffen 2008, Davos. Ultraschall Med. 2009 Aug;30(4):383-9. PMID: 19688670.
- Serste T, Barrau V, Ozanne V, et al. Accuracy and disagreement of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules: role of biopsy. Hepatology. 2012 Mar;55(3):800-6. PMID: 22006503.
- Shah SA, Tan JC, McGilvray ID, et al. Accuracy of staging as a predictor for recurrence after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Transplantation. 2006 Jun 27;81(12):1633-9. PMID: 16794527.
- Simon G, Link TM, Wortler K, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of Gd-DTPA- and ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging. Eur Radiol. 2005 May;15(5):895-903. PMID: 15800773.
- Singh P, Erickson RA, Mukhopadhyay P, et al. EUS for detection of the hepatocellular carcinoma: results of a prospective study. Gastrointest Endosc. 2007

- Aug;66(2):265-73. PMID: 17543307.
- Sofue K, Tsurusaki M, Kawasaki R, et al. Evaluation of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver: comparison of different concentrations of contrast material with multi-detector row helical CT--a prospective randomized study. *Eur J Radiol*. 2011 Dec;80(3):e237-42. PMID: 21067880.
- Sørensen M, Frisch K, Bender D, et al. The potential use of 2-[<sup>18</sup>F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-galactose as a PET/CT tracer for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2011;38(9):1723-31. PMID: 21553087.
- Strobel D, Raeker S, Martus P, et al. Phase inversion harmonic imaging versus contrast-enhanced power Doppler sonography for the characterization of focal liver lesions. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2003 Jan;18(1):63-72. PMID: 12458384.
- Sugimoto K, Moriyasu F, Saito K, et al. Comparison of Kupffer-phase Sonazoid-enhanced sonography and hepatobiliary-phase gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma and correlation with histologic grading. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2012 Apr;31(4):529-38. PMID: 22441909.
- Sugiyama M, Sakahara H, Torizuka T, et al. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET in the detection of extrahepatic metastases from hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol*. 2004;39(10):961-8.
- Suh YJ, Kim M-J, Choi J-Y, et al. Differentiation of hepatic hyperintense lesions seen on gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011 Jul;197(1):W44-52. PMID: 21700994.
- Sun HY, Lee JM, Shin CI, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for differentiating small hepatocellular carcinomas (< or =2 cm in diameter) from arterial enhancing pseudolesions: special emphasis on hepatobiliary phase imaging. *Investigative Radiology*. 2010 Feb;45(2):96-103. PMID: 20057319.
- Sun L, Guan YS, Pan WM, et al. Metabolic restaging of hepatocellular carcinoma using whole-body <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT. *World J Hepatol*. 2009 Oct 31;1(1):90-7. PMID: 21160970.
- Suzuki S, Iijima H, Moriyasu F, et al. Differential diagnosis of hepatic nodules using delayed parenchymal phase imaging of levovist contrast ultrasound: comparative study with SPIO-MRI. *Hepatol Res*. 2004 Jun;29(2):122-6. PMID: 15163434.
- Talbot J, Fartoux L, Balogova S, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma with PET/CT: a prospective comparison of <sup>18</sup>F-fluorocholine and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG in patients with cirrhosis or chronic liver disease. *J Nucl Med*. 2010 Nov;51(11):1699-706. PMID: 20956466.
- Talbot J, Gutman F, Fartoux L, et al. PET/CT in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma using [(<sup>18</sup>F)]fluorocholine: preliminary comparison with [(<sup>18</sup>F)]FDG PET/CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2006 Nov;33(11):1285-9. PMID: 16802155.
- Tanaka O, Ito H, Yamada K, et al. Higher lesion conspicuity for SENSE dynamic MRI in detecting hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: analysis through the measurements of liver SNR and lesion-liver CNR comparison with conventional dynamic MRI. *Eur Radiol*. 2005 Dec;15(12):2427-34. PMID: 16041592.
- Tanaka S, Ioka T, Oshikawa O, et al. Dynamic sonography of hepatic tumors. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2001 Oct;177(4):799-805. PMID: 11566675.
- Tang Y, Yamashita Y, Arakawa A, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma arising in cirrhotic livers: comparison of gadolinium- and ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1999 Jun;172(6):1547-54. PMID: 10350287.
- Tanimoto A, Yuasa Y, Jinzaki M, et al. Routine MR imaging protocol with breath-hold fast scans: diagnostic efficacy for focal liver lesions. *Radiat Med*. 2002 Jul-Aug;20(4):169-79. PMID: 12296432.
- Teefey SA, Hildeboldt CC, Dehdashti F, et al. Detection of primary hepatic malignancy in liver transplant candidates: prospective comparison of CT, MR imaging, US, and PET. *Radiology*. 2003 Feb;226(2):533-42. PMID: 12563151.
- Trinchet JC, Chaffaut C, Bourcier V, et al. Ultrasonographic surveillance of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: a randomized trial comparing 3- and 6-month periodicities. *Hepatology*. 2011 Dec;54(6):1987-97. PMID: 22144108.
- Trojan J, Schroeder O, Raedle J, et al. Fluorine-18 FDG positron emission tomography for imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1999 Nov;94(11):3314-9. PMID: 10566736.
- Tsurusaki M, Semelka RC, Uotani K, et al. Prospective comparison of high- and low-spatial-resolution dynamic MR imaging with sensitivity encoding (SENSE) for hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol*. 2008 Oct;18(10):2206-12. PMID: 18446347.
- Valls C, Cos M, Figueras J, et al. Pretransplantation diagnosis and staging of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: value of dual-phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2004 Apr;182(4):1011-7. PMID: 15039179.
- Van Thiel DH, Yong S, Li SD, et al. The development of de novo hepatocellular carcinoma in patients on a liver transplant list: frequency, size, and assessment of current screening methods. *Liver Transpl*. 2004 May;10(5):631-7. PMID: 15108254.
- Vandecaveye V, De Keyzer F, Verslype C, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI provides additional value to conventional dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol*. 2009 Oct;19(10):2456-66. PMID: 19440718.
- Verhoef C, Valkema R, de Man RA, et al. Fluorine-18 FDG imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma using positron coincidence detection and single photon emission computed tomography. *Liver*. 2002 Feb;22(1):51-6. PMID: 11906619.

- Wagnetz U, Atri M, Massey C, et al. Intraoperative ultrasound of the liver in primary and secondary hepatic malignancies: comparison with preoperative 1.5-T MRI and 64-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2011 Mar;196(3):562-8. PMID: 21343497.
- Wang JH, Chang KC, Kee KM, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance at 4- vs. 12-month intervals for patients with chronic viral hepatitis: a randomized study in community. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013 Mar;108(3):416-24. PMID: 23318478.
- Wang ZL, Tang J, Weskott HP, et al. Undetermined focal liver lesions on gray-scale ultrasound in patients with fatty liver: characterization with contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008 Oct;23(10):1511-9. PMID: 18713302.
- Wolfort RM, Papillion PW, Turnage RH, et al. Role of FDG-PET in the evaluation and staging of hepatocellular carcinoma with comparison of tumor size, AFP level, and histologic grade. *Int Surg.* 2010 Jan-Mar;95(1):67-75. PMID: 20480845.
- Wu H-b, Wang Q-s, Li B-y, et al. F-18 FDG in conjunction with 11C-choline PET/CT in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2011 Dec;36(12):1092-7. PMID: 22064078.
- Wudel LJ, Jr., Delbeke D, Morris D, et al. The role of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography imaging in the evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am Surg.* 2003 Feb;69(2):117-24; discussion 24-6. PMID: 12641351.
- Xiao X-g, Han X, Shan W-d, et al. Multi-slice CT angiography by triple-phase enhancement in preoperative evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chinese Medical Journal.* 2005 May 20;118(10):844-9. PMID: 15989766.
- Xu HX, Lu MD, Liu LN, et al. Discrimination between neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions in cirrhotic liver using contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Br J Radiol.* 2012 Oct;85(1018):1376-84. PMID: 22553290.
- Xu H-X, Xie X-Y, Lu M-D, et al. Contrast-enhanced sonography in the diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma < or =2 cm. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2008 Jun;36(5):257-66. PMID: 18088056.
- Xu P-J, Yan F-H, Wang J-H, et al. Added value of breathhold diffusion-weighted MRI in detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma lesions compared with dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI alone using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2009 Feb;29(2):341-9. PMID: 19161186.
- Xu P-J, Yan F-H, Wang J-H, et al. Contribution of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in the characterization of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic liver. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2010 Jul;34(4):506-12. PMID: 20657216.
- Yamamoto K, Shiraki K, Deguchi M, et al. Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma using digital subtraction imaging with the contrast agent, Levovist: comparison with helical CT, digital subtraction angiography, and US angiography. *Oncol Rep.* 2002 Jul-Aug;9(4):789-92. PMID: 12066210.
- Yamamoto Y, Nishiyama Y, Kameyama R, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma using 11C-choline PET: Comparison with 18F-FDG PET. *J Nucl Med.* 2008 Aug;49(8):1245-8. PMID: 18632827.
- Yan FH, Shen JZ, Li RC, et al. Enhancement patterns of small hepatocellular carcinoma shown by dynamic MRI and CT. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* 2002 Aug;1(3):420-4. PMID: 14607719.
- Yoo HJ, Lee JM, Lee JY, et al. Additional value of SPIO-enhanced MR imaging for the noninvasive imaging diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver. *Investigative Radiology.* 2009 Dec;44(12):800-7. PMID: 19838119.
- Yoon KT, Kim JK, Kim DY, et al. Role of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in detecting extrahepatic metastasis in pretreatment staging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncology.* 2007;72 Suppl 1:104-10. PMID: 18087190.
- Yoshioka H, Takahashi N, Yamaguchi M, et al. Double arterial phase dynamic MRI with sensitivity encoding (SENSE) for hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2002 Sep;16(3):259-66. PMID: 12205581.
- Yu JS, Chung JJ, Kim JH, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas: value of "washout" on gadolinium-enhanced dynamic MR imaging compared to superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2009 Nov;19(11):2614-22. PMID: 19513719.
- Yu JS, Kim KW, Park MS, et al. Transient peritumoral enhancement during dynamic MRI of the liver: Cavernous hemangioma versus hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 May-Jun;26(3):411-7. PMID: 12016371.
- Yu JS, Lee JH, Chung JJ, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: limited value of portal and delayed phases on dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. *Acta Radiol.* 2008 Sep;49(7):735-43. PMID: 18608015.
- Yu NC, Chaudhari V, Raman SS, et al. CT and MRI improve detection of hepatocellular carcinoma, compared with ultrasound alone, in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011 Feb;9(2):161-7. PMID: 20920597.
- Yu Y, Lin X, Chen K, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma and focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver: differentiation with CT spectral imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Jun;23(6):1660-8 Epub 2013 Jan 10. PMID: 23306709.
- Yukisawa S, Okugawa H, Masuya Y, et al. Multidetector helical CT plus superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging for focal hepatic lesions in cirrhotic liver: a comparison with multi-phase CT during hepatic arteriography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2007 Feb;61(2):279-89. PMID: 17070663.
- Zacherl J, Pokieser P, Wrba F, et al. Accuracy of multiphasic helical computed tomography and

intraoperative sonography in patients undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation for hepatoma: what is the truth? Ann Surg. 2002 Apr;235(4):528-32. PMID: 11923609.

Zhang BH, Yang BH, Tang ZY. Randomized controlled trial of screening for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2004 Jul;130(7):417-22. PMID: 15042359.

Zhao H, Yao JL, Han MJ, et al. Multiphase hepatic scans with multirow-detector helical CT in detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int. 2004 May;3(2):204-8. PMID: 15138110.

Zhao H, Yao J-L, Wang Y, et al. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of dynamic enhancement magnetic resonance imaging and multiphase multirow-detector helical CT scanning. World J Gastroenterol. 2007 Feb 28;13(8):1252-6. PMID: 17451209.

Zhao H, Zhou K-R, Yan F-H. Role of multiphase scans by multirow-detector helical CT in detecting small hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2003 Oct;9(10):2198-201. PMID: 14562377.

Zheng X-H, Guan Y-S, Zhou X-P, et al. Detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison of multi-detector CT with digital subtraction angiography and Lipiodol CT. World J Gastroenterol. 2005 Jan 14;11(2):200-3. PMID: 15633215.

Zhou K-R, Yan F-H, Tu B-W. Arterial phase of biphasic enhancement spiral CT in diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int. 2002 Feb;1(1):68-71. PMID: 14607626.

## Appendix B. List of Excluded Studies

- Abe Y, Yamashita Y, Tang Y, Namimoto T, Takahashi M. Calculation of T2 relaxation time from ultrafast single shot sequences for differentiation of liver tumors: comparison of echo-planar, HASTE, and spin-echo sequences. *Radiat Med.* 2000;18(1):7-14. PMID: 10852650. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Achkar JP, Araya V, Baron RL, Marsh JW, Dvorchik I, Rakela J. Undetected hepatocellular carcinoma: clinical features and outcome after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl Surg.* 1998;4(6):477-482. PMID: 9791158. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Aghoram R, Cai P, Dickinson JA. Alpha-foetoprotein and/or liver ultrasonography for screening of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;9. Excluded: Systematic review
- Ahn SJ, Kim M-J, Hong H-S, Kim KA, Song H-T. Distinguishing hemangiomas from malignant solid hepatic lesions: a comparison of heavily T2-weighted images obtained before and after administration of gadoxetic acid. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2011;34(2):310-317. PMID: 21598345. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Ainsworth AP, Pless T, Nielsen HO. Potential impact of adding endoscopic ultrasound to standard imaging procedures in the preoperative assessment of resectability in patients with liver tumors. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2011;46(7-8):1020-1023. PMID: 21504382. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Akai H, Matsuda I, Kiryu S, et al. Fate of hypointense lesions on Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(11):2973-2977. PMID: 22280873. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Altenbernd J, Heusner TA, Ringelstein A, Ladd SC, Forsting M, Antoch G. Dual-energy-CT of hypervascular liver lesions in patients with HCC: investigation of image quality and sensitivity. *Eur Radiol.* 2011;21(4):738-743. PMID: 20936520. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Andersson KL, Salomon JA, Goldie SJ, Chung RT. Cost effectiveness of alternative surveillance strategies for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008;6(12):1418-1424. PMID: 18848905. *Excluded: Background*
- Ando E, Tanaka M, Yamashita F, et al. Diagnostic clues for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of tumour markers and imaging studies. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2003;15(6):641-648. PMID: 12840676. *Excluded: Imaging date out of includable range*
- Ba-Ssalamah A, Heinz-Peer G, Schima W, et al. Detection of focal hepatic lesions: comparison of unenhanced and SHU 555 A-enhanced MR imaging versus biphasic helical CTAP. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2000;11(6):665-672. PMID: 10862066. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Beissert M, Delorme S, Mutze S, et al. Comparison of B-mode and conventional colour/power Doppler ultrasound, contrast-enhanced Doppler ultrasound and spiral CT in the diagnosis of focal lesions of the liver: Results of a multicentre study. *Ultraschall Med.* 2002;23(4):245-250. PMID: 12226762. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Bharat A, Brown DB, Crippin JS, et al. Pre-liver transplantation locoregional adjuvant therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma as a strategy to improve longterm survival. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2006;203(4):411-420. PMID: 17000383. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Bhartia B, Ward J, Guthrie JA, Robinson PJ. Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic livers: double-contrast thin-section MR imaging with pathologic correlation of explanted tissue. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003;180(3):577-584. PMID: 12591657. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Bieze M, Bennink RJ, El-Massoudi Y, et al. The use of 18F-fluoromethylcholine PET/CT in differentiating focal nodular hyperplasia from hepatocellular adenoma: A prospective study of diagnostic accuracy. *Nuclear Medicine Communications.* 2013;34(2):146-154. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Bieze M, van den Esschert JW, Nio CY, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of MRI in differentiating hepatocellular adenoma from focal nodular hyperplasia: prospective study of the additional value of gadoxetate disodium. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2012;199(1):26-34. PMID: 22733890. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Bleuzen A, Huang C, Olar M, Tchuenbou J, Tranquart F. Diagnostic accuracy of contrast-enhanced ultrasound in focal lesions of the liver using cadence contrast pulse sequencing. *Ultraschall Med.* 2006;27(1):40-48. PMID: 16470478. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Bluemke DA, Paulson EK, Choti MA, DeSena S, Clavien PA. Detection of hepatic lesions in candidates for surgery: comparison of ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging and dual-phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2000;175(6):1653-1658. PMID: 11090399. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Bluemke DA, Weber TM, Rubin D, et al. Hepatic MR imaging with ferumoxides: multicenter study of safety and effectiveness of direct injection protocol. *Radiology.* 2003;228(2):457-464. PMID: 12893904. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Boeve WJ, Kok T, Haagsma EB, Slooff MJ, Sluiter WJ, Kamman RL. Superior diagnostic strength of combined

contrast enhanced MR-angiography and MR-imaging compared to intra-arterial DSA in liver transplantation candidates. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2001;19(5):609-622. PMID: 11672618. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Boin IFSF, Pracucho EM, Rique MC, et al. Expanded Milan criteria on pathological examination after liver transplantation: analysis of preoperative data. *Transplant Proc*. 2008;40(3):777-779. PMID: 18455014. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*

Bruegel M, Gaa J, Waldt S, et al. Diagnosis of hepatic metastasis: comparison of respiration-triggered diffusion-weighted echo-planar MRI and five t2-weighted turbo spin-echo sequences. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2008;191(5):1421-1429. PMID: 18941080. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Carlos RC, Kim HM, Hussain HK, Francis IR, Nghiem HV, Fendrick AM. Developing a prediction rule to assess hepatic malignancy in patients with cirrhosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;180(4):893-900. PMID: 12646426. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Caturelli E, Bartolucci F, Biasini E, et al. Diagnosis of liver nodules observed in chronic liver disease patients during ultrasound screening for early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2002;97(2):397-405. PMID: 11866279. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Cedrone A, Pompili M, Sallustio G, Lorenzelli GP, Gasbarrini G, Rapaccini GL. Comparison between color power Doppler ultrasound with echo-enhancer and spiral computed tomography in the evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma vascularization before and after ablation procedures. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2001;96(6):1854-1859. PMID: 11419839. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Chami L, Lassau N, Malka D, et al. Benefits of contrast-enhanced sonography for the detection of liver lesions: comparison with histologic findings.[Erratum appears in AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008 May;190(5):1152.]. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2008;190(3):683-690. PMID: 18287439. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Chan Kyo K, Jae Hoon L, Won Jae L. Detection of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic liver: Accuracy of ultrasonography in transplant patients. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2001;20(2):99-104. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Chang JM, Lee JM, Lee MW, et al. Superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced liver magnetic resonance imaging: comparison of 1.5 T and 3.0 T imaging for detection of focal malignant liver lesions. *Investigative Radiology*. 2006;41(2):168-174. PMID: 16428988. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Chen JG, Parkin DM, Chen QG, et al. Screening for liver cancer: results of a randomised controlled trial in Qidong, China. *J Med Screen*. 2003;10(4):204-9. PMID: 14738659. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Chen MM, Yang W, Dai Y, Wu W. High mechanical index post-contrast ultrasonography improves tissue structural display of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chinese Medical Journal*. 2005;118(24):2046-2051. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Chen R-C, Lii J-M, Chou C-T, et al. T2-weighted and T1-weighted dynamic superparamagnetic iron oxide (ferucarbotran) enhanced MRI of hepatocellular carcinoma and hyperplastic nodules. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2008;107(10):798-805. PMID: 18926947. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Chen TH-H, Chen C-J, Yen M-F, et al. Ultrasound screening and risk factors for death from hepatocellular carcinoma in a high risk group in Taiwan. *Int J Cancer*. 2002;98(2):257-261. PMID: 11857416. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Cho CS, Curran S, Schwartz LH, et al. Preoperative radiographic assessment of hepatic steatosis with histologic correlation. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2008;206(3):480-488. PMID: 18308219. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Choi D, Kim S, Lim J, et al. Preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: ferumoxides-enhanced mr imaging versus combined helical CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2001;176(2):475-482. PMID: 11159099. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Choi JS, Kim MJ, Kim JH, et al. Comparison of multi-echo and single-echo gradient-recalled echo sequences for SPIO-enhanced liver MRI at 3 T. *Clin Radiol*. 2010;65(11):916-923. PMID: 20933647. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Chou CT, Chen RC, Chen WT, Lii JM. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma by ferucarbotran-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging: the efficacy of accumulation phase fat-suppressed T1-weighted imaging. *Clin Radiol*. 2009;64(1):22-29. PMID: Excluded: Wrong intervention

Chou CT, Chen RC, Chen WT, Lii JM. Percentage of signal intensity loss for characterisation of focal liver lesions in patients with chronic liver disease using ferucarbotran-enhanced MRI. *Br J Radiol*. 2010;83(996):1023-1028. PMID: 20413445. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Chung YE, Kim M-J, Park M-S, et al. The impact of CT follow-up interval on stages of hepatocellular carcinomas detected during the surveillance of patients with liver cirrhosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2012;199(4):816-821. PMID: 22997373. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Coates GG, Borrello JA, McFarland EG, Mirowitz SA,

- Brown JJ. Hepatic T2-weighted MRI: a prospective comparison of sequences, including breath-hold, half-Fourier turbo spin echo (HASTE). *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 1998;8(3):642-649. PMID: 9626880. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Colagrande S, La Villa G, Bartolucci M, Lanini F, Barletta G, Villari N. Spiral computed tomography versus ultrasound in the follow-up of cirrhotic patients previously treated for hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective study. *J Hepatol.* 2003;39(1):93-98. PMID: 12821049. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Colli A, Fraquelli M, Casazza G, et al. Accuracy of ultrasonography, spiral CT, magnetic resonance, and alpha-fetoprotein in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2006;101(3):513-523. PMID: 16542288. *Excluded: Systematic review*
- De Cecco CN, Buffa V, Fedeli S, et al. Dual energy CT (DECT) of the liver: conventional versus virtual unenhanced images. *Eur Radiol.* 2010;20(12):2870-2875. PMID: 20623126. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- D'Onofrio M, Faccioli N, Zamboni G, et al. Focal liver lesions in cirrhosis: value of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography compared with Doppler ultrasound and alpha-fetoprotein levels. *Radiol Med (Torino).* 2008;113(7):978-991. PMID: 18779929. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Earls JP. Comparison studies of CT and MRI in patients with hepatic metastases. *Oncology (Williston).* 2000;14(6 Suppl 3):21-28. PMID: 10887648. *Excluded: Background*
- Earls JP, Rofsky NM, DeCorato DR, Krinsky GA, Weinreb JC. Echo-train STIR MRI of the liver: comparison of breath-hold and non-breath-hold imaging strategies. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 1999;9(1):87-92. PMID: 10030655. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Efremidis SC, Hytiroglou P, Matsui O. Enhancement patterns and signal-intensity characteristics of small hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: pathologic basis and diagnostic challenges. *Eur Radiol.* 2007;17(11):2969-2982. PMID: 17618439. *Excluded: Background*
- Efremidis SC, Vougiouklis N, Zafiriadou E, et al. Pathways of lymph node involvement in upper abdominal malignancies: evaluation with high-resolution CT. *Eur Radiol.* 1999;9(5):868-874. PMID: 10369981. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Egger C, Goertz RS, Strobel D, et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound (DCE-US) for easy and rapid evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma compared to dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (DCE-CT) - A pilot study. *Ultraschall Med.* 2012;33(6):587-592. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Eisele RM, Schumacher G, Lopez-Hanninen E, Neuhaus P. Role of B-mode ultrasound screening in detection of local tumor recurrence in the first year after radiofrequency ablation in the liver. *Cancer Detect Prev.* 2007;31(4):316-322. PMID: 17935909. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- el-Desouki M, Mohamadiyah M, al-Rashed R, Othman S, al-Mofleh I. Features of hepatic cavernous hemangioma on planar and SPECT Tc-99m-labeled red blood cell scintigraphy. *Clin Nucl Med.* 1999;24(8):583-589. PMID: 10439179. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- El-Serag HB, Marrero JA, Rudolph L, Reddy KR. Diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology.* 2008;134(6):1752-1763. PMID: 18471552. *Excluded: Background*
- Erturk SM, Ichikawa T, Sano K, Motosugi U, Sou H, Araki T. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for characterization of focal liver masses: impact of parallel imaging (SENSE) and b value. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008;32(6):865-871. PMID: 19204445. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Ewertsen C, Henriksen BM, Torp-Pedersen S, Bachmann Nielsen M. Characterization by biopsy or CEUS of liver lesions guided by image fusion between ultrasonography and CT, PET/CT or MRI. *Ultraschall Med.* 2011;32(2):191-197. PMID: 21225564. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Fasani P, Sangiovanni A, De Fazio C, et al. High prevalence of multinodular hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis attributable to multiple risk factors. *Hepatology.* 1999;29(6):1704-1707. PMID: 10347111. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Federle M, Chezmar J, Rubin DL, et al. Efficacy and safety of mangafodipir trisodium (MnDPDP) injection for hepatic MRI in adults: results of the U.S. Multicenter phase III clinical trials. Efficacy of early imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2000;12(5):689-701. PMID: 11050638. *Excluded: Wrong comparator/Wrong reference standard*
- Fedorov VD, Karmazanovskii GG, Kubyshkin VA, et al. [Spiral computer tomography in diagnosis of hepatic diseases]. *Khirurgija (Mosk).* 1998(5):9-14. PMID: 9642951. *Excluded: Background*
- Fenlon HM, Tello R, deCarvalho VL, Yucel EK. Signal characteristics of focal liver lesions on double echo T2-weighted conventional spin echo MRI: observer performance versus quantitative measurements of T2 relaxation times. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2000;24(2):204-211. PMID: 10752879. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Foroutani A, Garland AM, Berber E, et al. Laparoscopic ultrasound vs triphasic computed tomography for detecting liver tumors. *Arch Surg.* 2000;135(8):933-938. PMID: 10922255. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Fu G-L, Du Y, Zee C-S, et al. Gadobenate dimeglumine-

enhanced liver magnetic resonance imaging: value of hepatobiliary phase for the detection of focal liver lesions. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2012;36(1):14-19. PMID: 22261765.

*Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Fujita T, Ito K, Honjo K, Okazaki H, Matsumoto T, Matsunaga N. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of T2-weighted breath-hold fast spin-echo sequences and high-resolution dynamic MR imaging with a phased-array body coil. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 1999;9(2):274-279. PMID: 10077024. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*

Fukuda H, Ito R, Ohto M, et al. US-CT 3D dual imaging by mutual display of the same sections for depicting minor changes in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(9):2014-2019. PMID: 21676568. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Fukukura Y, Kamiyama T, Takumi K, Shindo T, Higashi R, Nakajo M. Comparison of ferucarbotran-enhanced fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery echo-planar, T2-weighted turbo spin-echo, T2\*-weighted gradient-echo, and diffusion-weighted echo-planar imaging for detection of malignant liver lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010;31(3):607-616. PMID: 20187203. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Fung KTT, Li FTW, Raimondo ML, et al. Systematic review of radiological imaging for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients. *Br J Radiol.* 2004;77(920):633-640. PMID: 15326039. *Excluded: Background*

Furlan A, Marin D, Cabassa P, et al. Enhancement pattern of small hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) at contrast-enhanced US (CEUS), MDCT, and MRI: intermodality agreement and comparison of diagnostic sensitivity between 2005 and 2010 American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(9):2099-2105. PMID: 21906896. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*

Furuichi K, Furukawa A, Takahashi M, Murata K. Role of combined CT hepatic angiography and CT during arterial portography in the management of patients with hepatocellular carcinomas and liver metastases. *Hepatol Res.* 2004;28(4):191-197. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Gabata T, Matsui O, Kadoya M, et al. Delayed MR imaging of the liver: correlation of delayed enhancement of hepatic tumors and pathologic appearance. *Abdom Imaging.* 1998;23(3):309-313. PMID: 9569304. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Gehl HB, Bourne M, Grazioli L, Moller A, Lodemann KP. Off-site evaluation of liver lesion detection by Gd-BOPTA-enhanced MR imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2001;11(2):187-192. PMID: 11218012. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Geng L, Lin C, Huang B, et al. Solitary necrotic nodule of

the liver: MR findings in 33 pathologically proved lesions. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(4):623-629. PMID: 21354738. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Goetti R, Reiner CS, Knuth A, et al. Quantitative perfusion analysis of malignant liver tumors: dynamic computed tomography and contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Investigative Radiology.* 2012;47(1):18-24. PMID: 21788906. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Golfieri R, Grazioli L, Orlando E, et al. Which is the best MRI marker of malignancy for atypical cirrhotic nodules: hypointensity in hepatobiliary phase alone or combined with other features? Classification after Gd-EOB-DTPA administration. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2012;36(3):648-657. PMID: 22592930. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Golfieri R, Renzulli M, Lucidi V, Corcioni B, Trevisani F, Bolondi L. Contribution of the hepatobiliary phase of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI to Dynamic MRI in the detection of hypovascular small ( $\leq 2$  cm) HCC in cirrhosis. *Eur Radiol.* 2011;21(6):1233-1242. PMID: 21293864. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Goshima S, Kanematsu M, Kondo H, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging of the liver: optimizing b value for the detection and characterization of benign and malignant hepatic lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2008;28(3):691-697. PMID: 18777553. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Gourtsoyianni S, Papanikolaou N, Yarmenitis S, Maris T, Karantanas A, Gourtsoyiannis N. Respiratory gated diffusion-weighted imaging of the liver: value of apparent diffusion coefficient measurements in the differentiation between most commonly encountered benign and malignant focal liver lesions. *Eur Radiol.* 2008;18(3):486-492. PMID: 17994317. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Grazioli L, Morana G, Kirchin MA, Schneider G. Accurate differentiation of focal nodular hyperplasia from hepatic adenoma at gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MR imaging: prospective study. *Radiology.* 2005;236(1):166-177. PMID: 15955857. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Grazioli L, Olivetti L, Fugazzola C, et al. The pseudocapsule in hepatocellular carcinoma: correlation between dynamic MR imaging and pathology. *Eur Radiol.* 1999;9(1):62-67. PMID: 9933382. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Guang Y, Xie L, Ding H, Cai A, Huang Y. Diagnosis value of focal liver lesions with SonoVue-enhanced ultrasound compared with contrast-enhanced computed tomography and contrast-enhanced MRI: a meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2011;137(11):1595-1605. PMID: 21850382. *Excluded: Systematic review*

Guimaraes CM, Correia MM, Baldisserto M, de Queiroz Aires EP, Coelho JF. Intraoperative ultrasonography of the liver in patients with abdominal tumors: a new approach.

- Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine. 2004;23(12):1549-1555. PMID: 15557298. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Guia B, Loffroy R, Ben Salem D, et al. Combined SPIO-gadolinium magnetic resonance imaging in cirrhotic patients: negative predictive value and role in screening for hepatocellular carcinoma. Abdom Imaging. 2008;33(5):520-528. PMID: 17912584. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Hafeez S, Alam MS, Sajjad Z, Khan ZA, Akhter W, Mubarak F. Triphasic computed tomography (CT) scan in focal tumoral liver lesions. JPMA J Pak Med Assoc. 2011;61(6):571-575. PMID: 22204213. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Haider MA, Amitai MM, Rappaport DC, et al. Multi-detector row helical CT in preoperative assessment of small (< or = 1.5 cm) liver metastases: is thinner collimation better? Radiology. 2002;225(1):137-142. PMID: 12354997. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hammerstingl R, Adam G, Ayuso J-R, et al. Comparison of 1.0 M gadobutrol and 0.5 M gadopentetate dimeglumine-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in five hundred seventy-two patients with known or suspected liver lesions: results of a multicenter, double-blind, interindividual, randomized clinical phase-III trial. Investigative Radiology. 2009;44(3):168-176. PMID: 19169143. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hammerstingl R, Huppertz A, Breuer J, et al. Diagnostic efficacy of gadoxetic acid (Primovist)-enhanced MRI and spiral CT for a therapeutic strategy: comparison with intraoperative and histopathologic findings in focal liver lesions. Eur Radiol. 2008;18(3):457-467. PMID: 18058107. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hann LE, Schwartz LH, Panicek DM, Bach AM, Fong Y, Blumgart LH. Tumor involvement in hepatic veins: comparison of MR imaging and US for preoperative assessment. Radiology. 1998;206(3):651-656. PMID: 9494482. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hanna RF, Kased N, Kwan SW, et al. Double-contrast MRI for accurate staging of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008;190(1):47-57. PMID: 18094293. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Haradome H, Grazioli L, Morone M, et al. T2-weighted and diffusion-weighted MRI for discriminating benign from malignant focal liver lesions: diagnostic abilities of single versus combined interpretations. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2012;35(6):1388-1396. PMID: 22246647. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hardie AD, Romano PB. The use of T2\*-weighted multi-echo GRE imaging as a novel method to diagnose hepatocellular carcinoma compared with gadolinium-enhanced MRI: a feasibility study. Magn Reson Imaging. 2010;28(2):281-285. PMID: 20071122. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Harms J, Feussner H, Baumgartner M, Schneider A, Donhauser M, Wessels G. Three-dimensional navigated laparoscopic ultrasonography: first experiences with a new minimally invasive diagnostic device. Surg Endosc. 2001;15(12):1459-1462. PMID: 11965466. *Excluded: Background*
- Hatanaka K, Chung H, Kudo M, et al. Usefulness of the post-vascular phase of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography with sonazoid in the evaluation of gross types of hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncology. 2010;78(SUPPL 1):53-59. PMID: *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Hatanaka K, Kudo M, Minami Y, Maekawa K. Sonazoid-enhanced ultrasonography for diagnosis of hepatic malignancies: comparison with contrast-enhanced CT. Oncology. 2008;75 Suppl 1:42-47. PMID: 19092271. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hayashi M, Matsui O, Ueda K, Kawamori Y, Gabata T, Kadoya M. Progression to hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: Correlation with intranodular blood supply evaluated with CT during intraarterial injection of contrast material. Radiology. 2002;225(1):143-149. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Hayashida M, Ito K, Fujita T, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinomas in cirrhosis: differences in contrast enhancement effects between helical CT and MR imaging during multiphasic dynamic imaging. Magn Reson Imaging. 2008;26(1):65-71. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Helmberger TK, Laubenberger J, Rummeny E, et al. MRI characteristics in focal hepatic disease before and after administration of MnDPDP: discriminant analysis as a diagnostic tool. Eur Radiol. 2002;12(1):62-70. PMID: 11868075. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Henrion J, Libon E, De Maeght S, et al. Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma: compliance and results according to the aetiology of cirrhosis in a cohort of 141 patients. Acta Gastroenterol Belg. 2000;63(1):5-9. PMID: 10907311. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Herman P, Pugliese V, Machado MA, et al. Hepatic adenoma and focal nodular hyperplasia: differential diagnosis and treatment. World J Surg. 2000;24(3):372-376. PMID: 10658075. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Higashihara H, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Differential diagnosis between metastatic tumors and nonsolid benign lesions of the liver using ferucarbotran-enhanced MR imaging. Eur J Radiol. 2010;73(1):125-130. PMID: 19019608. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Hohmann J, Skrok J, Basilico R, et al. Characterisation of focal liver lesions with unenhanced and contrast enhanced low MI real time ultrasound: on-site unblinded versus off-site blinded reading. Eur J Radiol. 2012;81(3):e317-324. PMID: 22100374. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Holalkere N-S, Sahani DV, Blake MA, Halpern EF, Hahn PF, Mueller PR. Characterization of small liver lesions: Added role of MR after MDCT. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2006;30(4):591-596. PMID: 16845289. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Holzapfel K, Eiber MJ, Fingerle AA, Bruegel M, Rummel EJ, Gaa J. Detection, classification, and characterization of focal liver lesions: Value of diffusion-weighted MR imaging, gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR imaging and the combination of both methods. *Abdom Imaging.* 2012;37(1):74-82. PMID: 21597893. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Honda T, Kumada T, Kiriya S, et al. Comparison of contrast-enhanced harmonic ultrasonography and power Doppler ultrasonography for depicting vascularity of hepatocellular carcinoma identified by angiography-assisted CT. *Hepatol Res.* 2003;27(4):314-321. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Hori M, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Sensitivity of double-phase helical CT during arterial portography for detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 1998;22(6):861-867. PMID: 9843222. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Huang JS, Pan HB, Chou CP, et al. Optimizing scanning phases in detecting small (<2 cm) hepatocellular carcinoma: Whole-liver dynamic study with multidetector row CT. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008;32(3):341-346. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Huang Y-L, Chen J-H, Shen W-C. Diagnosis of hepatic tumors with texture analysis in nonenhanced computed tomography images. *Acad Radiol.* 2006;13(6):713-720. PMID: 16679273. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Hussain SM, van den Bos IC, Dwarkasing RS, Kuiper JW, den Hollander J. Hepatocellular adenoma: Findings at state-of-the-art magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, computed tomography and pathologic analysis. *Eur Radiol.* 2006;16(9):1873-1886. *Excluded: Background*  
Hustinx R, Paulus P, Jacquet N, Jerusalem G, Bury T, Rigo P. Clinical evaluation of whole-body 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in the detection of liver metastases. *Ann Oncol.* 1998;9(4):397-401. PMID: 9636830. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Iannaccone R, Piacentini F, Murakami T, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Helical CT and MR imaging findings with clinical-pathologic comparison. *Radiology.* 2007;243(2):422-430. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ichikawa T, Federle MP, Grazioli L, Nalesnik M. Hepatocellular adenoma: Multiphasic CT and histopathologic findings in 25 patients. *Radiology.* 2000;214(3):861-868. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Ichikawa T, Haradome H, Hachiya J, Nitatori T, Araki T. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging with a single-shot echoplanar sequence: detection and characterization of focal hepatic lesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1998;170(2):397-402. PMID: 9456953. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Imai Y, Murakami T, Hori M, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: Combined dynamic MDCT and SPIO-enhanced MRI versus combined CTHA and CTAP. *Hepatol Res.* 2008;38(2):147-158. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Inoue E, Fujita M, Hosomi N, et al. Double phase CT arteriography of the whole liver in the evaluation of hepatic tumors. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 1998;22(1):64-68. PMID: 9448763. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Ishigami K, Tajima T, Fujita N, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma with marginal superparamagnetic iron oxide uptake on T2\*-weighted magnetic resonance imaging: histopathologic correlation. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011;80(3):e293-298. PMID: 21288675. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ishigami K, Yoshimitsu K, Nishihara Y, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma with a pseudocapsule on gadolinium-enhanced MR images: correlation with histopathologic findings. *Radiology.* 2009;250(2):435-443. PMID: 19095782. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Ishikawa M, Yogita S, Miyake H, et al. Differential diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma and borderline lesions and therapeutic strategy. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 2002;49(48):1591-1596. PMID: 12397743. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Itoh S, Ikeda M, Achiwa M, Satake H, Iwano S, Ishigaki T. Late-arterial and portal-venous phase imaging of the liver with a multislice CT scanner in patients without circulatory disturbances: automatic bolus tracking or empirical scan delay? *Eur Radiol.* 2004;14(9):1665-1673. PMID: 15067427. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Iwamoto S, Sanefuji H, Okuda K. Computed tomography angiographic findings in hepatocellular carcinoma less than 2 cm detected during follow-up in 29 patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2003;18(9):1076-1080. PMID: 12911666. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Iwata Y, Shiomi S, Sasaki N, et al. Clinical usefulness of positron emission tomography with fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose in the diagnosis of liver tumors. *Ann Nucl Med.* 2000;14(2):121-126. PMID: 10830530. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Iwazawa J, Nishida N, Yamamoto A, et al. Detection of portal perfusion abnormalities: comparison of 3-ferucarbotran-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging sequences. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2006;30(2):165-172. PMID: 16628027.

*Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Izumi N. Diagnostic and treatment algorithm of the Japanese society of hepatology: a consensus-based practice guideline. Oncology. 2010;78 Suppl 1:78-86. PMID: 20616588. *Excluded: Background*

Jadvar H. Hepatocellular carcinoma and gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: Potential role of other positron emission tomography radiotracers. Semin Nucl Med. 2012;42(4):247-254. *Excluded: Background*

Jakab Z. [Investigation of the blood supply of liver tumors -ultrasonography with contrast agents.]. Orv Hetil. 2004;145(7 Suppl 1):378-381. PMID: 15049055. *Excluded: Background*

Jeon UB, Lee JW, Choo KS, et al. Iodized oil uptake assessment with cone-beam CT in chemoembolization of small hepatocellular carcinomas. World J Gastroenterol. 2009;15(46):5833-5837. PMID: 19998505. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Jeong HT, Kim MJ, Kim YE, Park YN, Choi GH, Choi JS. MRI features of hepatocellular carcinoma expressing progenitor cell markers. Liver Int. 2012;32(3):430-440. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Jeong YY, Mitchell DG, Kamishima T. Small (<20 mm) enhancing hepatic nodules seen on arterial phase MR imaging of the cirrhotic liver: clinical implications. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2002;178(6):1327-1334. PMID: 12034592. *Excluded: Imaging date out of includable range*

Jeong YY, Yim NY, Kang HK. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver with helical CT and MRI: Imaging spectrum and pitfalls of cirrhosis-related nodules. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005;185(4):1024-1032. *Excluded: Background*

Jin Y-J, Lee HC, Lee D, et al. Role of the routine use of chest computed tomography and bone scan in staging workup of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2012;56(6):1324-1329. PMID: 22322236. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Joo I, Kim SH, Lee JY, Lee JM, Han JK, Choi BI. Comparison of semiautomated and manual measurements for simulated hypo- and hyper-attenuating hepatic tumors on MDCT: effect of slice thickness and reconstruction increment on their accuracy. Acad Radiol. 2011;18(5):626-633. PMID: 21393028. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Jou JH, Chen P-H, Jazwinski A, Bouneva I, Smith AD, Muir AJ. Rates of surveillance and management of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients evaluated at a liver transplant center. Dig Dis Sci. 2010;55(12):3591-3596. PMID: 20683659. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Jung G, Breuer J, Poll LW, et al. Imaging characteristics of

hepatocellular carcinoma using the hepatobiliary contrast agent Gd-EOB-DTPA. Acta Radiol. 2006;47(1):15-23. PMID: 16498928. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Jung WS, Jae HL, Choi D, Jang HJ, Won JL, Hyo KL. Indeterminate small, low-attenuating hepatocellular nodules on helical CT in patients with chronic liver disease: 2-Year follow-up. Clin Imaging. 2005;29(4):266-272. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Kaihara S, Kiuchi T, Ueda M, et al. Living-donor liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Transplantation. 2003;75(3 Suppl):S37-40. PMID: 12589138. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Kakeda S, Korogi Y, Ohnari N, et al. Usefulness of cone-beam volume CT with flat panel detectors in conjunction with catheter angiography for transcatheter arterial embolization. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2007;18(12):1508-1516. PMID: 18057285. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Kamel IR, Choti MA, Horton KM, et al. Surgically staged focal liver lesions: accuracy and reproducibility of dual-phase helical CT for detection and characterization. Radiology. 2003;227(3):752-757. PMID: 12773679. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Kamel IR, Liapi E, Fishman EK. Multidetector CT of hepatocellular carcinoma. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2005;19(1):63-89. PMID: 15757805. *Excluded: Background*

Kan M, Hiraoka A, Uehara T, et al. Evaluation of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography using perfluorobutane (sonazoid®) in patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison with dynamic computed tomography. Oncol Lett. 2010;1(3):485-488. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*

Kanata N, Yoshikawa T, Ohno Y, et al. HCC-to-liver contrast on arterial-dominant phase images of EOB-enhanced MRI: Comparison with dynamic CT. Magn Reson Imaging. 2013;31(1):17-22. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Kane SV, Ganger DR. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: staging and treatment. Am J Ther. 1997;4(1):39-45. PMID: 10423590. *Excluded: Background*

Kanematsu M, Goshima S, Kondo H, et al. Optimizing scan delays of fixed duration contrast injection in contrast-enhanced biphasic multidetector-row CT for the liver and the detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2005;29(2):195-201. PMID: 15772536. *Excluded: Background*

Kanematsu M, Hoshi H, Murakami T, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of low- and high-spatial-resolution dynamic MR images. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1999;173(5):1207-1212. PMID: 10541090. *Excluded: Background*

Kanematsu M, Itoh K, Matsuo M, et al. Malignant hepatic tumor detection with ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging

- with a 1.5-T system: comparison of four imaging pulse sequences. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2001;13(2):249-257. PMID: 11169831. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Karadeniz-Bilgili MY, Braga L, Birchard KR, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma missed on gadolinium enhanced MR imaging, discovered in liver explants: retrospective evaluation. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006;23(2):210-215. PMID: 16416439.
- Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Karahan OI, Yikilmaz A, Artis T, Canoz O, Coskun A, Torun E. Contrast-enhanced dynamic magnetic resonance imaging findings of hepatocellular carcinoma and their correlation with histopathologic findings. *Eur J Radiol*. 2006;57(3):445-452. *Excluded: Background*
- Karahan OI, Yikilmaz A, Isin S, Orhan S. Characterization of hepatocellular carcinomas with triphasic CT and correlation with histopathologic findings. *Acta Radiol*. 2003;44(6):566-571. *Excluded: Background*
- Kaseb AO, Abaza YM, Roses RE. Multidisciplinary management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Recent Results Cancer Res*. 2013;190:247-259. PMID: 22941025.
- Excluded: Background*
- Kashiwagi T. FDG-PET and hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol*. 2004;39(10):1017-1018. *Excluded: Background*
- Katyal S, Oliver JH, 3rd, Peterson MS, Ferris JV, Carr BS, Baron RL. Extrahepatic metastases of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology*. 2000;216(3):698-703. PMID: 10966697. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kawada T. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, sensitivity comparison and individual difference. *Clin Radiol*. 2012;67(9):940. PMID: 22608247. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kawamura E, Habu D, Ohfuji S, et al. Clinical role of FDG-PET for HCC: relationship of glucose metabolic indicator to Japan Integrated Staging (JIS) score. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2008;55(82-83):582-586. PMID: 18613412. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kawamura Y, Ikeda K, Seko Y, et al. Heterogeneous type 4 enhancement of hepatocellular carcinoma on dynamic CT is associated with tumor recurrence after radiofrequency ablation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;197(4):W665-673. PMID: 21940538. *Excluded: Background*
- Kchaou-Ouakaa A, Belhadjbrik N, Elloumi H, et al. [Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma: does it work?]. *Tunis Med*. 2007;85(10):866-870. PMID: 18236810.
- Excluded: Background*
- Ke S, Zhang F, Wang W, et al. Multiple target-specific molecular imaging agents detect liver cancer in a preclinical model. *Curr Mol Med*. 2012;12(8):944-951. PMID: 22779431. *Excluded: Background*
- Khalili K, Kim TK, Jang H-J, Yazdi LK, Guindi M, Sherman M. Indeterminate 1-2-cm nodules found on hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance: biopsy for all, some, or none? *Hepatology*. 2011;54(6):2048-2054. PMID: 22057624. *Excluded: Background*
- Khan AS, Hussain HK, Johnson TD, Weadock WJ, Pelletier SJ, Marrero JA. Value of delayed hypointensity and delayed enhancing rim in magnetic resonance imaging diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;32(2):360-366. PMID: 20677263. *Excluded: Background*
- Kilickesmez O, Bayramoglu S, Inci E, Cimilli T. Value of apparent diffusion coefficient measurement for discrimination of focal benign and malignant hepatic masses. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol*. 2009;53(1):50-55. PMID: 19453528. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim BK, Han KH, Park YN, et al. Prediction of microvascular invasion before curative resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol*. 2008;97(3):246-252. PMID: 18095300. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim BK, Kang WJ, Kim JK, et al. 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake on positron emission tomography as a prognostic predictor in locally advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2011;117(20):4779-4787. PMID: 21469082.
- Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim H, Park M-S, Choi JY, et al. Can microvessel invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma be predicted by pre-operative MRI? *Eur Radiol*. 2009;19(7):1744-1751. PMID: 19247666. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim H-C, Kim AY, Han JK, et al. Hepatic arterial and portal venous phase helical CT in patients treated with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: added value of unenhanced images. *Radiology*. 2002;225(3):773-780. PMID: 12461260. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Kim HJ, Kim TK, Kim PN, et al. Assessment of the therapeutic response of hepatocellular carcinoma treated with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization: comparison of contrast-enhanced sonography and 3-phase computed tomography. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2006;25(4):477-486. PMID: 16567437. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Kim HO, Kim JS, Shin YM, Ryu J-S, Lee YS, Lee SG. Evaluation of metabolic characteristics and viability of lipiodolized hepatocellular carcinomas using 18F-FDG PET/CT. *J Nucl Med*. 2010;51(12):1849-1856. PMID: 21098794. *Excluded: Wrong population*

- Kim HY, Choi JY, Kim CW, et al. Gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging predicts the histological grade of hepatocellular carcinoma only in patients with Child-Pugh class A cirrhosis. *Liver Transpl.* 2012;18(7):850-857. PMID: 22407909. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim I, Kim MJ. Histologic characteristics of hepatocellular carcinomas showing atypical enhancement patterns on 4-phase MDCT examination. *Korean J Radiol.* 2012;13(5):586-593. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim J-E, Kim SH, Lee SJ, Rhim H. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma 1 cm or smaller in patients with chronic liver disease: characterization with gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI that includes diffusion-weighted imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2011;196(6):W758-765. PMID: 21606265. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Kim JH, Kim MJ, Park YN, et al. Mangafodipir trisodium-enhanced MRI of hepatocellular carcinoma: correlation with histological characteristics. *Clin Radiol.* 2008;63(11):1195-1204. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim JY, Kim MJ, Kim KA, Jeong HT, Park YN. Hyperintense HCC on hepatobiliary phase images of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI: Correlation with clinical and pathological features. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(12):3877-3882. *Excluded: Background*
- Kim K-B, Kim CW, Kim GH. Area extraction of the liver and hepatocellular carcinoma in CT scans. *J Digit Imaging.* 2008;21 Suppl 1:S89-103. PMID: 17846836. *Excluded: Background*
- Kim MJ, Jin YC, Joon SL, et al. Optimal scan window for detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas during MDCT examination. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006;187(1):198-206. *Excluded: Background*
- Kim M-J, Choi J-Y, Chung YE, Choi SY. Magnetic resonance imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma using contrast media. *Oncology.* 2008;75 Suppl 1:72-82. PMID: 19092275. *Excluded: Background*
- Kim M-J, Kim JH, Chung J-J, Park MS, Lim JS, Oh YT. Focal hepatic lesions: detection and characterization with combination gadolinium- and superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology.* 2003;228(3):719-726. PMID: 12881583. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Kim M-J, Kim JH, Lim JS, et al. Detection and characterization of focal hepatic lesions: mangafodipir vs. superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2004;20(4):612-621. PMID: 15390224. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Kim S, Mannelli L, Hajdu CH, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: assessment of response to transarterial chemoembolization with image subtraction. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010;31(2):348-355. PMID: 20099348. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Kim SH, Choi BI, Lee JY, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of multi-single-detector row CT and contrast-enhanced MRI in the detection of hepatocellular carcinomas meeting the milan criteria before liver transplantation. *Intervirology.* 2008;51 Suppl 1:52-60. PMID: 18544949. *Excluded: Systematic review*
- Kim SH, Choi D, Lim JH, et al. Optimal pulse sequence for ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging used in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: a comparative study using seven pulse sequences. *Korean J Radiol.* 2002;3(2):87-97. PMID: 12087198. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Kim SH, Lee WJ, Lim HK, Park CK. SPIO-enhanced mri findings of well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinomas: correlation with MDCT findings. *Korean J Radiol.* 2009;10(2):112-120. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Kim SJ, Kim SH, Lee J. Erratum: Ferucarbotran-enhanced 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging using parallel imaging technique compared with triple-phase multidetector row computed tomography for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma (Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography (2008) 32 (379-385)). *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008;32(4):615. *Excluded: Wrong study design for Key Question*
- Kim SK, Kim SH, Lee WJ, et al. Preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: ferumoxides-enhanced versus mangafodipir trisodium-enhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;179(3):741-750. PMID: 12185056. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Kim T, Murakami T, Takahashi S, Hori M, Tsuda K, Nakamura H. Diffusion-weighted single-shot echoplanar MR imaging for liver disease. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1999;173(2):393-398. PMID: 10430143. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Kim T, Murakami T, Takahashi S, et al. Optimal phases of dynamic CT for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma: Evaluation of unenhanced and triple-phase images. *Abdom Imaging.* 1999;24(5):473-480. PMID: *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Kim TK, Jang H-J, Wilson SR. Imaging diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma with differentiation from other pathology. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2005;9(2):253-279. PMID: 15831272. *Excluded: Background*
- Kim TK, Lee KH, Khalili K, Jang H-J. Hepatocellular nodules in liver cirrhosis: contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Abdom Imaging.* 2011;36(3):244-263. PMID: 21253723. *Excluded: Background*
- Kim YJ, Yun M, Lee WJ, Kim KS, Lee JD. Usefulness of 18F-FDG PET in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2003;30(11):1467-1472. PMID:

14579085. Excluded: Wrong population

Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison of conventional gadolinium-enhanced MRI with gadolinium-enhanced MRI after the administration of ferucarbotran. Br J Radiol. 2009;82(978):468-474. PMID: Excluded: Inadequate reference standard

Kim YK, Kim CS, Lee YH, Kwak HS, Lee JM. Comparison of superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced and gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced dynamic MRI for detection of small hepatocellular carcinomas. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2004;182(5):1217-1223. PMID: 15100122. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Kim YK, Lee YH, Kwak HS, Kim CS, Han YM. Small malignant hepatic tumor detection in gadolinium- and ferucarbotran-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging: does combining ferucarbotran-enhanced T2\*-weighted gradient echo and T2-weighted turbo spin echo images have additive efficacy? Korean J Radiol. 2008;9(6):510-519. PMID: 19039267. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Kluge R, Schmidt F, Caca K, et al. Positron emission tomography with [(18)F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose for diagnosis and staging of bile duct cancer. Hepatology. 2001;33(5):1029-1035. PMID: 11343227. Excluded: Wrong population

Kneteman N, Livraghi T, Madoff D, de Santibanez E, Kew M. Tools for monitoring patients with hepatocellular carcinoma on the waiting list and after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl. 2011;17 Suppl 2:S117-127. PMID: 21584926. Excluded: Background

Kondo H, Kanematsu M, Hoshi H, et al. Preoperative detection of malignant hepatic tumors: comparison of combined methods of MR imaging with combined methods of CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2000;174(4):947-954. PMID: 10749228. Excluded: Wrong population

Konopke R, Bunk A, Kersting S. The role of contrast-enhanced ultrasound for focal liver lesion detection: an overview. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2007;33(10):1515-1526. PMID: 17618038. Excluded: Background

Korpraphong P, Leyendecker JR, Hildebolt CF, Narra V, Bae KT, Brown JJ. Serial MR imaging of small arterially-enhancing liver lesions in patients with chronic liver disease. J Med Assoc Thai. 2009;92(4):548-555. PMID: 19374308. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Kosari K, Gomes M, Hunter D, Hess DJ, Greeno E, Sielaff TD. Local, intrahepatic, and systemic recurrence patterns after radiofrequency ablation of hepatic malignancies. J Gastrointest Surg. 2002;6(2):255-263. PMID: 11992812. Excluded: Wrong population

Koyama K, Okamura T, Kawabe J, et al. The usefulness of 18F-FDG PET images obtained 2 hours after intravenous injection in liver tumor. Ann Nucl Med. 2002;16(3):169-

176. PMID: 12126041. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Krinsky G. Imaging of dysplastic nodules and small hepatocellular carcinomas: experience with explanted livers. Intervirology. 2004;47(3-5):191-198. PMID: 15383729. Excluded: Background

Krinsky GA, Lee VS. MR imaging of cirrhotic nodules. Abdom Imaging. 2000;25(5):471-482. PMID: 10931980. Excluded: Background

Krinsky GA, Lee VS, Theise ND. Focal lesions in the cirrhotic liver: high resolution ex vivo MRI with pathologic correlation. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2000;24(2):189-196. PMID: 10752877. Excluded: Background

Kubota K, Ina H, Okada Y, Irie T. Growth rate of primary single hepatocellular carcinoma: determining optimal screening interval with contrast enhanced computed tomography. Dig Dis Sci. 2003;48(3):581-586. PMID: 12757173. Excluded: Wrong Population

Kudo M. Hepatocellular carcinoma 2009 and beyond: from the surveillance to molecular targeted therapy. Oncology. 2008;75 Suppl 1:1-12. PMID: 19092266. Excluded: Background

Kudo M. Real practice of hepatocellular carcinoma in Japan: conclusions of the Japan Society of Hepatology 2009 Kobe Congress. Oncology. 2010;78 Suppl 1:180-188. PMID: 20616602. Excluded: Background

Kudo M, Hatanaka K, Maekawa K. Newly developed novel ultrasound technique, defect reperfusion ultrasound imaging, using sonazoid in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncology. 2010;78 Suppl 1:40-45. PMID: 20616583. Excluded: Inadequate reference standard

Kudo M, Matsui O, Sakamoto M, et al. Role of gadolinium-ethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: Consensus at the symposium of the 48th annual meeting of the liver cancer study group of Japan. Oncology (Switzerland). 2013;84(SUPPL.1):21-27. Excluded: Wrong Population

Kudo M, Zheng RQ, Kim SR, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of imaging for liver cirrhosis compared to histologically proven liver cirrhosis. A multicenter collaborative study. Intervirology. 2008;51 Suppl 1:17-26. PMID: 18544944. Excluded: Wrong population

Kuehl H, Antoch G, Stergar H, et al. Comparison of FDG-PET, PET/CT and MRI for follow-up of colorectal liver metastases treated with radiofrequency ablation: initial results. Eur J Radiol. 2008;67(2):362-371. PMID: 18155866. Excluded: Wrong population

Kuehl H, Rosenbaum-Krumme S, Veit-Haibach P, et al. Impact of whole-body imaging on treatment decision to radio-frequency ablation in patients with malignant liver

tumors: comparison of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose-PET/computed tomography, PET and computed tomography. Nuclear Medicine Communications. 2008;29(7):599-606. PMID: 18528181. Excluded: Wrong population

Kulemann V, Schima W, Tamandl D, et al. Preoperative detection of colorectal liver metastases in fatty liver: MDCT or MRI? Eur J Radiol. 2011;79(2):e1-6. PMID: 20392584. Excluded: Wrong population

Kumada T, Toyoda H, Tada T, et al. Evolution of hypointense hepatocellular nodules observed only in the hepatobiliary phase of gadoxetate disodium-enhanced MRI. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2011;197(1):58-63. PMID: 21701011. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Lai ECH, Tang CN, Ha JPY, Tsui DKK, Li MKW. The evolving influence of laparoscopy and laparoscopic ultrasonography on patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Surg. 2008;196(5):736-740. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Lamerz R, Runge M, Stieber P, Meissner E. Use of serum PIVKA-II (DCP) determination for differentiation between benign and malignant liver diseases. Anticancer Res. 1999;19(4A):2489-2493. PMID: 10470180. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Larcos G, Sorokopud H, Berry G, Farrell GC. Sonographic screening for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis or cirrhosis: an evaluation. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;171(2):433-435. PMID: 9694470. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Lee JK, Chung Y-H, Song B-C, et al. Recurrences of hepatocellular carcinoma following initial remission by transcatheter arterial chemoembolization. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2002;17(1):52-58. PMID: 11895553. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Lee JM, Trevisani F, Vilgrain V, Wald C. Imaging diagnosis and staging of hepatocellular carcinoma. Liver Transpl. 2011;17 Suppl 2:S34-43. PMID: 21739567. Excluded: Background

Lee JM, Yoon J-H, Kim KW. Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: newer radiological tools. Semin Oncol. 2012;39(4):399-409. PMID: 22846858. Excluded: Background

Lee JY, Choi BI, Han JK, Lee JM, Kim SH. State-of-the-art ultrasonography of hepatocellular carcinoma. Eur J Radiol. 2006;58(2):177-185. Excluded: Background

Lee KHY, O'Malley ME, Haider MA, Hanbridge A. Triple-Phase MDCT of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2004;182(3):643-649. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Lee SD, Kim SH, Kim YK, et al. 18F-FDG-PET/CT

predicts early tumor recurrence in living donor liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Transpl Int. 2013;26(1):50-60. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Lee SW, Kim HJ, Park JH, et al. Clinical usefulness of 18F-FDG PET-CT for patients with gallbladder cancer and cholangiocarcinoma. J Gastroenterol. 2010;45(5):560-566. PMID: 20035356. Excluded: Wrong population

Leen E, Ceccotti P, Kalogeropoulou C, Angerson WJ, Moug SJ, Horgan PG. Prospective multicenter trial evaluating a novel method of characterizing focal liver lesions using contrast-enhanced sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006;186(6):1551-1559. PMID: 16714643. Excluded: Wrong population

Lencioni R. Surveillance and early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Dig Liver Dis. 2010;42 Suppl 3:S223-227. PMID: 20547307. Excluded: Background

Lencioni R, Della Pina C, Bruix J, et al. Clinical management of hepatic malignancies: ferucarbotan-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging versus contrast-enhanced spiral computed tomography. Dig Dis Sci. 2005;50(3):533-537. PMID: 15810637. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Lencioni R, Della Pina C, Crocetti L, Bozzi E, Cioni D. Clinical management of focal liver lesions: the key role of real-time contrast-enhanced US. Eur Radiol. 2007;17 Suppl 6:F73-79. PMID: 18376460. Excluded: Background

Lencioni R, Piscaglia F, Bolondi L. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2008;48(5):848-857. PMID: 18328590. Excluded: Background

Leoni S, Piscaglia F, Golfieri R, et al. The impact of vascular and nonvascular findings on the noninvasive diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma based on the EASL and AASLD criteria. Am J Gastroenterol. 2010;105(3):599-609. PMID: 19935786. Excluded: Background

Leoni S, Piscaglia F, Righini R, Bolondi L. Management of small hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Gastroenterol Belg. 2006;69(2):230-235. PMID: 16929622. Excluded: Background

Li CS, Chen RC, Lii JM, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging appearance of well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2006;30(4):597-603. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Li X-D, Li Z-G, Song X-X, Liu C-F. A variant in microRNA-196a2 is associated with susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma in Chinese patients with cirrhosis. Pathology. 2010;42(7):669-673. PMID: 21080878. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Li Y-Y, Sha W-H, Zhou Y-J, Nie Y-Q. Short and long term efficacy of high intensity focused ultrasound therapy for

advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2007;22(12):2148-2154. PMID: 18031373.  
*Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Liang P-C, Ch'ang H-J, Hsu C, Tseng SS, Shih TTF, Wu Liu T. Dynamic MRI signals in the second week of radiotherapy relate to treatment outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma: a preliminary result. *Liver Int.* 2007;27(4):516-528. PMID: 17403192. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Lim JH, Cho JM, Kim EY, Park CK. Dysplastic nodules in liver cirrhosis: evaluation of hemodynamics with CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography. *Radiology.* 2000;214(3):869-874. PMID: 10715060.  
*Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Lim JH, Choi D, Park CK, Lee WJ, Lim HK. Encapsulated hepatocellular carcinoma: CT-pathologic correlations. *Eur Radiol.* 2006;16(10):2326-2333. PMID: *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Lim JH, Jang HJ, Kim EY, Park CK, Joh JW, Kim YI. Early recurring hepatocellular carcinoma after partial hepatic resection: preoperative CT findings. *Korean J Radiol.* 2000;1(1):38-42. PMID: 11752927. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Limanond P, Raman SS, Sayre J, Lu DSK. Comparison of dynamic gadolinium-enhanced and ferumoxides-enhanced MRI of the liver on high- and low-field scanners. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2004;20(4):640-647. PMID: 15390231.  
*Excluded: Wrong population*

Lin C-Y, Chen J-H, Liang J-A, Lin C-C, Jeng L-B, Kao C-H. 18F-FDG PET or PET/CT for detecting extrahepatic metastases or recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(9):2417-2422. PMID: 21899970. *Excluded: Systematic review*

Liu G-J, Xu H-X, Xie X-Y, et al. Does the echogenicity of focal liver lesions on baseline gray-scale ultrasound interfere with the diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced ultrasound? *Eur Radiol.* 2009;19(5):1214-1222. PMID: 19137313. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Liu J, Wang J-Z, Zhao J-D, Xu Z-Y, Jiang G-L. Use of combined maximum and minimum intensity projections to determine internal target volume in 4-dimensional CT scans for hepatic malignancies. *Radiat.* 2012;7:11. PMID: 22284745. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Liu Q, Chen J, Li H, Liang B, Zhang L, Hu T. Hepatocellular carcinoma with bile duct tumor thrombi: correlation of magnetic resonance imaging features to histopathologic manifestations. *Eur J Radiol.* 2010;76(1):103-109. PMID: 19501994. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Liu QY, Huang SQ, Chen JY, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma with bile duct tumor thrombi: CT and MRI findings. *Abdom Imaging.* 2010;35(5):537-542. PMID:

*Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Liu Q-Y, Zhang W-D, Chen J-Y, Li H-G, Liu C, Liang B-L. Hepatocellular carcinoma with bile duct tumor thrombus: dynamic computed tomography findings and histopathologic correlation. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2011;35(2):187-194. PMID: 21412088. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Liu W-Y, Jin Y, Rong R-H, Ta X, Zhu X-S. Multi-phase helical CT in diagnosis of early hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* 2003;2(1):73-76. PMID: 14607651. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Liu Y, Chen Z, Liu C, Yu D, Lu Z, Zhang N. Gadolinium-loaded polymeric nanoparticles modified with Anti-VEGF as multifunctional MRI contrast agents for the diagnosis of liver cancer. *Biomaterials.* 2011;32(22):5167-5176. PMID: 21521627. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Lo CM, Fan ST, Liu CL, et al. Determining resectability for hepatocellular carcinoma: the role of laparoscopy and laparoscopic ultrasonography. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg.* 2000;7(3):260-264. PMID: 10982624. *Excluded: Background*

Loffroy R, Lin M, Rao P, et al. Comparing the detectability of hepatocellular carcinoma by C-arm dual-phase cone-beam computed tomography during hepatic arteriography with conventional contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2012;35(1):97-104. PMID: 21328023. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Lok AS, Sterling RK, Everhart JE, et al. Des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin and alpha-fetoprotein as biomarkers for the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology.* 2010;138(2):493-502. PMID: 19852963. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Lopez Hanninen E, Vogl TJ, Bechstein WO, et al. Biphasic spiral computed tomography for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma before resection or orthotopic liver transplantation. *Investigative Radiology.* 1998;33(4):216-221. PMID: 9556746. *Excluded: Imaging date out of includable range*

Low RN. Abdominal MRI advances in the detection of liver tumours and characterisation. *Lancet Oncol.* 2007;8(6):525-535. PMID: 17540304. *Excluded: Background*

Loyer EM, Chin H, DuBrow RA, David CL, Eftekhari F, Charnsangavej C. Hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic peripheral cholangiocarcinoma: Enhancement patterns with quadruple phase helical CT - A comparative study. *Radiology.* 1999;212(3):866-875. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Lu DS, Siripongsakun S, Kyong Lee J, et al. Complete

tumor encapsulation on magnetic resonance imaging: A potentially useful imaging biomarker for better survival in solitary large hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Transpl.* 2013;19(3):283-291. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Lu Q, Luo Y, Yuan C-X, et al. Value of contrast-enhanced intraoperative ultrasound for cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a report of 20 cases. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2008;14(25):4005-4010. PMID: 18609684. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Lu X, Zhao H, Yang H, et al. A prospective clinical study on early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. *J Surg Oncol.* 2009;100(6):488-493. PMID: 19653238. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Luciani A, Baranes L, Decaens T, et al. Non-invasive diagnostic imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: Targeting cellular characterization? *J Hepatol.* 2011;55(1):224-226. *Excluded: Background*

Luo B-M, Wen Y-L, Yang H-Y, et al. Differentiation between malignant and benign nodules in the liver: use of contrast C3-MODE technology. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2005;11(16):2402-2407. PMID: 15832408. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Luo MY, Shan H, Jiang ZB, Li LF, Huang HQ. Study on hepatocellular carcinoma-associated hepatic arteriovenous shunt using multidetector CT. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2003;9(11):2455-2459. PMID: *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Luo MY, Shan H, Jiang ZB, Liang WW, Zhang JS, Li LF. Capability of multidetector CT to diagnose hepatocellular carcinoma-associated arterioportal shunt. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2005;11(17):2666-2669. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Luo W, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Clinical utility of contrast-enhanced three-dimensional ultrasound imaging with Sonazoid: findings on hepatocellular carcinoma lesions. *Eur J Radiol.* 2009;72(3):425-431. PMID: 18930616. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ma H, Liu J, Liu F. CT-guided single high-dose percutaneous acetic acid injection for small hepatocellular carcinoma: A long-term follow-up study. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(6):1184-1186. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Ma X, Samir AE, Holalkere N-S, Sahani DV. Optimal arterial phase imaging for detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma determined by continuous image capture on 16-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008;191(3):772-777. PMID: 18716108. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Macarini L, Milillo P, Cascavilla A, et al. MR characterisation of dysplastic nodules and hepatocarcinoma in the cirrhotic liver with hepatospecific superparamagnetic

contrast agents: pathological correlation in explanted livers. *Radiol Med (Torino).* 2009;114(8):1267-1282. PMID: 19902328. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Macdonald GA, Peduto AJ. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and diseases of the liver and biliary tract. Part 1. Basic principles, MRI in the assessment of diffuse and focal hepatic disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2000;15(9):980-991. PMID: 11059926. *Excluded: Background*

Maciel AC, Cerski CT, Moreira RK, Resende VL, Zanotelli ML, Matiotti SB. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation: radiological findings with anatomopathological correlation in Brazil. *Arq Gastroenterol.* 2006;43(1):24-29. PMID: 16699614. *Excluded: Imaging date out of includable range*

Magini G, Farsad M, Frigerio M, et al. C-11 acetate does not enhance usefulness of F-18 FDG PET/CT in differentiating between focal nodular hyperplasia and hepatic adenoma. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2009;34(10):659-665. PMID: 19893396. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Makita O, Yamashita Y, Arakawa A, et al. Diffuse perfusion abnormality of the liver parenchyma on angiography-assisted helical CT in relation to cirrhosis and previous treatments: a potential diagnostic pitfall for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Imaging.* 2000;24(5):292-297. PMID: 11331160. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Makita O, Yamashita Y, Arakawa A, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of helical CT arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography for hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic liver damage. An ROC analysis. *Acta Radiol.* 2000;41(5):464-469. PMID: 11016767. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Malagari K, Koskinas J, Brountzos E, et al. CT portography and post-lipiodol CT in the preinterventional work-up of primary and secondary liver tumors. A single center experience. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 1999;46(29):2901-2908. PMID: 10576370. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Mallikarjuna Swamy CM, Arathi CA, Kodandaswamy CR. Value of ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration cytology in the investigative sequence of hepatic lesions with an emphasis on hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cytol.* 2011;28(4):178-184. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Mandai M, Koda M, Matono T, et al. Assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma by contrast-enhanced ultrasound with perfluorobutane microbubbles: comparison with dynamic CT. *Br J Radiol.* 2011;84(1002):499-507. PMID: 20959373. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*

Manichon AF, Bancel B, Durieux-Millon M, et al. Hepatocellular adenoma: Evaluation with contrast-enhanced ultrasound and MRI and correlation with pathologic and phenotypic classification in 26 lesions. *HPB Surgery.* 2012;2012. *Excluded: Wrong population*

- Mann GN, Marx HF, Lai LL, Wagman LD. Clinical and cost effectiveness of a new hepatocellular MRI contrast agent, mangafodipir trisodium, in the preoperative assessment of liver resectability. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2001;8(7):573-579. PMID: 11508618. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Marchianò A, Spreafico C, Lanocita R, et al. Does iodine concentration affect the diagnostic efficacy of biphasic spiral CT in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma? *Abdom Imaging.* 2005;30(3):274-280. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Marrero JA, Welling T. Modern diagnosis and management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2009;13(2):233-247. PMID: 19442916. *Excluded: Background*
- Martie A, Sporea I, Popescu A, et al. Contrast enhanced ultrasound for the characterization of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Med Ultrason.* 2011;13(2):108-113. PMID: 21655536. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Maruyama H, Takahashi M, Ishibashi H, et al. Ultrasound-guided treatments under low acoustic power contrast harmonic imaging for hepatocellular carcinomas undetected by B-mode ultrasonography. *Liver Int.* 2009;29(5):708-714. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Massarweh NN, Park JO, Bruix J, et al. Diagnostic imaging and biopsy use among elderly medicare beneficiaries with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Oncol Pract.* 2011;7(3):155-160. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Matoba M, Kitadate M, Kondou T, Yokota H, Tonami H. Depiction of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma with 64-MDCT: Comparison of moderate- and high-concentration contrast material with and without saline flush. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009;193(3):738-744. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Matsui O, Kobayashi S, Sanada J, et al. Hepatocellular nodules in liver cirrhosis: hemodynamic evaluation (angiography-assisted CT) with special reference to multi-step hepatocarcinogenesis. *Abdom Imaging.* 2011;36(3):264-272. PMID: 21267562. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Matsui O, Ueda K, Kobayashi S, et al. Intra- and perinodular hemodynamics of hepatocellular carcinoma: CT observation during intra-arterial contrast injection. *Abdom Imaging.* 2002;27(2):147-156. *Excluded: Background*
- Matsuo M, Kanematsu M, Inaba Y, et al. Pre-operative detection of malignant hepatic tumours: value of combined helical CT during arterial portography and biphasic CT during hepatic arteriography. *Clin Radiol.* 2001;56(2):138-145. PMID: 11222073. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Matsushima M, Naganawa S, Ikeda M, et al. Diagnostic value of SPIO-mediated breath-hold, black-blood, fluid-attenuated, inversion recovery (BH-BB-FLAIR) imaging in patients with hepatocellular carcinomas. *Magn. Reson Imaging.* 2010;29(2):49-58. PMID: 20585194. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Mejia GA, Gomez MA, Serrano J, et al. Correlation between the radiologic and histologic size of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients eligible for liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2006;38(5):1394-1395. PMID: 16797313. *Excluded: Background*
- Mi B, Wan W, Yu C, You X, Xu Q. Sarcomatoid hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosed by FDG PET/CT. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2011;36(10):925-927. *Excluded: Background*
- Mikami S, Kubo S, Hirohashi K, et al. Computed tomography during arteriography and arterial portography in small hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodule: a prospective study. *Jpn J Cancer Res.* 2000;91(8):859-863. PMID: 10965029. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Miller FH, Hammond N, Siddiqi AJ, et al. Utility of diffusion-weighted MRI in distinguishing benign and malignant hepatic lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010;32(1):138-147. PMID: 20578020. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Min YW, Gwak G-Y, Lee MW, et al. Clinical course of sub-centimeter-sized nodules detected during surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2012;18(21):2654-2660. PMID: 22690074. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Miyamoto N, Sakurai Y, Nishimori H, Tsuji K, Kang J-H, Maguchi H. Optimal scan timing for coronal enhancement of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas and correlation with tumor size: evaluation with four-phase CT hepatic arteriography. *Radiat Med.* 2005;23(6):456-462. PMID: 16389992. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Miyayama S, Matsui O, Yamashiro M, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma by CT during arterial portography using a cone-beam CT technology: Comparison with conventional CTAP. *Abdom Imaging.* 2009;34(4):502-506. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Miyayama S, Yamashiro M, Okuda M, et al. Detection of corona enhancement of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma by C-arm dual-phase cone-beam CT during hepatic arteriography. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2011;34(1):81-86. PMID: 20333382. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Mochizuki T, Tsuda T, Sugawara Y, et al. Tc-99m PMT hepatobiliary scintigraphy in the differential diagnosis of extrahepatic metastases and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2000;25(12):991-995. PMID: 11129165. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Mody RJ, Pohlen JA, Malde S, Strouse PJ, Shulkin BL. FDG PET for the study of primary hepatic malignancies in children. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2006;47(1):51-55. PMID:

16078228. Excluded: Wrong population

Mok TSK, Yeo W, Yu S, et al. An intensive surveillance program detected a high incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma among hepatitis B virus carriers with abnormal alpha-fetoprotein levels or abdominal ultrasonography results. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(31):8041-8047. Excluded: Inadequate reference standard

Montorsi M, Santambrogio R, Bianchi P, et al. Laparoscopy with laparoscopic ultrasound for pretreatment staging of hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective study. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2001;5(3):312-315. PMID: 11360055. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Monzawa S, Omata K, Shimazu N, Yagawa A, Hosoda K, Araki T. Well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma: Findings of US, CT, and MR imaging. *Abdom Imaging.* 1999;24(4):392-397. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Morana G, Grazioli L, Kirchin MA, et al. Solid hypervascular liver lesions: accurate identification of true benign lesions on enhanced dynamic and hepatobiliary phase magnetic resonance imaging after gadobenate dimeglumine administration. *Investigative Radiology.* 2011;46(4):225-239. PMID: 21102346. Excluded: Wrong population

Morana G, Grazioli L, Schneider G, et al. Hypervascular hepatic lesions: dynamic and late enhancement pattern with Gd-BOPTA. *Acad Radiol.* 2002;9 Suppl 2:S476-479. PMID: 12188313. Excluded: Background

Mori K, Scheidler J, Helmberger T, et al. Detection of malignant hepatic lesions before orthotopic liver transplantation: accuracy of ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;179(4):1045-1051. PMID: 12239063. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Moribata K, Tamai H, Shingaki N, et al. Assessment of malignant potential of small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma using B-mode ultrasonography. *Hepatol Res.* 2011;41(3):233-239. PMID: Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Muhi A, Ichikawa T, Motosugi U, et al. High-b-value diffusion-weighted MR imaging of hepatocellular lesions: estimation of grade of malignancy of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2009;30(5):1005-1011. PMID: 19856432. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Murakami T, Imai Y, Okada M, et al. Ultrasonography, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: toward improved treatment decisions. *Oncology.* 2011;81 Suppl 1:86-99. PMID: 22212941. Excluded: Background

Murakami T, Kim T, Hori M, Federle MP. Double Arterial Phase Multi-Detector Row Helical CT for Detection of Hypervascular Hepatocellular Carcinoma [3]. *Radiology.* 2003;229(3):931-932. Excluded: Background

Murakami T, Okada M, Hyodo T. CT versus MR imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: toward improved treatment decisions. *Magn Reson Med Sci.* 2012;11(2):75-81. PMID: 22790293. Excluded: Background

Murakami T, Takamura M, Kim T, et al. Double phase CT during hepatic arteriography for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J Radiol.* 2005;54(2):246-252. PMID: 15837405. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Nakagawara H, Ogawa M, Matsumoto N, et al. Evaluation of malignancy of hepatocellular carcinoma using the ultrasonic B-mode method: Clinical significance of extracapsular invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma using ultrasonography. *Journal of Medical Ultrasonics.* 2007;34(2):83-91. PMID: Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Nakai M, Sato M, Ikoma A, et al. Triple-phase computed tomography during arterial portography with bolus tracking for hepatic tumors. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2010;28(2):149-156. PMID: 20182850. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Nakamura Y, Toyota N, Shuji D, et al. Clinical significance of the transitional phase at gadoxetate disodium-enhanced hepatic MRI for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: Preliminary results. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2011;35(6):723-727. PMID: Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Nakanishi M, Chuma M, Hige S, et al. Relationship between diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and histological tumor grading of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2012;19(4):1302-1309. PMID: Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Nakaura T, Awai K, Yanaga Y, et al. Detection of early enhancement of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma using single breath-hold 3D pixel shift dynamic subtraction MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008;190(1):W13-18. PMID: 18094267. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Nakayama M, Kamura T, Kimura M, Seki H, Tsukada K, Sakai K. Quantitative MRI of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic and noncirrhotic livers. *Clin Imaging.* 1998;22(4):280-283. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Nakayama M, Yamashita Y, Mitsuzaki K, et al. Improved tissue characterization of focal liver lesions with ferumoxide-enhanced T1 and T2-weighted MR imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2000;11(6):647-654. PMID: 10862064. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Namkung S, Zech CJ, Helmberger T, Reiser MF, Schoenberg SO. Superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO)-enhanced liver MRI with ferucarbotran: efficacy for characterization of focal liver lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2007;25(4):755-765. PMID: 17335040. Excluded:

### *Wrong population*

Nanashima A, Abo T, Sakamoto I, et al. Three-dimensional fusion images of hepatic vasculature and bile duct used for preoperative simulation before hepatic surgery. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 2012;59(118):1748-1757. PMID: 22369745. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Negi N, Yoshikawa T, Ohno Y, et al. Hepatic CT perfusion measurements: a feasibility study for radiation dose reduction using new image reconstruction method. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(11):3048-3054. PMID: 22613507. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Ng CS, Chandler AG, Wei W, et al. Effect of dual vascular input functions on CT perfusion parameter values and reproducibility in liver tumors and normal liver. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2012;36(4):388-393. PMID: 22805665. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ng CS, Chandler AG, Wei W, et al. Reproducibility of CT perfusion parameters in liver tumors and normal liver. *Radiology.* 2011;260(3):762-770. PMID: 21788525. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ng CS, Raunig DL, Jackson EF, et al. Reproducibility of perfusion parameters in dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI of lung and liver tumors: effect on estimates of patient sample size in clinical trials and on individual patient responses. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2010;194(2):W134-140. PMID: 20093564. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Nguyen P, Feng JC, Chang KJ. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and EUS-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA) of liver lesions. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 1999;50(3):357-361. PMID: 10462656. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Nicolau C, Vilana R, Catala V, et al. Importance of evaluating all vascular phases on contrast-enhanced sonography in the differentiation of benign from malignant focal liver lesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006;186(1):158-167. PMID: 16357396. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Niizawa G, Ikegami T, Matsuzaki Y, et al. Monitoring of hepatocellular carcinoma, following proton radiotherapy, with contrast-enhanced color Doppler ultrasonography. *J Gastroenterol.* 2005;40(3):283-290. PMID: 15830288. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Nishie A, Tajima T, Asayama Y, et al. Diagnostic performance of apparent diffusion coefficient for predicting histological grade of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011;80(2):e29-33. PMID: 20619566. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Nishie A, Tajima T, Ishigami K, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) using super paramagnetic iron oxide (SPIO)-enhanced MRI: Added value of

diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI). *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010;31(2):373-382. PMID: 20099351.

*Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Nishie A, Yoshimitsu K, Asayama Y, et al. Detection of combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinomas on enhanced CT: Comparison with histologic findings. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005;184(4):1157-1162. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Nishie A, Yoshimitsu K, Asayama Y, et al. Radiologic detectability of minute portal venous invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008;190(1):81-87. PMID: 18094297. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Nouso K, Tanaka H, Uematsu S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of the surveillance program of hepatocellular carcinoma depends on the medical circumstances. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008;23(3):437-444. PMID: 17683496. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Numminen K, Halavaara J, Isoniemi H, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of the liver: true fast imaging with steady state free precession sequence facilitates rapid and reliable distinction between hepatic hemangiomas and liver malignancies. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2003;27(4):571-576. PMID: 12886146. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Numminen K, Halavaara J, Tervahartiala P, et al. Liver tumour MRI: what do we need for lesion characterization? *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2004;39(1):67-73. PMID: 14992564. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Numminen K, Isoniemi H, Halavaara J, et al. Preoperative assessment of focal liver lesions: multidetector computed tomography challenges magnetic resonance imaging. *Acta Radiol.* 2005;46(1):9-15. PMID: 15841734. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Obuz F, Oksuzler M, Secil M, Sagol O, Karademir S, Astarcioglu H. Efficiency of MR imaging in the detection of malignant liver lesions. *Diagn Interv Radiol.* 2006;12(1):17-21. PMID: 16538579. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ogata R, Majima Y, Tateishi Y, et al. Bright loop appearance; a characteristic ultrasonography sign of early hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncol Rep.* 2000;7(6):1293-1298. PMID: 11032932. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Ogawa S, Kumada T, Toyoda H, et al. Evaluation of pathological features of hepatocellular carcinoma by contrast-enhanced ultrasonography: Comparison with pathology on resected specimen. *Eur J Radiol.* 2006;59(1):74-81. PMID: Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Ohto M, Ito R, Soma N, et al. Contrast-enhanced 3D ultrasonography in minute hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of Medical Ultrasonics.* 2011;38(1):3-12. PMID: Excluded: Wrong population

- Okada M, Imai Y, Kim T, et al. Comparison of enhancement patterns of histologically confirmed hepatocellular carcinoma between gadoxetate- and ferucarbotran-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;32(4):903-913. PMID: 20882621. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Okamoto E, Sato S, Sanchez-Siles AA, et al. Evaluation of virtual CT sonography for enhanced detection of small hepatic nodules: a prospective pilot study. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010;194(5):1272-1278. PMID: 20410414. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Okumura E, Sanada S, Suzuki M, Matsui O. A computer-aided temporal and dynamic subtraction technique of the liver for detection of small hepatocellular carcinomas on abdominal CT images. *Phys Med Biol*. 2006;51(19):4759-4771. PMID: 16985269. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Okumura E, Sanada S, Suzuki M, Takemura A, Matsui O. Effectiveness of temporal and dynamic subtraction images of the liver for detection of small HCC on abdominal CT images: comparison of 3D nonlinear image-warping and 3D global-matching techniques. *Radiol Phys Technol*. 2011;4(2):109-120. PMID: 21229338. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Onaya H, Itai Y, Satake M, et al. Highly enhanced hepatic masses seen on CT during arterial portography: Early hepatocellular carcinoma and adenomatous hyperplasia. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2000;30(10):440-445. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Onur MR, Cicekci M, Kayali A, Poyraz AK, Kocakoc E. The role of ADC measurement in differential diagnosis of focal hepatic lesions. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012;81(3):e171-176. PMID: 21353418. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Ouedraogo W, Tran-Van Nhieu J, Baranes L, et al. [Evaluation of noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma on pretransplant MRI (2010): correlation between MR imaging features and histological features on liver specimen]. *J Radiol*. 2011;92(7-8):688-700. PMID: 21819911. *Excluded: Not English language but otherwise relevant*
- Ozsunar Y, Skjoldbye B, Court-Payen M, Karstrup S, Burcharth F. Impact of intraoperative ultrasonography on surgical treatment of liver tumours. *Acta Radiol*. 2000;41(1):97-101. PMID: 10665881. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Parikh A, Taouli B. Imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: current concepts. *Recent Results Cancer Res*. 2013;190:33-55. PMID: 22941012. *Excluded: Background*
- Park HS, Lee J-M, Kim SH, et al. Differentiation of well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinomas from other hepatocellular nodules in cirrhotic liver: value of SPIO-enhanced MR imaging at 3.0 Tesla. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2009;29(2):328-335. PMID: 19161184. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Patel D, Terrault NA, Yao FY, Bass NM, Ladabaum U. Cost-effectiveness of hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance in patients with hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2005;3(1):75-84. PMID: 15645408. *Excluded: Background*
- Patel M, Shariff MIF, Ladep NG, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: diagnostics and screening. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2012;18(2):335-342. PMID: 21114800. *Excluded: Background*
- Paudyal B, Oriuchi N, Paudyal P, et al. Early diagnosis of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma with 18F-FDG PET after radiofrequency ablation therapy. *Oncol Rep*. 2007;18(6):1469-1473. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Pauleit D, Textor J, Bachmann R, et al. Improving the detectability of focal liver lesions on T2-weighted MR images: ultrafast breath-hold or respiratory-triggered thin-section MRI? *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2001;14(2):128-133. PMID: 11477670. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Pawluk RS, Tummala S, Brown JJ, Borrello JA. A retrospective analysis of the accuracy of T2-weighted images and dynamic gadolinium-enhanced sequences in the detection and characterization of focal hepatic lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1999;9(2):266-273. PMID: 10077023. *Excluded: Imaging date out of includable range*
- Peck-Radosavljevic M. Imaging and early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol*. 2011;57(3):273-286. PMID: 21769077. *Excluded: Background*
- Pei XQ, Liu LZ, Liu M, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography of hepatocellular carcinoma: correlation between quantitative parameters and histological grading. *Br J Radiol*. 2012;85(1017):e740-747. PMID: 22096225. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Petersein J, Spinazzi A, Giovagnoni A, et al. Focal liver lesions: evaluation of the efficacy of gadobenate dimeglumine in MR imaging--a multicenter phase III clinical study. *Radiology*. 2000;215(3):727-736. PMID: 10831691. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Phongkitkarun S, Srianujata T, Jatchavala J. Supplement value of magnetic resonance imaging in small hepatic lesion (< or = 20 mm) detected on routine computed tomography. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2009;92(5):677-686. PMID: 19459531. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Pirovano G, Vanzulli A, Marti-Bonmati L, et al. Evaluation of the accuracy of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MR imaging in the detection and characterization of focal liver lesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2000;175(4):1111-1120.

PMID: 11000175. Excluded: Wrong population

Piscaglia F, Lencioni R, Sagrini E, et al. Characterization of focal liver lesions with contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2010;36(4):531-550. PMID: 20350680. Excluded: Wrong publication type

Pleguezuelo M, Germani G, Marelli L, et al. Evidence-based diagnosis and locoregional therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008;2(6):761-784. PMID: 19090737. Excluded: Background

Poeckler-Schoeniger C, Koepke J, Gueckel F, Sturm J, Georgi M. MRI with superparamagnetic iron oxide: efficacy in the detection and characterization of focal hepatic lesions. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 1999;17(3):383-392. PMID: 10195581. Excluded: Wrong population

Preis O, Blake MA, Scott JA. Neural network evaluation of PET scans of the liver: a potentially useful adjunct in clinical interpretation. *Radiology.* 2011;258(3):714-721. PMID: 21339347. Excluded: Inadequate reference standard

Purysko AS, Remer EM, Coppa CP, Obuchowski NA, Schneider E, Veniero JC. Characteristics and distinguishing features of hepatocellular adenoma and focal nodular hyperplasia on gadoxetate disodium-enhanced MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2012;198(1):115-123. PMID: 22194486. Excluded: Wrong population

Qayyum A, Thoeni RF, Coakley FV, Lu Y, Guay JP, Ferrell LD. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma by ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging in cirrhosis: Incremental value of dynamic gadolinium-enhancement. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2006;23(1):17-22. PMID: 16315209. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Qian MYY, Yuwei J R, Angus P, Schelleman T, Johnson L, Gow P. Efficacy and cost of a hepatocellular carcinoma screening program at an Australian teaching hospital. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2010;25(5):951-956. PMID: 20546449. Excluded: Wrong publication type

Quaia E, Alaimo V, Baratella E, et al. Effect of observer experience in the differentiation between benign and malignant liver tumors after ultrasound contrast agent injection. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine.* 2010;29(1):25-36. PMID: 20040772. Excluded: Wrong population

Quaia E, Calliada F, Bertolotto M, et al. Characterization of focal liver lesions with contrast-specific US modes and a sulfur hexafluoride-filled microbubble contrast agent: diagnostic performance and confidence. *Radiology.* 2004;232(2):420-430. PMID: 15286314. Excluded: Wrong population

Quaia E, Lorusso A, Grisi G, Stacul F, Cova MA. The role of CEUS in the characterization of hepatocellular nodules detected during the US surveillance program--current practices in Europe. *Ultraschall Med.* 2012;33 Suppl 1:S48-

56. PMID: 22723029. Excluded: Background

Quaia E, Stacul F, Gaiani S, et al. Comparison of diagnostic performance of unenhanced vs SonoVue - enhanced ultrasonography in focal liver lesions characterization. The experience of three Italian centers. *Radiol Med (Torino).* 2004;108(1-2):71-81. PMID: 15269691. Excluded: Wrong population

Rabenandrasana HA, Furukawa A, Furuichi K, Yamasaki M, Takahashi M, Murata K. Comparison between tissue harmonic imaging and liver-specific late-phase contrast-enhanced pulse-inversion imaging in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastasis. *Radiat Med.* 2004;22(2):90-97. PMID: 15176603. Excluded: Inadequate reference standard

Raman SS, Lu DS, Chen SC, Sayre J, Eilber F, Economou J. Hepatic MR imaging using ferumoxides: prospective evaluation with surgical and intraoperative sonographic confirmation in 25 cases. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2001;177(4):807-812. PMID: 11566677. Excluded: Wrong population

Reimer P, Jahnke N, Fiebich M, et al. Hepatic lesion detection and characterization: value of nonenhanced MR imaging, superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging, and spiral CT-ROC analysis. *Radiology.* 2000;217(1):152-158. PMID: 11012438. Excluded: Wrong population

Ren FY, Piao XX, Jin AL. Efficacy of ultrasonography and alpha-fetoprotein on early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2006;12(29):4656-4659. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Rennert J, Jung E-M, Schreyer AG, et al. MR-arteriopgraphy: a new technical approach for detection of liver lesions. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2011;17(13):1739-1745. PMID: 21483635. Excluded: Background

Robinson PJ, Arnold P, Wilson D. Small "indeterminate" lesions on CT of the liver: a follow-up study of stability. *Br J Radiol.* 2003;76(912):866-874. PMID: 14711773. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Ronot M, Bahrami S, Calderaro J, et al. Hepatocellular adenomas: Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging and liver biopsy in subtype classification. *Hepatology.* 2011;53(4):1182-1191. Excluded: Wrong population

Rosenkrantz AB, Mannelli L, Mossa D, Babb JS. Breath-hold T2-weighted MRI of the liver at 3T using the BLADE technique: impact upon image quality and lesion detection. *Clin Radiol.* 2011;66(5):426-433. PMID: 21300326. Excluded: Inadequate reference standard

Ruelas-Villavicencio AL, Vargas-Vorackova F. In whom, how and how often is surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma cost-effective? *Ann Hepatol.* 2004;3(4):152-159. PMID: 15657557. Excluded: Background

- Rydberg JN, Tervonen OA, Rydberg DB, Lomas DJ, Ehman RL, Riederer SJ. Dual-echo breathhold T(2)-weighted fast spin echo MR imaging of liver lesions. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2000;18(2):117-124. PMID: 10722970. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Saab S, Ly D, Nieto J, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma screening in patients waiting for liver transplantation: a decision analytic model. *Liver Transpl*. 2003;9(7):672-681. PMID: 12827551. *Excluded: Background*
- Sacks A, Peller PJ, Surasi DS, Chatburn L, Mercier G, Subramaniam RM. Value of PET/CT in the management of primary hepatobiliary tumors, part 2. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;197(2):W260-265. PMID: 21785051. *Excluded: Background*
- Saito K, Moriyasu F, Sugimoto K, et al. Histological grade of differentiation of hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison of the efficacy of diffusion-weighted MRI with T2-weighted imaging and angiography-assisted CT. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol*. 2012;56(3):261-269. *Excluded: Background*
- Saito K, Moriyasu F, Sugimoto K, et al. Diagnostic efficacy of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI for hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodule. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2011;17(30):3503-3509. *Excluded: Background*
- Sandrasegaran K, Akisik FM, Lin C, Tahir B, Rajan J, Aisen AM. The value of diffusion-weighted imaging in characterizing focal liver masses. *Acad Radiol*. 2009;16(10):1208-1214. PMID: 19608435. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Sandrasegaran K, Ramaswamy R, Ghosh S, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI of the transplanted liver. *Clin Radiol*. 2011;66(9):820-825. PMID: 21621199. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Sangiovanni A, Del Ninno E, Fasani P, et al. Increased survival of cirrhotic patients with a hepatocellular carcinoma detected during surveillance. *Gastroenterology*. 2004;126(4):1005-1014. PMID: 15057740. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Sangiovanni A, Prati GM, Fasani P, et al. The natural history of compensated cirrhosis due to hepatitis C virus: A 17-year cohort study of 214 patients. *Hepatology*. 2006;43(6):1303-1310. PMID: 16729298. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Santagostino E, Colombo M, Rivi M, et al. A 6-month versus a 12-month surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in 559 hemophiliacs infected with the hepatitis C virus. *Blood*. 2003;102(1):78-82. PMID: 12649165. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Santi V, Trevisani F, Gramenzi A, et al. Semiannual surveillance is superior to annual surveillance for the detection of early hepatocellular carcinoma and patient survival. *J Hepatol*. 2010;53(2):291-297. PMID: 20483497. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Sato O, Okitsu S, Okuno T, et al. The growth speed of hepatocellular carcinoma in dynamic CT of the whole liver and appropriate period of course observation. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Radiology*. 2000;45(3):379-383. *Excluded: Background*
- Savranoglu P, Obuz F, Karasu S, et al. The role of SPIO-enhanced MRI in the detection of malignant liver lesions. *Clin Imaging*. 2006;30(6):377-381. PMID: 17101405. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Schindera ST, Diedrichsen L, Muller HC, et al. Iterative reconstruction algorithm for abdominal multidetector CT at different tube voltages: assessment of diagnostic accuracy, image quality, and radiation dose in a phantom study. *Radiology*. 2011;260(2):454-462. PMID: 21493795. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Schindera ST, Hareter LF, Raible S, et al. Effect of tumor size and tumor-to-liver contrast of hypovascular liver tumors on the diagnostic performance of hepatic CT imaging. *Investigative Radiology*. 2012;47(3):197-201. PMID: 22233758. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Schultz JF, Bell JD, Goldstein RM, Kuhn JA, McCarty TM. Hepatic tumor imaging using iron oxide MRI: comparison with computed tomography, clinical impact, and cost analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 1999;6(7):691-698. PMID: 10560856. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Seltzer SE, Getty DJ, Pickett RM, et al. Multimodality diagnosis of liver tumors: feature analysis with CT, liver-specific and contrast-enhanced MR, and a computer model. *Acad Radiol*. 2002;9(3):256-269. PMID: 11887942. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Semelka RC, Lee JK, Worawattanakul S, Noone TC, Patt RH, Ascher SM. Sequential use of ferumoxide particles and gadolinium chelate for the evaluation of focal liver lesions on MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1998;8(3):670-674. PMID: 9626884. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Semelka RC, Martin DR, Balci C, Lance T. Focal liver lesions: comparison of dual-phase CT and multisequence multiplanar MR imaging including dynamic gadolinium enhancement. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2001;13(3):397-401. PMID: 11241813. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Shah AJ, Parsons B, Pope I, Callaway M, Finch-Jones MD, Thomas MG. The clinical impact of magnetic resonance imaging in diagnosing focal hepatic lesions and suspected cancer. *Clin Imaging*. 2009;33(3):209-212. PMID: 19411027. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Shah TU, Semelka RC, Pamuklar E, et al. The risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients with small liver nodules on MRI. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2006;101(3):533-540. PMID: 16542290. *Excluded: Wrong setting*

- Sharma P, Saini SD, Kuhn LB, et al. Knowledge of hepatocellular carcinoma screening guidelines and clinical practices among gastroenterologists. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2011;56(2):569-577. PMID: 20978844. *Excluded: Background*
- Sherman M. The radiological diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2010;105(3):610-612. PMID: 20203642. *Excluded: Background*
- Shimizu A, Ito K, Sasaki K, et al. Small hyperintense hepatic lesions on T1-weighted images in patients with cirrhosis: evaluation with serial MRI and imaging features for clinical benignity. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2007;25(10):1430-1436. PMID: 17524587. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Shiraishi J, Sugimoto K, Moriyasu F, Kamiyama N, Doi K. Computer-aided diagnosis for the classification of focal liver lesions by use of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. *Med Phys.* 2008;35(5):1734-1746. PMID: 18561648. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Shokry A. Value of dynamic multidetector CT in different grades of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine.* 2012;43(3):361-368. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Smith JT, Hawkins RM, Guthrie JA, et al. Effect of slice thickness on liver lesion detection and characterisation by multidetector CT. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2010;54(3):188-193. PMID: 20598005. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Snowberger N, Chinnakotla S, Lepe RM, et al. Alpha fetoprotein, ultrasound, computerized tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with advanced cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;26(9):1187-1194. PMID: 17944733. *Excluded: Imaging date out of includable range*
- Song Z-Z. Diagnosis of hepatic nodules 20 mm or smaller in cirrhosis: prospective validation of the noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology.* 2008;47(6):2145-2146; author reply 2146-2147. PMID: 18508292. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Soresi M, Magliarisi C, Campagna P, et al. Usefulness of alpha-fetoprotein in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2003;23(2C):1747-1753. PMID: 12820452. *Excluded: Background*
- Soussan M, Aube C, Bahrami S, Boursier J, Valla DC, Vilgrain V. Incidental focal solid liver lesions: diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced ultrasound and MR imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2010;20(7):1715-1725. PMID: 20069427. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Soyer P, Corno L, Boudiaf M, et al. Differentiation between cavernous hemangiomas and untreated malignant neoplasms of the liver with free-breathing diffusion-weighted MR imaging: comparison with T2-weighted fast spin-echo MR imaging. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011;80(2):316-324. PMID: 20800983. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Soyer P, Dufresne AC, Somveille E, Lenormand S, Scherrer A, Rymer R. Differentiation between hepatic cavernous hemangioma and malignant tumor with T2-weighted MRI: comparison of fast spin-echo and breathhold fast spin-echo pulse sequences. *Clin Imaging.* 1998;22(3):200-210. PMID: 9559233. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Sporea I, Badea R, Martie A, et al. Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound for the evaluation of focal liver lesions in daily practice. A multicentre study. *Med.* 2012;14(2):95-100. PMID: 22675708. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Sporea I, Sirli R, Martie A, Popescu A, Danila M. How useful is contrast enhanced ultrasonography for the characterization of focal liver lesions? *J Gastrontest Liver Dis.* 2010;19(4):393-398. PMID: 21188330. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Steingruber IE, Mallouhi A, Czermak BV, et al. Pretransplantation evaluation of the cirrhotic liver with explantation correlation: accuracy of CT arterioportography and digital subtraction hepatic angiography in revealing hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003;181(1):99-108. PMID: 12818838. *Excluded: Background*
- Stoker J, Romijn MG, de Man RA, et al. Prospective comparative study of spiral computer tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut.* 2002;51(1):105-107. PMID: 12077101. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Strobel D, Bernatik T, Blank W, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of CEUS in the differential diagnosis of small (<=20 mm) and subcentimetric (<=10 mm) focal liver lesions in comparison with histology. Results of the DEGUM multicenter trial. *Ultraschall Med.* 2011;32(6):593-597. PMID: 22161556. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Strobel D, Seitz K, Blank W, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound for the characterization of focal liver lesions--diagnostic accuracy in clinical practice (DEGUM multicenter trial). *Ultraschall Med.* 2008;29(5):499-505. PMID: 19241506. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Sugihara S, Suto Y, Kamba M, Ogawa T. Comparison of various techniques of iron oxide-enhanced breath-hold MR imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Imaging.* 2001;25(2):104-109. PMID: 11483419. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Suzuki K, Yamamoto M, Shirahata N, et al. Evaluation of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Act Hepato.* 2001;42(10):528-535. *Excluded: Not English language but otherwise relevant*

- Szklaruk J, Silverman PM, Charnsangavej C. Imaging in the diagnosis, staging, treatment, and surveillance of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003;180(2):441-454. PMID: 12540450. *Excluded: Background*
- Tada T, Kumada T, Toyoda H, et al. Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma by contrast-enhanced ultrasound with perfluorobutane and enhanced magnetic resonance imaging with Gd-EOB-DTPA. *Act Hepato.* 2010;51(3):99-106. PMID: *Excluded: Not English language but otherwise relevant*
- Tahir B, Sandrasegaran K, Ramaswamy R, et al. Does the hepatocellular phase of gadobenate dimeglumine help to differentiate hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients according to histological grade? *Clin Radiol.* 2011;66(9):845-852. PMID: 21771548. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Tajima T, Zhang X, Kitagawa T, et al. Computer-aided detection (CAD) of hepatocellular carcinoma on multiphase CT images. *Progress in Biomedical Optics and Imaging - Proceedings of SPIE.* 2007;6514. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Takayasu K, Arii S, Ikai I, et al. Overall survival after transarterial lipiodol infusion chemotherapy with or without embolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: propensity score analysis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2010;194(3):830-837. PMID: 20173167. *Excluded: Background*
- Takayasu K, Maeda T, Iwata R. Sensitivity of superselective arteriography for small hepatocellular carcinoma compared with proximal arteriography and computed tomography during superselective arteriography. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2002;32(6):191-195. PMID: 12110634. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Takayasu K, Muramatsu Y, Mizuguchi Y, Ojima H. CT Imaging of early hepatocellular carcinoma and the natural outcome of hypoattenuating nodular lesions in chronic liver disease. *Oncology.* 2007;72 Suppl 1:83-91. PMID: 18087187. *Excluded: Wrong publication type*
- Takayasu K, Yoshie K, Muramatsu Y, et al. Haemodynamic changes in non-alcoholic (viral) liver cirrhosis studied by computed tomography (CT) arterial portography and CT arteriography. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 1999;14(9):908-914. PMID: 10535474. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Takeshita K, Nagashima I, Fruji S, et al. Effect of superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MRI of the liver with hepatocellular carcinoma and hyperplastic nodule. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002;26(3):451-455. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Takumi K, Fukukura Y, Shindo T, et al. Feasibility of a fixed scan delay technique using a previous bolus tracking technique data for dynamic hepatic CT. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(11):2996-3001. PMID: 22749800. *Excluded:*
- Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Tamada T, Ito K, Ueki A, et al. Peripheral low intensity sign in hepatic hemangioma: diagnostic pitfall in hepatobiliary phase of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI of the liver. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2012;35(4):852-858. PMID: 22127980. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Tan C, Thng C, Low A, et al. Wash-out of hepatocellular carcinoma: quantitative region of interest analysis on CT. *Ann Acad Med Singapore.* 2011;40(6):269-275. PMID: 21779615. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Tanabe N, Ito K, Shimizu A, et al. Hepatocellular lesions with increased iron uptake on superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in cirrhosis or chronic hepatitis: comparison of four magnetic resonance sequences for lesion conspicuity. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2009;27(6):801-806. PMID: 19144487. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Tanaka S, Oshikawa O, Sasaki T, Ioka T, Tsukuma H. Evaluation of tissue harmonic imaging for the diagnosis of focal liver lesions. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2000;26(2):183-187. PMID: 10722906. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Tanaka Y, Sasaki Y, Katayama K, et al. Probability of hepatocellular carcinoma of small hepatocellular nodules undetectable by computed tomography during arterial portography. *Hepatology.* 2000;31(4):890-898. PMID: 10733545. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Tanimoto A, Wakabayashi G, Shinmoto H, Nakatsuka S, Okuda S, Kuribayashi S. Superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging for focal hepatic lesions: a comparison with CT during arteriopertigraphy plus CT during hepatic arteriography. *J Gastroenterol.* 2005;40(4):371-380. PMID: 15870974. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Tanimoto A, Wakabayashi G, Shinmoto H, Okuda S, Kuribayashi S, Mukai M. The mechanism of ring enhancement in hepatocellular carcinoma on superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced T1-weighted images: an investigation into peritumoral Kupffer cells. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2005;21(3):230-236. PMID: 15723373. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Tao R, Zhang J, Dai Y, et al. Characterizing hepatocellular carcinoma using multi-breath-hold two-dimensional susceptibility-weighted imaging: Comparison to conventional liver MRI. *Clin Radiol.* 2012;67(12):e91-e97. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Taouli B, Goh JSK, Lu Y, et al. Growth rate of hepatocellular carcinoma: Evaluation with serial computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2005;29(4):425-429. PMID: *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Taouli B, Vilgrain V, Dumont E, Daire JL, Fan B, Menu Y. Evaluation of liver diffusion isotropy and characterization of focal hepatic lesions with two single-shot echo-planar

MR imaging sequences: prospective study in 66 patients. Radiology. 2003;226(1):71-78. PMID: 12511671.  
*Excluded: Wrong population*

Tatsumi M, Clark PA, Nakamoto Y, Wahl RL. Impact of body habitus on quantitative and qualitative image quality in whole-body FDG-PET. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003;30(1):40-45. PMID: 12483408. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Tello R, Fenlon HM, Gagliano T, deCarvalho VL, Yucel EK. Prediction rule for characterization of hepatic lesions revealed on MR imaging: estimation of malignancy. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2001;176(4):879-884. PMID: 11264070. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Tonan T, Fujimoto K, Azuma S, et al. Evaluation of small ( $<= 2$  cm) dysplastic nodules and well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinomas with ferucarbotran-enhanced MRI in a 1.0-T MRI unit: utility of T2\*-weighted gradient echo sequences with an intermediate-echo time. Eur J Radiol. 2007;64(1):133-139. PMID: 17408900. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Toyoda H, Kumada T, Sone Y. Impact of a unified CT angiography system on outcome of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009;192(3):766-774. PMID: 19234276. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Toyoda H, Kumada T, Tada T, et al. Non-hypervascular hypointense nodules detected by Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI are a risk factor for recurrence of HCC after hepatectomy. J Hepatol. 2013. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Tradati F, Colombo M, Mannucci PM, et al. A prospective multicenter study of hepatocellular carcinoma in Italian hemophiliacs with chronic hepatitis C. The Study Group of the Association of Italian Hemophilia Centers. Blood. 1998;91(4):1173-1177. PMID: 9454746. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*

Treglia G, Giovannini E, Di Franco D, et al. The role of positron emission tomography using carbon-11 and fluorine-18 choline in tumors other than prostate cancer: a systematic review. Ann Nucl Med. 2012;26(6):451-461. PMID: 22566040. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Trevisani F, Cantarini MC, Labate AMM, et al. Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in elderly Italian patients with cirrhosis: effects on cancer staging and patient survival.[Erratum appears in Am J Gastroenterol. 2004 Oct;99(10):2074.]. Am J Gastroenterol. 2004;99(8):1470-1476. PMID: 15307862. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Trillaud H, Bruel J-M, Valette P-J, et al. Characterization of focal liver lesions with SonoVue-enhanced sonography: international multicenter-study in comparison to CT and MRI. World J Gastroenterol. 2009;15(30):3748-3756. PMID: 19673015. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Tsai C-C, Yen T-C, Tzen K-Y. The value of Tc-99m red

blood cell SPECT in differentiating giant cavernous hemangioma of the liver from other liver solid masses. Clin Nucl Med. 2002;27(8):578-581. PMID: 12170003.  
*Excluded: Wrong Population*

Tsurusaki M, Sugimoto K, Fujii M, Fukuda T, Matsumoto S, Sugimura K. Combination of CT during arterial portography and double-phase CT hepatic arteriography with multi-detector row helical CT for evaluation of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Radiol. 2007;62(12):1189-1197. PMID: 17981167. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Tzen KY, Yen TC, Lin WY, Tsai CC, Lin KJ. Diagnostic value of 99mTc-labeled red blood cell SPET for a solitary solid liver mass in HBV carrier patients with different echogenicities. Hepatogastroenterology. 2000;47(35):1375-1378. PMID: 11100355. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Uno A, Ishida H, Konno K, et al. Diagnosis of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma using contrast-enhanced harmonic gray-scale imaging with enhancement agents (Leovist): Correlation with helical CT and US angiography. Journal of Medical Ultrasonics. 2001;28(AUTUMN):127-133. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Vajragupta L, Kittisatra K, Sasiwimonphan K. Magnetic resonance imaging findings of hepatocellular carcinoma: Typical and atypical findings. Asian Biomedicine. 2010;4(1):113-124. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Valls C, Lopez E, Guma A, et al. Helical CT versus CT arterial portography in the detection of hepatic metastasis of colorectal carcinoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;170(5):1341-1347. PMID: 9574613. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

van den Esschert JW, Bieze M, Beuers UH, van Gulik TM, Bennink RJ. Differentiation of hepatocellular adenoma and focal nodular hyperplasia using 18F-fluorocholine PET/CT. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;38(3):436-440. PMID: 20717825. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Vilana R, Bianchi L, Varela M, et al. Is microbubble-enhanced ultrasonography sufficient for assessment of response to percutaneous treatment in patients with early hepatocellular carcinoma? Eur Radiol. 2006;16(11):2454-2462. PMID: 16710666. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Vogel WV, van Dalen JA, Wiering B, et al. Evaluation of image registration in PET/CT of the liver and recommendations for optimized imaging. J Nucl Med. 2007;48(6):910-919. PMID: 17504865. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

Vogl TJ, Schwarz W, Blume S, et al. Preoperative evaluation of malignant liver tumors: comparison of unenhanced and SPIO (Resovist)-enhanced MR imaging with biphasic CTAP and intraoperative US. Eur Radiol. 2003;13(2):262-272. PMID: 12598989. *Excluded: Wrong Population*

von Herbay A, Vogt C, Haussinger D. Late-phase pulse-inversion sonography using the contrast agent levovist: differentiation between benign and malignant focal lesions

- of the liver. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;179(5):1273-1279. PMID: 12388513. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- von Herbay A, Westendorff J, Gregor M. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound with SonoVue: differentiation between benign and malignant focal liver lesions in 317 patients. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2010;38(1):1-9. PMID: 19790253. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Vossen JA, Buijs M, Liapi E, Eng J, Bluemke DA, Kamel IR. Receiver operating characteristic analysis of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in differentiating hepatic hemangioma from other hypervascular liver lesions. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008;32(5):750-756. PMID: 18830105. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Wang B, Gao ZQ, Yan X. Correlative study of angiogenesis and dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging features of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Acta Radiol.* 2005;46(4):353-358. PMID: *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Wang DB, Zhou KR, Zeng MS, Chen KM, Wang YXJ. Mn-DPDP-enhanced 24-h delayed-scan MRI of hepatocellular carcinoma is correlated with histology [1.. Eur Radiol. 2004;14(4):743-745. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Wang H, Mu XT, Zhong X. Comparative study of dynamic MRI and MSCT in hepatocellular carcinoma with cirrhosis. *Chinese Journal of Medical Imaging Technology.* 2007;23(7):1046-1048. *Excluded: Not English language but otherwise relevant*
- Wang J, He B-j, Jiang Z-b, et al. [Diagnostic value of multislice spiral CT and MRI in detection of tumor recurrence after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma]. *Chung Hua Chung Liu Tsa Chih.* 2009;31(9):691-696. PMID: 20021867. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Wang J-H, Lu S-N, Tung H-D, et al. Flash-echo contrast sonography in the evaluation of response of small hepatocellular carcinoma to percutaneous ablation. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2006;34(4):161-168. PMID: 16615047. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Wang W-P, Wu Y, Luo Y, et al. Clinical value of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography in the characterization of focal liver lesions: a prospective multicenter trial. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* 2009;8(4):370-376. PMID: 19666405. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Wang Z, Tang J, An L, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography for assessment of tumor vascularity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine.* 2007;26(6):757-762. *Excluded: Wrong Population*
- Ward J, Chen F, Guthrie JA, et al. Hepatic lesion detection after superparamagnetic iron oxide enhancement: comparison of five T2-weighted sequences at 1.0 T by using alternative-free response receiver operating characteristic analysis. *Radiology.* 2000;214(1):159-166. PMID: 10644117. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Ward J, Guthrie JA, Scott DJ, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver: double-contrast MR imaging for diagnosis. *Radiology.* 2000;216(1):154-162. PMID: 10887242. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Watanabe S, Katada Y, Gohkyu M, Nakajima M, Kawabata H, Nozaki M. Liver perfusion CT during hepatic arteriography for the hepatocellular carcinoma: Dose reduction and quantitative evaluation for normal- and ultralow-dose protocol. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(12):3993-3997. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Willkomm P, Bender H, Bangard M, Decker P, Grunwald F, Biersack HJ. FDG PET and immunoscintigraphy with 99mTc-labeled antibody fragments for detection of the recurrence of colorectal carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2000;41(10):1657-1663. PMID: 11037995. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Wilson SR, Burns PN, Muradali D, Wilson JA, Lai X. Harmonic hepatic US with microbubble contrast agent: initial experience showing improved characterization of hemangioma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and metastasis. *Radiology.* 2000;215(1):153-161. PMID: 10751481. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Wilson SR, Kim TK, Jang H-J, Burns PN. Enhancement patterns of focal liver masses: discordance between contrast-enhanced sonography and contrast-enhanced CT and MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007;189(1):W7-W12. PMID: 17579140. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Winters SD, Jackson S, Armstrong GA, Birchall IW, Lee KHY, Low G. Value of subtraction MRI in assessing treatment response following image-guided loco-regional therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Radiol.* 2012;67(7):649-655. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Witjes CDM, Willemssen FEJA, Verheij J, et al. Histological differentiation grade and microvascular invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma predicted by dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2012;36(3):641-647. PMID: 22532493. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Wolf GK, Lang H, Prokop M, Schreiber M, Zoller W. Volume measurements of localized hepatic lesions using three-dimensional sonography in comparison with three-dimensional computed tomography. *Eur J Med Res.* 1998;3(3):157-164. PMID: 9502756. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Wong K, Paulson EK, Nelson RC. Breath-hold three-dimensional CT of the liver with multi-detector row helical CT. *Radiology.* 2001;219(1):75-79. PMID: 11274537. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Woodall CE, Scoggins CR, Loehle J, Ravindra KV, McMasters KM, Martin RCG. Hepatic imaging

characteristics predict overall survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2007;14(10):2824-2830. PMID: 17690939. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Wu W, Chen M-H, Yin S-S, et al. The role of contrast-enhanced sonography of focal liver lesions before percutaneous biopsy. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006;187(3):752-761. PMID: 16928941. Excluded: Wrong population

Xia D, Jing J, Shen H, Wu J. Value of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance images for discrimination of focal benign and malignant hepatic lesions: a meta-analysis. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2010;32(1):130-137. PMID: 20578019. Excluded: Wrong Population

Xie L, Guang Y, Ding H, Cai A, Huang Y. Diagnostic value of contrast-enhanced ultrasound, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for focal liver lesions: a meta-analysis. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2011;37(6):854-861. PMID: 21531500. Excluded: Systematic review

Xing GS, Wang S, Ouyang H, Ma XH, Zhou CW. Comparison of CT and dynamic-enhancement MRI for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Chinese Journal of Medical Imaging Technology. 2010;26(1):1-4. Excluded: Not English language but otherwise relevant

Xu H-X, Lu M-D, Xie X-H, et al. Three-dimensional contrast-enhanced ultrasound of the liver: experience of 92 cases. Ultrasonics. 2009;49(3):377-385. PMID: 19041996. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yan FH, Zeng MS, Zhou KR. Role and pitfalls of hepatic helical multi-phase CT scanning in differential diagnosis of small hemangioma and small hepatocellular carcinoma. World Journal of Gastroenterology. Vol 41998:343-347. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yanaga Y, Awai K, Nakaura T, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients weighing 70 kg or less: Initial trial of compact-bolus dynamic CT with low-dose contrast material at 80 kVp. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2011;196(6):1324-1331. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yang DM, Jahng GH, Kim HC, et al. The detection and discrimination of malignant and benign focal hepatic lesions: T2 weighted vs diffusion-weighted MRI. Br J Radiol. 2011;84(1000):319-326. PMID: 20959371. Excluded: Wrong population

Yao QJ, Hu HT, Li HL, Guo CY, Meng YL, Li YN. Xper CT in diagnosis and treatment of micro hepatocellular carcinoma. Chinese Journal of Medical Imaging Technology. 2012;28(7):1363-1366. Excluded: Wrong population

Yaqoob J, Bari V, Usman MU, Munir K, Mosharaf F,

Akhtar W. The Evaluation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma with Biphasic Contrast enhanced Helical CT Scan. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2004;54(3):123-127. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Yen Y-H, Wang J-H, Lu S-N, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonographic spoke-wheel sign in hepatic focal nodular hyperplasia. Eur J Radiol. 2006;60(3):439-444. PMID: 16916591. Excluded: Wrong population

Yoo HJ, Lee JM, Lee MW, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver: double-contrast-enhanced, high-resolution 3.0T-MR imaging with pathologic correlation. Investigative Radiology. 2008;43(7):538-546. PMID: 18580337. Excluded: Wrong intervention

Yoon J-H, Lee E-J, Cha S-S, et al. Comparison of gadovixetic acid-enhanced MR imaging versus four-phase multi-detector row computed tomography in assessing tumor regression after radiofrequency ablation in subjects with hepatocellular carcinomas. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2010;21(3):348-356. PMID: 20116285. Excluded: Wrong population

Yoon MA, Kim SH, Park HS, et al. Value of dual contrast liver MRI at 3.0 T in differentiating well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinomas from dysplastic nodules: preliminary results of multivariate analysis. Investigative Radiology. 2009;44(10):641-649. PMID: 19724237. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yoon SH, Lee JM, So YH, et al. Multiphasic MDCT enhancement pattern of hepatocellular carcinoma smaller than 3 cm in diameter: Tumor size and cellular differentiation. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009;193(6):W482-W489. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yoshioka H, Sato J, Takahashi N, et al. Dual double arterial phase dynamic MR imaging with sensitivity encoding (SENSE): which is better for diagnosing hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinomas, in-phase or opposed-phase imaging? Magn Reson Imaging. 2004;22(3):361-367. PMID: 15062931. Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yu DX, Ma XX, Wei HG, Zhang XM, Wang Q, Li CF. Angiogenesis and its maturation of hepatocellular carcinoma and its correlation with the deoxyhemoglobin parameters R2 \* and T2 \* values by using noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. Acta Academiae Medicinae Sinicae. 2009;31(5):589-593. PMID: Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported

Yu EWR, Chie WC, Chen THH. Does screening or surveillance for primary hepatocellular carcinoma with ultrasonography improve the prognosis of patients? Cancer J. 2004;10(5):317-325. PMID: Excluded: Wrong Population

Yu JS, Kim KW, Kim YH, Jeong EK, Chien D. Comparison of multishot turbo spin echo and HASTE

- sequences for T2-weighted MRI of liver lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 1998;8(5):1079-1084. PMID: 9786145. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Yu JS, Kim KW, Lee JT, Yoo HS. MR imaging during arterial portography for assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with CT during arterial portography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1998;170(6):1501-1506. PMID: 9609162. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Yu JS, Kim KW, Lee JT, Yoo HS. Focal lesions in cirrhotic liver: comparing MR imaging during arterial portography with Gd-enhanced dynamic MR imaging. *Yonsei Med J.* 2000;41(5):546-555. PMID: 11079613. *Excluded: Inadequate reference standard*
- Yucel C, Ozdemir H, Gurel S, Ozer S, Arac M. Detection and differential diagnosis of hepatic masses using pulse inversion harmonic imaging during the liver-specific late phase of contrast enhancement with Levovist. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2002;30(4):203-212. PMID: 11981929. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zacherl J, Scheuba C, Imhof M, et al. Current value of intraoperative sonography during surgery for hepatic neoplasms. *World J Surg.* 2002;26(5):550-554. PMID: 12098044. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Zandrino F, Curone P, Benzi L, Musante F. Value of an early arteriographic acquisition for evaluating the splanchnic vessels as an adjunct to biphasic CT using a multislice scanner. *Eur Radiol.* 2003;13(5):1072-1079. PMID: 12695830. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Zech CJ, Grazioli L, Breuer J, Reiser MF, Schoenberg SO. Diagnostic performance and description of morphological features of focal nodular hyperplasia in Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced liver magnetic resonance imaging: results of a multicenter trial. *Investigative Radiology.* 2008;43(7):504-511. PMID: 18580333. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zhang B, Yang B. Combined alpha fetoprotein testing and ultrasonography as a screening test for primary liver cancer. *J Med Screen.* 1999;6(2):108-110. PMID: 10444731. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zhang HP, Du LF, Li F, He YQ. Differential diagnosis of isolated hepatocellular carcinoma and metastatic hepatic carcinoma with contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. *Chinese Journal of Medical Imaging Technology.* 2009;25(11):2053-2056. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Zhang J-W, Feng X-Y, Liu H-Q, et al. CT volume measurement for prognostic evaluation of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma after TACE. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2010;16(16):2038-2045. PMID: 20419843. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zhang K, Kokudo N, Hasegawa K, et al. Detection of new tumors by intraoperative ultrasonography during repeated hepatic resections for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Arch Surg.* 2007;142(12):1170-1175. *Excluded: Wrong intervention*
- Zhang L-J, Peng J, Wu S-Y, et al. Liver virtual non-enhanced CT with dual-source, dual-energy CT: a preliminary study. *Eur Radiol.* 2010;20(9):2257-2264. PMID: 20393717. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Zhang M-G, Huang X-J, Zhu Q, Geng J, Zhang A-J. Relationship between CT grouping and complications of liver cirrhosis. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* 2006;5(2):219-223. PMID: 16698579. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Zhang R, Qin S, Zhou Y, Song Y, Sun L. Comparison of imaging characteristics between hepatic benign regenerative nodules and hepatocellular carcinomas associated with Budd-Chiari syndrome by contrast enhanced ultrasound. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81(11):2984-2989. PMID: 22341409. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zhang X, Kanematsu M, Fujita H, et al. Application of an artificial neural network to the computer-aided differentiation of focal liver disease in MR imaging. *Radiol Phys Technol.* 2009;2(2):175-182. PMID: 20821117. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Zhong-Zhen S, Kai L, Rong-Qin Z, et al. A feasibility study for determining ablative margin with 3D-CEUS-CT/MR image fusion after radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ultraschall Med.* 2012;33(7):E250-E255. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zhou JS, Huan Y, Wei MG. Quantitative analysis of hepatocellular adenomas with triphasic contrast enhanced multislice spiral computed tomography (MSCT). *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2010;9(23):3448-3457. *Excluded: Wrong setting*
- Zhou JS, Huan Y, Wei MQ, Jiang XQ. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma with multi-slice spiral CT by using double-arterial phase and portal venous phase enhanced scanning: Effect of iodine concentration of contrast material. *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2010;9(23):3443-3447. *Excluded: Wrong population*
- Zhou J-Y, Wong DWK, Ding F, et al. Liver tumour segmentation using contrast-enhanced multi-detector CT data: performance benchmarking of three semiautomated methods. *Eur Radiol.* 2010;20(7):1738-1748. PMID: 20157817. *Excluded: Wrong outcome/No diagnostic accuracy measures reported*
- Zhu GY, Teng GJ, Guo JH, et al. Application of PET-CT in monitoring residual and extrahepatic metastatic lesions for hepatocellular carcinoma with positive alpha fetoproteins after interventional therapy. *Chinese Journal of Radiology.* 2010;44(7):726-730. *Excluded: Not English language but otherwise relevant*
- Zhuang H, Sinha P, Pourdehnad M, Duarte PS, Yamamoto

AJ, Alavi A. The role of positron emission tomography with fluorine-18-deoxyglucose in identifying colorectal cancer metastases to liver. Nuclear Medicine Communications. 2000;21(9):793-798. PMID: 11065150.  
*Excluded: Wrong population*

Zimmerman P, Lu DS, Yang LY, Chen S, Sayre J, Kadell B. Hepatic metastases from breast carcinoma: comparison of noncontrast, arterial-dominant, and portal-dominant phase spiral CT. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2000;24(2):197-203. PMID: 10752878. *Excluded: Wrong population*

Zorger N, Jung E-M, Schreyer AG, et al. Ultrasound-arteriopertigraphy (US-AP): A new technical approach to perform detection of liver lesions. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc. 2010;46(2-3):117-126. PMID: 21135487.  
*Excluded: Wrong intervention*

Zuber-Jerger I, Schacherer D, Woenckhaus M, Jung EM, Scholmerich J, Klebl F. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in diagnosing liver malignancy. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc. 2009;43(1-2):109-118. PMID: 19713605. *Excluded: Wrong population*

## Appendix C. Risk of Bias

**Table C1. Diagnostic Studies Risk of Bias**

Author, Year	Random or Consecutive Sample	Avoidance of Case-control Design	Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions	Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard	Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test	Credible Reference Standard	Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation	Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard	Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients	Were all patients included in the analysis?	Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)
Addley, 2011 <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Yes	Unclear (up to 5 months but the study did not report a mean length of time)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Ahn, 2010 <sup>2</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (varied)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Akai, 2011 <sup>3</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Alaboudy, 2011 <sup>4</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
An, 2013 <sup>5</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Moderate
An, 2012 <sup>6</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	No	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	High
Baccarani U, 2006 <sup>7</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes; imaging done prior to transplant; its interpretation is part of qualifier for transplant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Baek, 2012 <sup>8</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	High
Bartolozzi, 2000 <sup>9</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Unclear	High
Bennett, 2002 <sup>10</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Bhattacharjya, 2004 <sup>11</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High
Burrel, 2003 <sup>12</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Yes	Yes (mean 46 or 53 days)	Yes	No (3/29 excluded for CT)	High
Catala, 2007 <sup>13</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Cereser, 2010 <sup>14</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Chalasani, 1999 <sup>15</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Chen, 2005 <sup>16</sup>	Unclear	No (25/26 cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (biopsy or clinical f/u)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Cheung, 2013 <sup>17</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	High
Cheung, 2011 <sup>18</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Choi, 2008 <sup>19</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yesd	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Choi, 2001 <sup>20</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Chou, 2011 <sup>21</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No (excluded nodules without histological diagnosis)	Moderate
Chung, 2011 <sup>22</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Chung, 2010 <sup>23</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Colagrande, 2000 <sup>24</sup>	Unclear	No (22/24 cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (patients who did not undergo lipiodol CT excluded)	High
Dai, 2008 <sup>25</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
de Ledinghen, 2002 <sup>26</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	No	Yes (mean 44 days)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Delbeke, 1998 <sup>27</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (pathology)	Unclear	Yes (<2 months)	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Denecke, 2009 <sup>28</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Di Martino, 2013 <sup>29</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (34 excluded due to loss to f/u or insufficient proof of tumor burden; 140 excluded due to >1 month between CT and MRI)	Moderate
Di Martino, 2010 <sup>30</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (37 excluded due to incomplete imaging or follow-up)	Moderate
D'Onofrio, 2005 <sup>31</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Doyle, 2007 <sup>32</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Eckel, 2009 <sup>33</sup>	Unclear	No (cases only)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Forner, 2008 <sup>34</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Freeman, 2006 <sup>35</sup>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Freeny, 2003 <sup>36</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	No (mean 249 or 168 days)	Yes	Yes	High
Furuse, 2000 <sup>37</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Gaiani, 2004 <sup>38</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear (US evaluated and Doppler US used as part of reference standard)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Gambarin-Gelwan, 2000 <sup>39</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear (within 6 months)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Giorgio, 2007 <sup>40</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Giorgio, 2004 <sup>41</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Golfieri, 2009 <sup>42</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Goshima, 2004 <sup>43</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Goto, 2012 <sup>44</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear (CT only including lesions <2 cm)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Guo, 2012 <sup>45</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	No (excluded difficult to dx patients)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Haradome, 2011 <sup>46</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Hardie, 2011 <sup>47</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High
Hardie, 2011 (2) <sup>48</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High
Hardie, 2011 (3) <sup>49</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Hatanaka, 2008 <sup>50</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Hecht, 2006 <sup>51</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Hidaka, 2013 <sup>52</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Higashihara, 2012 <sup>53</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	High
Hirawaka, 2011 <sup>54</sup>	Unclear	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Ho, 2003 <sup>55</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No (7/39 patients with HCC excluded)	Moderate
Ho, 2007 <sup>56</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (multiple criteria)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Hori, 2002 <sup>57</sup>	Unclear	No (90% had HCC)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (16 patients excluded due to no reference standard)	High
Hori, 1998 <sup>58</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	High
Hwang, 2012 <sup>59</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Hwang, 2009 <sup>60</sup>	Unclear	No (90% had HCC)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	High
Iannaccone, 2005 <sup>61</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Iavarone, 2010 <sup>62</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Ichikawa, 2010 <sup>63</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes (for blinded readers)	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	No (denominator s varied)	Moderate
Ichikawa, 2002 <sup>64</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Moderate
Imamura, 1998 <sup>65</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Inoue, 2012 <sup>66</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Inoue, 2009 <sup>67</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Inoue, 2008 <sup>68</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Ito, 2004 <sup>69</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Iwazawa, 2010 <sup>70</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Jang, 2000 <sup>71</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No (7/59 excluded due to no pathologic proof and 18 excluded due to follow-up with spiral CT <12 months)	Moderate
Jeng, 2003 <sup>72</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Jeng, 2002 <sup>73</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Jeong, 2011 <sup>74</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	High
Jeong, 1999 <sup>75</sup>	Unclear	No (cases and controls)	Yes	Yes	No (post-hoc)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Jin, 2013 <sup>76</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kamura, 2002 <sup>77</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kang, 2003 <sup>78</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kawada, 2010 <sup>79</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Kawaoka, 2009 <sup>80</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kawata, 2002 <sup>81</sup>	Yes	No (only analyzed cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Khalili, 2011 <sup>82</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Khan, 2000 <sup>83</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (histology or cytology)	Yes	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kim AY, 2012 <sup>84</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear (for PET)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim CK, 2001 <sup>85</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim DJ, 2012 <sup>86</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim KW, 2009 <sup>87</sup>	Yes	No (case-control)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim MJ, 2012 <sup>88</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim PN, 2012 <sup>89</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim SE, 2011 <sup>90</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim SH, 2009 <sup>91</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim SH, 2005 <sup>92</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim SJ, 2008 <sup>93</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High
Kim SK, 2002 (2) <sup>94</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Unclear (excluded 25 patients with HCC with unresectable distribution)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kim T, 2002 <sup>95</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim TK, 2011 <sup>96</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim YK, 2011 <sup>97</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim YK, 2011 (2) <sup>98</sup>	Unclear	No ( all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Kim YK, 2010 <sup>99</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kim YK, 2010 (2) <sup>100</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kim YK, 2010 (3) <sup>101</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Kim YK, 2009 (2) <sup>102</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kim YK, 2008 <sup>103</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim YK, 2008 (2) <sup>104</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kim YK, 2007 <sup>105</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (excluded 8 patients without reference exam diagnosis)	Moderate
Kim YK, 2006 <sup>106</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kim YK, 2006 <sup>107</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear (for lesions after the first HCC in each patient)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Kim YK, 2004 <sup>108</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (excluded 20 patients without reference exam diagnosis)	High
Kitamura, 2008 <sup>109</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kondo, 2005 <sup>110</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Korenaga, 2009 <sup>111</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Only AUROC reported	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Koushima, 2002 <sup>112</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Yes	Unclear	Only AUROC reported	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	High
Krinsky, 2002 <sup>113</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Krinsky, 2001 <sup>114</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kumano, 2009 <sup>115</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kunishi, 2012 <sup>116</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Kwak, 2005 <sup>117</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Kwak, 2004 <sup>118</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Moderate
Laghi, 2003 <sup>119</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lauenstein, 2007 <sup>120</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee CH, 2012 <sup>121</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Lee DH, 2009 <sup>122</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee J, 2008 <sup>123</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee JE, 2012 <sup>124</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee JM, 2003 <sup>125</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee JY, 2010 <sup>126</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee MH, 2011 <sup>127</sup>	Yes	No (case-control)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lee MW, 2010 <sup>128</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes (reference studies performed prior to test)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Li, 2006 <sup>129</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Li CS, 2006 <sup>130</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Liangpunsakul, 2003 <sup>131</sup>	Unlear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Libbrecht, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	High
Lim JH 2006 <sup>133</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lim JH 2002 <sup>134</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Unclear (excluded 115 patients with multiple HCC with unresectable distribution or main portal vein obstruction)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lim JH 2000 <sup>135</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lin MT, 2011 <sup>136</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Lin WY, 2005 <sup>137</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Liu, 2013 <sup>138</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No (for quantitative measures)	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Liu, 2012 <sup>139</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Liu, 2003 <sup>140</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Lu CH, 2010 <sup>141</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Luca, 2010 <sup>142</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Yes (no radiological assistance)	Yes (mean 2 months)	Yes	Yes	Low
Luo W, 2009 <sup>143</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Luo W, 2009 (2) <sup>144</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Luo W, 2009 (3) <sup>145</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear (16 lesions excluded for unclear reasons)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Lv, 2012 <sup>146</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Lv, 2011 <sup>147</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Maetani, 2008 <sup>148</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes (mean 21 days)	Yes	Yes	High
Marin, 2009 <sup>149</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (excluded 9 patients with inadequate CT)	Moderate
Marin, 2009 (2) <sup>150</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Moderate
Marrero, 2005 <sup>151</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Matsuo, 2001 <sup>152</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate
Mita, 2010 <sup>153</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Mok, 2004 <sup>154</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Monzawa, 2007 <sup>155</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Mori, 2005 <sup>156</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate
Moriyasu, 2009 <sup>157</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Mortele, 2001 <sup>158</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes (explant)	No (retrospective evaluation of pathology specimens for discordant results)	No (mean 103 days)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Motosugi, 2010 <sup>159</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear (CT only including lesions <2 cm)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Murakami, 2003 <sup>160</sup>	Yes	No (48/49 cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Murakami, 2001 <sup>161</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Nagaoka, 2006 <sup>162</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Nakamura, 2013 <sup>163</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Nakamura, 2000 <sup>164</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No (denominator varied for different imaging tests)	High
Nakayama, 2001 <sup>165</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Noguchi, 2003 <sup>166</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Noguchi, 2002 <sup>167</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Onishi, 2012 <sup>168</sup>	Yes	No (>90% with HCC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Ooi, 2010 <sup>169</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Ooka, 2013 <sup>170</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Park, 2012 <sup>171</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Moderate
Park, 2011 <sup>172</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Park, 2010 <sup>173</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Park G, 2010 <sup>174</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Park JW, 2008 <sup>175</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Paul, 2007 <sup>176</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High
Pauleit, 2002 <sup>177</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Pei, 2013 <sup>178</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Unclear (only evaluated patients with optimum scanning nodules)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Peterson, 2000 <sup>179</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	No (mean 107 days)	Yes	Yes	High
Petruzzi, 2013 <sup>180</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Piana, 2011 <sup>181</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (histology or AASLD criteria)	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	High
Pitton, 2009 <sup>182</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (histology, except 3 patients)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (3 patients did not have histology)	Yes	High
Pozzi Mucelli, 2006 <sup>183</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear (lab/imaging follow-up criteria not described)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Pugacheva, 2011 <sup>184</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Quia, 2009 <sup>185</sup>	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes (histopathology)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No (excluded 74 patients)	Moderate
Rhee, 2012 <sup>186</sup>	Yes	Yes	Unclear (excluded 49 lesions in which location on pathology did not match MRI)	Yes	No (for developing new criteria)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate
Rickes, 2003 <sup>187</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear (excluded 5 patients with obesity)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (excluded 10 patients due to loss to follow-up)	High
Rimola, 2012 <sup>188</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (biopsy)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Rizvi, 2006 <sup>189</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No (excluded 2/21 for unclear reasons)	High
Rode, 2001 <sup>190</sup>	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Yes	Yes (mean 50 days)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Ronzoni, 2007 <sup>191</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Moderate
Sangiovanni, 2010 <sup>192</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	No (for retrospective eval)	Yes	Yes (percutaneous biopsy)	Yes	Yes (<2 months)	Yes	Yes	Low
Sano, 2011 <sup>193</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (biopsy)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Schima, 2006 <sup>194</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Secil, 2008 <sup>195</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No (varied)	Yes	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Seitz, 2009 <sup>196</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear (for CT)	Yes (biopsy, for cases included in analysis)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (for cases included in analysis)	Yes	Moderate
Seitz, 2010 <sup>197</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear (for CT)	No (for MRI)	Yes (biopsy, for cases included in analysis)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (for cases included in analysis)	Yes	Moderate
Serste, 2012 <sup>198</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear (for MRI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low
Shah SA, 2006 <sup>199</sup>	Yes	No	Yes	Yes; imaging done prior to transplant; its interpretation is part of qualifier for transplant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes; all that met inclusion criteria	Moderate
Simon, 2005 <sup>200</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Singh, 2007 <sup>201</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	High
Sofue, 2011 <sup>202</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Unclear (some exclusions of patients with equivocal CT/MRI findings)	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	High
Sorensen, 2011 <sup>203</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (EASL criteria)	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Strobel, 2003 <sup>204</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear (imaging criteria not described)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Sugimoto, 2012 <sup>205</sup>	Yes	No (case control)	Yes	Yes	Not applicable (only AUROC reported)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Sugiyama, 2004 <sup>206</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Suh, 2011 <sup>207</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Sun, 2010 <sup>208</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Moderate
Sun, 2009 <sup>209</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Suzuki, 2004 (2) <sup>210</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Talbot, 2010 <sup>211</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Talbot, 2006 <sup>212</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	High
Tanaka, 2005 <sup>213</sup>	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No (varied)	Unclear	High
Tanaka, 2001 <sup>214</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No (some lesions based on single imaging test)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Tang, 1999 <sup>215</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes (based on biopsy or AFP, imaging findings, and response to treatment)	Unclear	Unclear (<1 month in patients with histological diagnosis)	No (varied)	Unclear	High
Tanimoto, 2002 <sup>216</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Teefey, 2003 <sup>217</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	No (mean 5.3 months)	Yes	No (10/37 excluded)	Moderate

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Trojan, 1999 <sup>218</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes (histopathological)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Tsurusaki, 2008 <sup>219</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Valls, 2004 <sup>220</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	No (mean 6.6 months)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Van Thiel, 2004 <sup>221</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Moderate
Vandecaveye, 2009 <sup>222</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (surgical pathology, percutaneous biopsy, or follow-up MRI)	Unclear	No (median months for explant)	No	Yes	Moderate
Verhoef, 2002 <sup>223</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (histopathological)	Unclear	Yes (<3 months)	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Wagnetz, 2011 <sup>224</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (surgical biopsy)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Wang, 2008 <sup>225</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Wolfort, 2010 <sup>226</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No (denominator discrepancies in subgroup analyses)	High

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Wu, 2011 <sup>227</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Wudel, 2003 <sup>228</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear (not described for most patients)	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Xiao, 2005 <sup>229</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	High
Xu, 2012 <sup>230</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Xu, 2010 <sup>231</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Xu, 2009 <sup>232</sup>	Unclear	No (all caes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Xu, 2008 <sup>233</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Yamamoto, 2008 <sup>234</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (mean 22 days)	Unclear	Yes	High
Yamamoto, 2002 <sup>235</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	High
Yan, 2002 <sup>236</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Yoo, 2009 <sup>237</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Yoon, 2007 <sup>238</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Yoshioka, 2002 <sup>239</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Yu, 2013 <sup>240</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Moderate
Yu, 2011 <sup>241</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear (also not blinded to other imaging)	Unclear	Yes	Yes (<10% excluded)	Moderate
Yu, 2009 <sup>242</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	No (excluded 24 without reference standard diagnosis)	High
Yu, 2008 <sup>243</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Random or Consecutive Sample</b>	<b>Avoidance of Case-control Design</b>	<b>Avoidance of Inappropriate Exclusions</b>	<b>Index Test Results Interpreted Without Knowledge of Reference Standard</b>	<b>Use of Pre-specified Threshold or Definition for a Positive Test</b>	<b>Credible Reference Standard</b>	<b>Reference Standard Interpreted Independently from the Test Under Evaluation</b>	<b>Appropriate Interval Between Index Test and Reference Standard</b>	<b>Same Reference Standard Applied to All Patients</b>	<b>Were all patients included in the analysis?</b>	<b>Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)</b>
Yu, 2002 <sup>244</sup>	Unclear	No (case-control)	Unclear (excluded patients with transvagal arterioportal shunt or gross portal vein thrombosis)	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Yukisawa, 2007 <sup>245</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Moderate
Zacherl, 2002 <sup>246</sup>	Yes	No (all cases)	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes (explant)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	High
Zhao, 2007 <sup>247</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Zhao, 2004 <sup>248</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Zhao, 2003 <sup>249</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Zheng, 2005 <sup>250</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	High
Zhou, 2002 <sup>251</sup>	Unclear	No (all cases)	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	High

## Appendix C. Risk of Bias

**Table C2. Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias**

Author, Year	Randomization adequate?	Allocation concealment adequate?	Groups similar at baseline?	Eligibility criteria specified?	Outcome assessors masked?	Care provider masked?	Patient masked?	Reporting of Attrition, Crossovers, Adherence, and Contamination
Trinchet JC, 2011 <sup>252</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Attrition: yes Crossover: no Adherence: yes Contamination: no
Wang JH, 2013 <sup>253</sup>	Yes; computer-assisted randomization	Unclear	Yes; only age and bilirubin levels differ	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Attrition: no Crossover: no Adherence: yes Contamination: yes; 5 patients in 12-month surveillance group dx outside time schedule
Zhang BH, 2004 <sup>254</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	No	Attrition: no Crossover: no Adherence: yes Contamination: no

Author, Year	Loss to Followup: Differential/ High	Analyze people in the groups in which they were randomized?	Post-randomization exclusions	Outcomes Pre-specified	Funding source	External validity	Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)
Trinchet JC, 2011 <sup>252</sup>	No/No	Yes	No (4% had lesion at inclusion and were excluded)	Yes	French Ministry of Health; French Ligue de Recherche contre le Cancer	Moderate; French study, all patients had cirrhosis	Moderate
Wang JH, 2013 <sup>253</sup>	Not reported	Yes	No	Yes	National Scientific Council of Taiwan	Limited; study done in Taiwan; patients had low platelets and viral hepatitis	Moderate
Zhang BH, 2004 <sup>254</sup>	Not reported	Yes	No (4% of screening group refused to participate)	Yes	Not reported	Limited; study done in China	High

## Appendix C. Risk of Bias

**Table C3. Cohort Study Risk of Bias**

Author, Year	Did the study attempt to enroll all (or a random sample of) patients meeting inclusion criteria, or a random sample (inception cohort)?	Were the groups comparable at baseline on key prognostic factors (e.g., by restriction or matching)?	Did the study use accurate methods for ascertaining exposures and potential confounders?	Were outcome assessors and/or data analysts blinded to the exposure being studied?	Did the article report attrition?	Did the study perform appropriate statistical analyses on potential confounders?	Is there important differential loss to follow-up or overall high loss to follow-up?	Were outcomes pre-specified and defined, and ascertained using accurate methods?	Overall Risk of Bias (Low, Moderate, High)
Chen MH, 2007 <sup>255</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	None performed	Unclear	Yes	High

## Appendix D. Summary Evidence Table: Diagnostic Accuracy Studies of Ultrasound Imaging

Author, Year	Reason for Ultrasound Imaging <sup>a</sup>	Contrast	Imaging Start Date	Reference Standard <sup>b</sup>	Country	Sample Size	Population Characteristics <sup>c</sup>			
							Age	Male (%)	HBV (%)	Cirrhosis (%)
Alaboudy, 2011 <sup>4</sup>	2	Perflurobutane	2008	2	Japan	32	Age: 68	Male: 72%	HBV: 22%	Cirrhosis: NR
Bennett, 2002 <sup>10</sup>	2	No contrast	1991	1	United States	200	Age: 50	Male: 67%	BV: 4.5%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Catala, 2007 <sup>13</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2002	2	Spain	77	NR			
Chalasani, 1999 <sup>15</sup>	1	No contrast	1994	4	United States	285	Age: 49	Male: 56%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 100%
Dai, 2008 <sup>25</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2004	2	China	72	Age: 59	Male: 82%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 100%
Di Martino, 2010 <sup>30</sup>	2	No contrast	2007	4	Italy	140	Age: 59	Male: 74%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 100%
D'Onofrio, 2005 <sup>31</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2002	4	Italy	NR	NR			
Forner, 2008 <sup>34</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2003	2	United States	89	Age: 65	Male: 60%	HBV: 6.7%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Freeman, 2006 <sup>35</sup>	2, 5	NR	2003	1	United States	789	Age: 56	Male: 77%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Furuse, 2000 <sup>37</sup>	1	No contrast	1996	2	Japan	37	Age: 64	Male: 86%	HBV: 3%	Cirrhosis: 89%
Gaiani, 2004 <sup>38</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2001	4	Italy	79	Age: 66	Male: 68%	HBV: 6%	Cirrhosis: NR
Gambarin-Gelwan, 2000 <sup>39</sup>	2	No contrast	NR	1	United States	106	Age: 50	Male: 65%	HBV: 5.7%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Giorgio, 2004 <sup>41</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2002	2	Italy	74	Age: 67	Male: 81%	HBV: 7%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Giorgio, 2007 <sup>40</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2003	4	Italy	73	Age: 63	Male: 67%	HBV: 4.1%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Goto, 2012 <sup>44</sup>	2	No contrast or perflurobutane	2007	3	Japan	100	Age: 68	Male: 60%	HBV: 9%	Cirrhosis: NR
Hatanaka, 2008 (2) <sup>50</sup>	3	Perfluorobutane	2007	4	Japan	214	Age: 68	Male: 63%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Iavarone, 2010 <sup>62</sup>	2	Sulfur hexafluoride	2006	2	Italy	59	Age: 66	Male: 69%	HBV: 12%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Imamura, 1998 <sup>65</sup>	2	No contrast	1995	2	Japan	114	Age: NR	Male: NR	HBV: 7.9%	Cirrhosis: 57%
Inoue, 2008 <sup>68</sup>	2	Perfluorobutane	NR	4	Japan	77	Age: 62	Male: 71%	HBV: 10%	Cirrhosis: 71%
Inoue, 2009 <sup>67</sup>	3	No contrast	2002	2	Japan	50	Age: median 67	Male: 76%	HBV: 12%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Kawada, 2010 <sup>79</sup>	2	Perfluorobutane	2008	2	Japan	13	Age: median 67	Male: 77%	HBV: 7.7%	Cirrhosis: NR
Khalili, 2011 <sup>82</sup>	3	Perflutren lipid microsphere	2006	4	Canada	84	Age: 58	Male: 63%	HBV: 50%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim CK, 2001 <sup>85</sup>	2	No contrast	1996	1	South Korea	52	Age: 45	Male: 77%	HBV: 94%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim PN, 2012 <sup>89</sup>	2	No contrast	2008	3	South Korea	898	Age: 59	Male: 76%	HBV: 73%	Cirrhosis: 89%
Korenaga, 2009 <sup>111</sup>	2	Perfluorobutane	2007	2	Japan	43	Age: 67	Male: 70%	HBV: 9.3%	Cirrhosis: 81%
Kunishi, 2012 <sup>116</sup>	2	No contrast or perfluorobutane	2009	4	Japan	50	Age: 71	Male: 70%	HBV: 10%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Lee MW, 2010 <sup>128</sup>	3	No contrast	2005	3	South Korea	93	Age: 59	Male: 70%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Libbrecht, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	2, 5	No contrast	2000	1	Belgium	49	Age: 53	Male: 65%	HBV: 19%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Lim JH, 2006 (2) <sup>133</sup>	2	No contrast	1999	2	South Korea	103	Age: 53	Male: 83%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 59%
Liu, 2003 <sup>140</sup>	2	No contrast	1996	1	South Korea	118	Age: 47	Male: 73%	HBV: 81%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Luo W 2009 (3) <sup>145</sup>	3	Perfluorobutane	2007	4	Japan	84	Age: 70	Male: 66%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 100%
Luo W, 2009 <sup>143</sup>	3	Perfluorobutane	2007	4	Japan	152	Age: 71	Male: 55%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Luo W, 2009 (2) <sup>144</sup>	3	Perfluorobutane	2007	2	Japan	139	Age: 62	Male: 65%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 53%
Mita, 2010 <sup>153</sup>	3	Perfluorobutane	2008	2	Japan	29	Age: 70	Male: 45%	HBV: 3.4%	Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for Ultrasound Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Contrast</b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Sample Size</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>c</sup></b>			
Mok, 2004 <sup>154</sup>	1	No contrast	1997	2	China	103	Age: median 48 Male: 78% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: NR			
Moriyasu, 2009 <sup>157</sup>	3	No contrast or perflurobutane	NR	4	Japan	190	Age: 63 Male: 67% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Ooi, 2010 <sup>169</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2006	4	Singapore	73	Age: 64 Male: 75% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Paul, 2007 <sup>176</sup>	1	No contrast	2001	4	India	301 (291 underwent US)	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%			
Pei, 2012 <sup>178</sup>	4	Sulfur hexafluoride	2005	2	China	100	Age: 51 Male: 86% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR Note: HCC group only			
Quaia, 2009 <sup>185</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2009	2	Italy	106	Age: 70 Male: 64% HBV: 80% Cirrhosis: 100%			
Rickes, 2003 <sup>187</sup>	3	No contrast or galactose	1998	4	Germany	87	Age: 60 Male: 71% HBV: 14% Cirrhosis: 100%			
Rode, 2001 <sup>190</sup>	2	No contrast	1996	1	France	43	Age: 51 Male: 70% HBV: 9.3% Cirrhosis: 100%			
Sangiovanni, 2010 <sup>192</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2006	2	Italy	64	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%			
Seitz, 2009 <sup>196</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2004	4	Germany	267	Age: 60 Male: 45% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Seitz, 2010 <sup>197</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2004	4	Germany	269	Age: 53 Male: 41% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Shah SA, 2006 (2) <sup>199</sup>	5	NR	NR	1	Canada	118	Age: NR Male: 80% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: NR			
Singh, 2007 <sup>201</sup>	1	No contrast	2005	2	United States	17	Age: 56 Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%			
Strobel, 2003 <sup>204</sup>	3	Octafluoropropane	1998	4	Germany	90	NR			
Sugimoto, 2012 <sup>205</sup>	4	Perfluorobutane	2008	2	Japan	66	Age: 69 Male: 70% HBV: 12% Cirrhosis: NR			
Suzuki, 2004 (2) <sup>210</sup>	3	Galactose	2000	2	Japan	46	Age: 66 Male: 67% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Tanaka, 2001 <sup>214</sup>	3	Galactose	1999	2	Japan	107	Age: 62 Male: 75% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Teefey, 2003 <sup>217</sup>	2	No contrast	1996	2	United States	25	Age: 47 Male: 65% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%			
Trojan, 1999 <sup>218</sup>	2	No contrast	1996	2	Germany	14	Age: median 60 Male: 71% HBV: 21% Cirrhosis: NR			
Van Thiel, 2004 <sup>221</sup>	1	No contrast	1998	1	United States	100	Age: 52 Male: 68% HBV: 2% Cirrhosis: NR			
Wang, 2008 <sup>225</sup>	3	Sulfur hexafluoride	2005	4	China	52	Age: 45 Male: 65% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 0%			
Xu, 2008 <sup>233</sup>	3	No contrast or sulfur hexafluoride (for HCC <2 cm)	2005	4	China	104	Age: 48 Male: 78% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			
Xu, 2012 <sup>230</sup>	3	No contrast or sulfur hexafluoride	2004	4	China	133	Age: 52 Male: 83% HBV: 95% Cirrhosis: 100%			
Yamamoto, 2002 <sup>235</sup>	3	Galactose	NR	4	Japan	41	Age: 65 Male: 54% HBV: 2.4% Cirrhosis: 95%			
Yu, 2011 <sup>241</sup>	2	No contrast	1999	1	United States	638	Age: 53 Male: 64% HBV: 10% Cirrhosis: NR			
Zhou, 2002 <sup>251</sup>	2	No contrast	1995	2	China	49	Age: NR Male: 90% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR			

HBV = hepatitis B virus; NR = not reported

a Reason for imaging key: 1=surveillance, 2=detection rate in patients undergoing surgery or with known HCC; 3=evaluation/characterization of liver mass; 4=differentiation between HCC and another type of lesion mass; 5=staging

b Reference standard key: 1=explanted livers only, 2=histological specimen (may include some explanted livers), 3=imaging and clinical criteria, 4=mixed histological and imaging/clinical criteria

c Age reported as mean (years), unless otherwise indicated

## Appendix E. Summary Evidence Table: Diagnostic Accuracy Studies of Computed Tomography Imaging

Author, Year	Reason for CT Imaging <sup>a</sup>	Scanner Type	Contrast Rate (ml/s)	Delayed Phase?	Delayed Phase Timing >120 s <sup>b</sup>	Section Thickness (mm)	Did study meet all imaging criteria? <sup>c</sup>	Imaging Start Date	Reference Standard <sup>d</sup>	Country	Number of Patients	Population Characteristics <sup>e</sup>
Addley, 2011 <sup>1</sup>	2	16- or 64-row multidetector CT	4	No	NA	1-2	No	2002	1	United Kingdom	39	Age: 56 Male: 72% HBV: 5.1% Cirrhosis: NR
Akai, 2011 <sup>3</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	No	5	No	2008	1	Japan	34	Age: 65 Male: 79% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Alaboudy, 2011 <sup>4</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	NR	No	NA	5	No	2008	2	Japan	32	Age: 68 Male: 72% HBV: 22% Cirrhosis: NR
Baccarini U, 2006 <sup>7</sup>	5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	NR	1	Italy	50	Age: median 57 Male: 86% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Baek, 2012 <sup>8</sup>	2	4-(n=6), 16-(n=9), or 64-row (n=36) multidetector CT	3-4	Yes	Yes	3-5	No	2008	4	South Korea	51	Age: NR, range 32-80 Male: 84% HBV: 80% Cirrhosis: 100%
Bartolozzi, 2000 <sup>9</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	No	NA	7 (collimation)	No	1997	4	Italy	50	Age: 65 Male: 64% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Bhattacharjya, 2004 <sup>11</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	4	No	NA	7-10 (collimation)	No	1995	1	UK	30	Age: NR HBV: 23% Male: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Burrel, 2003 <sup>12</sup>	2, 5	Non-multidetector spiral CT	4	Yes	Yes	5 (collimation)	No	2000	1	Spain	26	Age: 56 HBV: NR Male: 66% Cirrhosis: 100%
Catala, 2007 <sup>13</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT	4	Yes	Yes	5 (collimation)	No	2002	2	Spain	77	NR
Chalasani, 1999 <sup>15</sup>	1	Non-multidetector spiral CT	4	No	NA	5 (collimation)	No	1994	4	United States	285	Age: 49 HBV: None Male: 56% Cirrhosis: 100%
Cheung, 2013 <sup>17</sup>	2, 5	16-row multidetector CT	NR	Yes	NR	5	No	2004	2	China	43	Age: 60 HBV: 7.0% Male: 79% Cirrhosis: NR
Colagrande, 2000 <sup>24</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3-4	No	NA	8	No	1996	2	Italy	24	Age: 67 Male: 92% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Dai, 2008 <sup>25</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3.5	Yes	120-240	5	No	2004	2	China	72	Age: 59 HBV: NR Male: 82% Cirrhosis: 100%
de Ledinghen, 2002 <sup>26</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	No	NA	5 (collimation)	No	1997	1	France	34	Age: 54 HBV: 8.8% Male: 71% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Denecke, 2009 <sup>28</sup>	2	4-(n=9) or 16-row (n=23) multidetector CT	4	Yes	No	5 (4-row) or 1.25-5 (16-row)	No	2001	1	Germany	32	Age: 57 Male: 88% HBV: 16% Cirrhosis: NR
Di Martino, 2010 <sup>30</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2007	4	Italy	140	Age: 59 Male: 74% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Di Martino, 2013 <sup>29</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	4-5	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2007	4	Italy	58	Age: 63 Male: 67% HBV: 14% Cirrhosis: 100%
Doyle, 2007 <sup>32</sup>	2	8-row (n=8), 4-row (n=27), or non-multidetector CT (n=1)	5	No	NA	5 (collimation)	No	2001	2	Canada	36	Age: 55 Male: 67% HBV: 50% Cirrhosis: 100%
Freeman, 2006 <sup>35</sup>	2, 5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	2003	1	United States	789	Age: 56 Male: 77% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Freeny, 2003 <sup>36</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	5	Yes	Yes	3 (collimation)	No	1992	1	Switzerland	51	Age: 49 Male: 58% HBV: None Cirrhosis: 100% Note: only includes patients with hyperattenuating nodules on CT
Furuse, 2000 <sup>37</sup>	1	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	No	NA	NR	No	1996	2	Japan	37	Age: 64 Male: 86% HBV: 3% Cirrhosis: 89%
Giorgio, 2004 <sup>41</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2002	2	Italy	74	Age: 67 Male: 81% HBV: 7% Cirrhosis: 100%
Golfieri, 2009 <sup>42</sup>	3	6-row multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	5	No	2003	2	Italy	63	Age: 64 Male: 84% HBV: 35% Cirrhosis: 100%
Haradome, 2011 <sup>46</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2008	2	Japan	75	Age: 55 Male: 80% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: 72%
Hidaka, 2013 <sup>52</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	4-5	Yes	NR	3	No	2008	1	Japan	11	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: 27% Cirrhosis: NR
Higashihara, 2012 <sup>53</sup>	2	4- (n=5) or 64-row (n=25) multidetector CT	3-4	No	NA	5	No	2007	3	Japan	30	Age: 73 Male: 57% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Hirakawa, 2011 <sup>54</sup>	2	4-row multidetector CT	2.5	Yes	Yes	5	No	1999	1	Japan	25	Age: 55 Male: 52% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Hori, 1998 <sup>58</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	2	Yes	Yes	7-10	No	1995	3	Japan	50	Age: 65 Male: 76% HBV: 10% Cirrhosis: NR
Hori, 2002 <sup>57</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3-5	Yes	Yes	5,7	No	1995	4	Japan	41	Age: 64 Male: 83% HBV: 17% Cirrhosis: 56%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Hwang, 2012 <sup>59</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	3-4	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	54	Age: NR, range 33 to 81 years Male: 81% HBV: 70% Cirrhosis: NR
Iannaccone, 2005 <sup>61</sup>	3	Multidetector CT, rows NR	5	Yes	Yes	3	No	2001	4	Italy	195	Age: 61 Male: 66% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: 100%
Iavarone, 2010 <sup>62</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2006	2	Italy	59	Age: median 66 Male: 69% HBV: 12% Cirrhosis: 100%
Ichikawa, 2002 <sup>64</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	No	NA	5	No	2001	2	Japan	59	Age: 58 Male: 59% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Ichikawa, 2010 <sup>63</sup>	2	Non-multidetector or multidetector CT, rows NR	2-4	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2001	4	Japan	151	Age: 66 Male: 72% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 66%
Inoue, 2012 <sup>66</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	NR	No	NA	5	No	2008	2	Japan	66	Age: 66 Male: 64% HBV: 30% Cirrhosis: 62%
Iwazawa, 2010 <sup>70</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	No	NA	5	No	2007	4	Japan	69	Age: 68 Male: 58% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Jang, 2000 <sup>71</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	7 (collimation)	No	1996	2	South Korea	52	Age: 55 Male: 67% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Jeng, 2002 <sup>73</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	2	No	NA	10	No	1998	4	Taiwan	125	Age: 62 Male: 54% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Kang, 2003 <sup>78</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	7	No	1999	2	South Korea	70	Age: 52 Male: 84% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 64%
Kawada, 2010 <sup>79</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	3	No	NA	5	No	2008	2	Japan	13	Age: median 67 Male: 77% HBV: 7.7% Cirrhosis: NR
Kawaoka, 2009 <sup>80</sup>	5	16-row multidetector CT	3.5	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2005	4	Japan	34	Age: 59 Male: 82% HBV: 32% Cirrhosis: NR
Kawata, 2002 <sup>81</sup>	2	8-row multidetector CT	5	No	NA	5	No	1999	4	Japan	62 patients (analysis restricted to 43 patients with HCC)	Age: 64 Male: 69% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Khalili, 2011 <sup>82</sup>	3	64-row multidetector CT	5	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2006	4	Canada	84	Age: 58 Male: 63% HBV: 50% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Khan, 2000 <sup>83</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	NR	No	NA	5-7 (collimation)	No	1995	2	United States	20	Age: 60 Male: 75% HBV: 18% Cirrhosis: 75%
Kim KW, 2009 <sup>87</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	NR	Yes	Yes	2-3	No	2005	4	South Korea	82	Age: 56 Male: 84% HBV: 92% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim SE, 2011 <sup>90</sup>	4	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3.5	Yes	Yes	5	No	2006	2	South Korea	206	Age: 55 Male: 77% HBV: 67% Cirrhosis: 52%
Kim SH, 2005 <sup>92</sup>	2	4- (n=27) or 8-row (n=46) multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	5	No	2002	2	South Korea	73	Age: 53 Male: 84% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 48%
Kim SH, 2009 <sup>91</sup>	2	16- (n=31), 40-(n=14), or 64-row (n=17) multidetector CT	3-4	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2007	2	South Korea	62	Age: 55 Male: 87% HBV: 85% Cirrhosis: 48%
Kim SJ, 2008 <sup>93</sup>	2	4- (n=13), 8- (n=13), 16- (n=20), or 40-row (n=40) multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	5	No	2004	2	South Korea	86	Age: 52 Male: 81% HBV or HCV: 91% Cirrhosis: 48%
Kim SK, 2002 (2) <sup>94</sup>	2	4-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	5	No	2000	4	South Korea	25	Age: 54 Male: 84% HBV: 84% Cirrhosis: 64%
Kim T, 2002 <sup>95</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3-5	Yes	Yes	5 (collimation)	No	1999	4	South Korea	106	NR
Kim YK, 2006 <sup>106</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2003	4	South Korea	31	Age: 57 Male: 90% HBV: 97% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim YK, 2006 (2) <sup>107</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2003	4	South Korea	44	Age: 56 Male: 82% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim YK, 2009 (2) <sup>102</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2007	4	South Korea	62	Age: NR, range 40 to 74 Male: 81% HBV: 90% Cirrhosis: NR
Kitamura, 2008 <sup>109</sup>	2	4-row multidetector CT	3	NR	NR	5	No	2000	4	Turkey	91	Age: 57 Male: 62% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Kumano, 2009 <sup>115</sup>	2	8-row multidetector CT	4	No	NA	3	No	2002	4	Japan	28	Age: NR Male: 79% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Laghi, 2003 <sup>119</sup>	2	Multidetector, rows NR	5	No	NA	3	No	2000	4	Italy	48	Age: 61 Male: 73% HBV: 36% Cirrhosis: NR
Lee CH, 2012 <sup>121</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3-4	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	46	Age: 57 Male: 83% HBV: 90% Cirrhosis: NR

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Lee DH, 2009 <sup>122</sup>	2	4-row (n=4), 8-row (n=23), 16-row (n=35), or 64-row (n=17) multidetector CT	2-5	No	NA	2.5-3	No	2005	1	South Korea	78	Age: 53 Male: 74% HBV: 89% Cirrhosis: 100%
Lee J, 2008 <sup>123</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT (n=7), or 4- (n=3), 8- (n=2), or 16-row (n=4) multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	5-7	No	1997	2	South Korea	16	Age: 55 Male: 81% HBV: 81% Cirrhosis: 75%
Lee JE, 2012 <sup>124</sup>	5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	2006	4	South Korea	138	Age: 69 Male: 83% HBV: 64% Cirrhosis: NR
Lee JM, 2003 <sup>125</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	No	NA	5	No	1998	4	South Korea	43	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Li CS, 2006 <sup>130</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	2.5-3.5	Yes	No	7	No	2000	2	Taiwan	37	Age: 60 Male: 68% HBV: 60% Cirrhosis: 5%
Libbrecht, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	2, 5	Non-multidetector spiral CT	2.5-4	No	NA	5	No	2000	1	Belgium	49	Age: 53 Male: 65% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: 100%
Lim JH, 2000 <sup>135</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	7 (collimation)	No	1996	1	South Korea	41	Age: 49 Male: 80% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: 100%
Lim JH, 2002 <sup>134</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	7	No	1996	2	South Korea	113	Age: 53 Male: 82% HBV: 68% Cirrhosis: 87%
Lin MT, 2011 <sup>136</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	NR	NR	NR	5	No	2006	2	Taiwan	343	Age: 56 Male: 78% HBV: 57% Cirrhosis: 47%
Liu, 2012 <sup>139</sup>	2	8- or 16-row multidetector CT	4-5	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2004	1	United States	24	Age: 53 Male: 83% HBV: 25% Cirrhosis: 96%
Liu, 2013 <sup>138</sup>	2	8-, 16-, or 64-row multidetector CT	4-5	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2004	1	United States	24	Age: 53 Male: 83% HBV: 25% Cirrhosis: NR
Lu CH, 2010 <sup>141</sup>	2, 5	64-row multidetector CT	2.5-3	Yes	NR	NR	No	2006	1	Taiwan	57	Age: 51 Male: 89% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Luca, 2010 <sup>142</sup>	2, 5	16 or 64 row multidetector CT	5	Yes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2004	1	Italy	125	Age: 55 Male: 72% HBV: 20% Cirrhosis: 100%
Luo W, 2009 (2) <sup>144</sup>	3	16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2007	2	Japan	152	Age: 71 Male: 55% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Lv, 2011 <sup>147</sup>	4	Spectral CT	3-4	No	NA	0.625 (collimation)	No	2010	4	China	49	Age: 53 Male: 80% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 18%
Lv, 2012 <sup>146</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT with spectral mode	3-4	No	NA	1.25	No	2011	4	China	27	Age: 56 Male: 81% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 19%
Maetani, 2008 <sup>148</sup>	2	8-row multidetector CT	2-3	Yes	No	2 (collimation)	No	2003	1	Japan	41	Age: 55 Male: 68% HBV: 32% Cirrhosis: 100%
Marin, 2009 <sup>149</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	5	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2006	4	Italy	71	Age: 65 Male: 85% HBV: 25% Cirrhosis: 100%
Marin, 2009 (2) <sup>150</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	5	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2005	4	Italy	36	Age: 66 Male: 75% HBV: 29% Cirrhosis: NR
Mita, 2010 <sup>153</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	No	5 (collimation)	No	2008	2	Japan	29	Age: 70 Male: 45% HBV: 3.4 Cirrhosis: 100%
Monzawa, 2007 <sup>155</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	4	Yes	Yes	5	No	1996	2	Japan	98	Age: 66 for cases, 61 for controls Male: 67% for cases, 59% for controls HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Moriyasu, 2009 <sup>157</sup>	3	Non-multidetector or multidetector CT, rows NR	NR	NR	NR	mean 6.9 (range 2-10 mm across centers)	No	2006	4	Japan	190	Age: 63 Male: 67% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Mortele, 2001 <sup>158</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	2-4	No	NA	5 (collimation)	No	1991	1	Belgium	53	Age: 56 Male: 53% HBV: 11% Cirrhosis: 100%
Murakami, 2001 <sup>161</sup>	2	8-row multidetector CT	5	No	NA	5	No	1998	4	Japan	51	NR
Murakami, 2003 <sup>160</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	4	No	NA	5	No	2000	4	Japan	49	Age: 66 Male: 63% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Nagaoka, 2006 <sup>162</sup>	5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	2004	3	Japan	21	Age (median): 64 Male: 76% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: NR
Nakamura, 2000 <sup>164</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	10	No	1997	3	Japan	30	Age: 63 Male: 97% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 83%
Nakamura, 2013 <sup>163</sup>	2	16- or 64-row multidetector CT	NR	Yes	Yes	5	No	2008	1	Japan	11	Age: 69 Male: 73% HBV: 9% Cirrhosis: 100%
Nakayama, 2001 <sup>165</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	No	NA	7-10 (collimation)	No	1993	4	Japan	69	Age: 64 Male: 74% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR Note: describes entire sample, not limited to those who underwent CT

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>	
Noguchi, 2002 <sup>167</sup>	2	4-row multidetector spiral CT	5	Yes	Yes	5	No	1999	4	Japan	29	Age: 64	Male: 90%
												HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Noguchi, 2003 <sup>166</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	5	Yes	Yes	5	No	2000	4	Japan	53	Age: 63	Male: 68%
												HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 100%
Onishi, 2012 <sup>168</sup>	2	8- or 64-row multidetector CT	3-5	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	4	Japan	31	Age: 70	Male: 90%
												HBV: 13%	Cirrhosis: NR
Park, 2011 <sup>172</sup>	2	Dual source 64-row multidetector CT	NR	No	NA	1.2 (collimation)	No	2008	1	South Korea	42	Age: 50	Male: 42%
												HBV: 64%	Cirrhosis: NR
Peterson, 2000 <sup>179</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	2.5-5	No	NA	7 (collimation)	No	1993	1	United States	59	Age: 57	Male: 69%
												HBV: 6.8%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Pitton, 2009	2	64-row multidetector CT	5	No	NA	5	No	2006	4	Germany	28	Age: 67 years	
												Male: 89%	
												HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Pozzi Mucelli, 2006 <sup>183</sup>	2	4-row multidetector CT	5	No	NA	5	No	2003	4	Italy	50	Age: 68	Male: 64%
												HBV: 24%	Cirrhosis: NR
Pugacheva, 2011 <sup>184</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2006	4	Japan	38 patients (only 30 underwent CT or MRI)	Age: 69	Male: 75%
												HBV: 7.9%	Cirrhosis: NR
Quaia, 2009 <sup>185</sup>	3	64-row multidetector CT	5	Yes	Yes	0.3	Yes	2009	2	Italy	106	Age: 70	Male: 64%
												HBV: 80%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Rizvi, 2006 <sup>189</sup>	2	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	1995	1	United States	21	Age: 50	Male: 62%
												HBV: 4.8%	Cirrhosis: NR
Rode, 2001 <sup>190</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	4	No	NA	5	No	1996	1	France	43	Age: 51	Male: 70%
												HBV: 9.3%	Cirrhosis: 100%
Ronzoni, 2007 <sup>191</sup>	2, 5	Multidetector, rows NR	3.4-4	Yes	Yes	3	No	2003	1	Italy	88	Age: 51	Male: 80%
												HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Sangiovanni, 2010 <sup>192</sup>	3	64-row multidetector CT	4	Yes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2006	2	Italy	64	Age: NR	Male: NR
												HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 100%
Sano, 2011 <sup>193</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	2.6-5	Yes	Yes	5	No	2008	2	Japan	64	Age: 66	Male: 73%
												HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Schima, 2006 <sup>194</sup>	2	4-, 8-, or 16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	No	2003	4	6 European countries	97	Age: 64	Male: 81%
												HBV: 10%	Cirrhosis: 71%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>	
Seitz, 2009 <sup>196</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT (n=54) or >4-row multidetector CT (n=213)	>3	No	NA	≤5	No	2004	4	Germany	267	Age: 60 Male: 45 HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR	
Serste, 2012 <sup>198</sup>	3	Multidetector CT, rows NR	NR	Yes	NR	NR	No	2005	2	France	74	Age: 60 Male: 78% HBV: 27% Cirrhosis: 82%	
Shah SA, 2006 (2) <sup>199</sup>	5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	NR	1	Canada	118	Age: NR Male: 80% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: NR	
Singh, 2007 <sup>201</sup>	1	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	NR	NR	10 (collimation)	No	2005	2	United States	17	Age: 56 Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%	
Sofue, 2011 <sup>202</sup>	2	4-row multidetector CT	NR	Yes	Yes	10	No	2005	4	Japan	26	Age: 70 Male: 81% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%	
Sun, 2010 <sup>208</sup>	4	8-, 16-, or 64-row multidetector CT	3-5	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	69	Age: 56 Male: 82% HBV: 81% Cirrhosis: 100%	
Teefey, 2003 <sup>217</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	5	Yes	Yes	5	No	1996	2	United States	25	Age: 47 Male: 65% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%	
Trojan, 1999 <sup>218</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	NR	No	NA	NR	No	1996	2	Germany	14	Age: median 60 Male: 71% HBV: 21% Cirrhosis: NR	
Valls, 2004 <sup>220</sup>	2, 5	Non-multidetector spiral CT	5	Yes	Yes	5 (collimation)	No	1995	1	Spain	85	Age: 55 Male: 65% HBV: 7.1% Cirrhosis: 100%	
Van Thiel, 2004 <sup>221</sup>	1	Non-multidetector spiral CT	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	1998	1	United States	100	Age: 52 Male: 68% HBV: 2% Cirrhosis: NR	
Wagnetz, 2011 <sup>224</sup>	2	64-row multidetector CT	5	No	NA	3	No	2005	2	Canada	292	Age: 60 Male: 38% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR	
Xiao, 2005 <sup>229</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	1.25	Yes	NR	4	China	56	Age: 56 Male: 66% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR	
Yamamoto, 2002 <sup>235</sup>	3	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	No	NA	NR	No	NR	4	Japan	41	Age: 65 Male: 54% HBV: 2.4% Cirrhosis: 95%	
Yan, 2002 <sup>236</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3	Yes	Yes	NR	No	1996	4	China	53	Age: 61 Male: 79% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR	

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for CT Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast Rate (ml/s)</b>	<b>Delayed Phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Yu, 2011 <sup>241</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT or 4-, 16-, or 64-row multidetector CT	2-3	No	NA	7-7 (single, 4-row), 5 (16-, 64-row)	No	1999	1	United States	638	Age: 53 Male: 64% HBV: 10% Cirrhosis: NR
Yu, 2013 <sup>240</sup>	4	64-row multidetector CT with spectral imaging mode	3-4	No	NA	0.625 (collimation)	No	2010	2	China	58	Age (median): 45 Male: 64% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 24%
Yukisawa, 2007 <sup>245</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2004	4	Japan	25	Age: mean NR, range 53 to 76 Male: 76% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Zacherl, 2002 <sup>246</sup>	2, 5	Non-multidetector spiral CT	5	No	NA	5 (collimation)	No	1998	1	Austria	23	Age: 57 Male: 87% HBV: 17% Cirrhosis: 91%
Zhao, 2003 <sup>249</sup>	2	4-row multidetector CT	3	No	NA	6.5	No	2001	4	China	75	Age: 49 Male: 89% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Zhao, 2004 <sup>248</sup>	2	Multidetector CT, rows NR	3	No	NA	6.5	No	2001	4	China	40	Age: 49 Male: 85% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Zhao, 2007 <sup>247</sup>	2	Multidetector CT, rows NR	3	No	NA	2.5	No	2002	4	China	24	Age: 56 Male: 78% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100% Note: describes entire sample, not limited to those in analysis
Zheng, 2005 <sup>250</sup>	2	16-row multidetector CT	3	No	NA	NR	No	2003	4	China	28	Age: 49 Male: 86% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Zhou, 2002 <sup>251</sup>	2	Non-multidetector spiral CT	3-4	No	NA	5-10 (collimation)	No	1995	2	China	49	Age: mean NR, range 21 to 75 Male: 90% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR

CT = computed tomography; HBV = hepatitis B virus; NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; s = second

a Reason for CT imaging key: 1=surveillance; 2=detection rate in patients undergoing surgery or with known HCC; 3=evaluation/characterization of liver mass; 4=differentiation between HCC and another type of lesion; 5=staging

b Delayed phase reported as time after contrast injection

c Imaging criteria = multidetector CT >8 rows; contrast rate >3 ml/s; delayed phase; timing of delayed phase >120 s after contrast injection; slice thickness <5 mm

d Reference standard key: 1=explanted livers only, 2=histological specimen (may include some explanted livers), 3=imaging and clinical criteria, 4=mixed histological and imaging/clinical criteria

e Age reported as mean (years), unless otherwise noted

## Appendix F. Sumary Evidence Table: Diagnostic Accuracy Studies of Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Author, Year	Reason for MRI Imaging <sup>a</sup>	Scanner Type	Contrast, Rate	Delayed phase?	Delayed Phase Timing >120 s <sup>b</sup>	Section Thickness (mm)	Did study meet all imaging criteria? <sup>c</sup>	Imaging Start Date	Reference Standard <sup>d</sup>	Country	Number of Patients	Population Characteristics <sup>e</sup>	
												Population Characteristics <sup>e</sup>	
Ahn, 2010 <sup>2</sup>	2	1.5 T or 3 T	Gadoxetate disodium, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2007	4	South Korea	59	Age: 57	Male: 85% HBV: 76% Cirrhosis: 93%
Akai, 2011 <sup>3</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	1	Japan	34	Age: 65	Male: 79% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Alaboudy, 2011 <sup>4</sup>	2	1.5 T or 3T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2008	2	Japan	32	Age: 68 years	Male: 72% HBV: 22% Cirrhosis: NR
An, 2012 <sup>6</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2009	2	South Korea	175	Age: 57	Male: 79% HBV: 77% Cirrhois: NR
An, 2013 <sup>5</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1-2 ml/s	No	NA	2.5	No	2008	2	South Korea	86	Age: 57	Male: 80% HBV: 87% Cirrhosis: 76%
Baek, 2012 <sup>8</sup>	2	3 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	51	Age: NR, range 32-80	Male: 84% HBV: 80% Cirrhosis: 100%
Burrel, 2003 <sup>12</sup>	2, 5	1.5 T	Gadodiamide, 2 ml/s	Yes	NR	4-5	No	2000	1	Spain	29	Age: 56	Male: 66% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Cereser, 2010 <sup>14</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	4	Yes	2005	2	Italy	33	Age: 64	Male: 82% HBV: 6% Cirrhosis: 100%
Choi, 2001 (2) <sup>20</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate disodium, rate NR	Yes	Yes	6-8	No	1998	2	South Korea	33	Age: 54	Male: 73% HBV: 70% Cirrhosis: 67%
Choi, 2008 <sup>19</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2003	1	South Korea	47	Age: 49	Male: 60% HBV: 79% Cirrhosis: 100%
Chou, 2011 <sup>21</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	8	No	2004	2	Taiwan	21	Age: 62	Male: 62% HBV: 43% Cirrhosis: 100%
Chung, 2010 <sup>23</sup>	3	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid, 1 or 2 ml/s	Yes	NR	2 or 3	No	2008	4	South Korea	62	Age: 59	Male: 68% HBV: 50% Cirrhosis: 48%
Chung, 2011 <sup>22</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	6	No	2007	4	South Korea	46	Age: 60	Male: 78% HBV: 76% Cirrhosis: 100%
de Ledinghen, 2002 <sup>26</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	No	NA	8-10	No	1997	1	France	34	Age: 54	Male: 71% HBV: 8.8% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Di Martino, 2010 <sup>30</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2007	4	Italy	140	Age: 59 Male: 74% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Di Martino, 2013 <sup>29</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2007	4	Italy	58	Age: 63 Male: 67% HBV: 14% Cirrhosis: 100%
Forner, 2008 <sup>34</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadodiamide, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2003	2	United States	89	Age: median 65 Male: 60% HBV: 6.7% Cirrhosis: 100%
Freeman, 2006 <sup>35</sup>	2, 5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	2003	1	United States	789	Age: 56 Male: 77% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Giorgio, 2007 <sup>40</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	Yes	No	4	No	2003	4	Italy	73	Age: 63 Male: 67% HBV: 4.1% Cirrhosis: 100%
Golfieri, 2009 <sup>42</sup>	3	1.5 T	Ferucarbotran and gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	4-5	Yes	2003	2	Italy	63	Age: 64 Male: 84% HBV: 35% Cirrhosis: 100%
Goshima S, 2004 <sup>43</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	8	No	1998	4	Japan	8	Age: 71 years Male: 63% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Guo, 2012 <sup>45</sup>	2	3 T	Gadodiamide, 3 ml/s	Yes	No	4.8-5	No	2009	4	China	46	Age: 56 Male: 82% HBV: 89% Cirrhosis: 100%
Haradome, 2011 <sup>46</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	4	Yes	2008	2	Japan	75	Age: 55 Male: 80% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: 72%
Hardie, 2011 <sup>47</sup>	2	1.5 T	No contrast	No	NA	8	No	2008	1	United States	37	Age: 57 Male: 68% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Hardie, 2011 (2) <sup>48</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2008	1	United States	37	Age: 57 Male: 73% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Hardie, 2011 (3) <sup>49</sup>	2	1.5 T	No contrast	No	NA	6, 10	No	2008	1	United States	25	NR
Hecht, 2006 <sup>51</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2-3	Yes	1999	1	United States	38	Age: 54 Male: 74% HBV: 10% Cirrhosis: 100%
Hidaka, 2013 <sup>52</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1.5 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2008	1	Japan	11	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: 27% Cirrhosis: NR
Hirakawa, 2011 <sup>54</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	NR	No	1999	1	Japan	25	Age: 55 Male: 52% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Hori, 1998 <sup>58</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	7-8	No	1995	3	Japan	50	Age: 65 Male: 76% HBV: 10% Cirrhosis: NR
Hwang, 2012 <sup>59</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, rate NR	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	54	Age: NR, range 33 to 81 Male: 81% HBV: 70% Cirrhosis: NR
Iavarone, 2010 <sup>62</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2006	2	Italy	59	Age: median 66 Male: 69% HBV: 12% Cirrhosis: 100%
Ichikawa, 2010 <sup>63</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, rate NR	Yes	Yes	5-10	No	2001	4	Japan	151	Age: 66 years Male: 72% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 66%
Inoue, 2012 <sup>66</sup>	2	1.5 T or 3 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3-5	Yes	2008	2	Japan	66	Age: 66 Male: 64% HBV: 30% Cirrhosis: 62%
Ito, 2004 <sup>69</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	No	NA	10	No	2002	4	Japan	40	Age: 62 Male: 58% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Jeong, 1999 <sup>75</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	8-10	No	1996	4	South Korea	51	Age: 54 Male: 67% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Jeong, 2011 <sup>74</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2006	4	South Korea	19	Age: 54 Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Jin, 2013 <sup>76</sup>	5	NR	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	NR	5-8	No	2009	4	South Korea	104	Age: 55 Male: 84% HBV: 73% Cirrhosis: NR
Kamura T, 2002 <sup>77</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine or gadodiamide, rate NR	Yes	Yes	4-5	Yes	1996	4	Japan	NR	NR
Kawada, 2010 <sup>79</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	2	Japan	13	Age: median 67 Male: 77% HBV: 7.7% Cirrhosis: NR
Khalili, 2011 <sup>82</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2006	4	Canada	84	Age: 58 Male: 63% HBV: 50% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim AY, 2012 <sup>84</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2009	4	South Korea	189	Age: 63 Male: 77% HBV: 90% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim DJ, 2012 <sup>86</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	65	Age: NR, range 37-82 Male: 80% HBV: 69% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Kim MJ, 2012 <sup>88</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2008	2	South Korea	50	Age: 54 Male: 80% HBV: 84% Cirrhosis: 84%
Kim SH, 2009 <sup>91</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2007	2	South Korea	62	Age: 55 Male: 87% HBV: 85% Cirrhosis: 48%
Kim TK, 2011 <sup>96</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2006	4	South Korea	96	Age: 58 Male: 60% HBV: 53% Cirrosis: NR
Kim YK, 2004 <sup>108</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine and ferucarbotran	Yes	Yes	3.5-4	Yes	2002	4	South Korea	27	Age: 54 Male: 63% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Kim YK, 2006 <sup>106</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	3.5-4	Yes	2003	4	South Korea	31	Age: 57 Male: 90% HBV: 97% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim YK, 2007 <sup>105</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, rate NR and ferucarbotran	Yes	Yes	3.5-4	Yes	2004	4	South Korea	29	Age: 56 Male: 72% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: !00%
Kim YK, 2008 <sup>103</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 mL/s	Yes	Yes	3.5-4	Yes	2004	4	South Korea	115	Age: NR, range 40 to 74 Male: 77% HBV: 96% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim YK, 2008 (2) <sup>104</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobutrol, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3.5-4	Yes	2005	4	South Korea	23	Age: NR, range 40 to 74 Male: 83% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: 100%
Kim YK, 2009 (2) <sup>102</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium solution, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	Yes	2007	4	South Korea	62	Age: NR Male: 81% HBV: 90% Cirrhosis: NR
Kim YK, 2010 <sup>99</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1 ml/s or gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s, and ferucarbotran	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	Yes	2009	4	South Korea	41 patients 41 (100%) with HCC 56 HCC lesions	Age (mean): not reported, range 40 to 74 years Male: 76% Cirrhosis: NR
Kim YK, 2010 (2) <sup>100</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	Yes	2007	4	South Korea	89	Age: NR, range 40 to 74 Male: 70% HBV: 93% Cirrhosis: NR
Kim YK, 2011 <sup>97</sup>	2	1.5 T or 3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2009	4	South Korea	40	Age: 63 Male: 70% HBV: 95% Cirrhosis: 95%
Kim YK, 2011 (2) <sup>98</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	Yes	2009	4	South Korea	14	Age: NR Male: 71% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Kondo, 2005 <sup>110</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	Yes	Yes	8	No	1998	2	Japan	49	Age: 62 Male: 69% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100% Note: describes entire sample, including those not included in analysis
Koushima, 2002 <sup>112</sup>	2	1.5 T	No contrast	No	NA	NA	No	1998	4	Japan	29	Age: 63 for cases, NR for controls Male: 76% of cases, 50% of controls HBV: 14% Cirrhosis: 100%
Krinsky, 2001 <sup>114</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	No	5-8	No	1995	1	United States	71	Age: 50 Male: 59% HBV: 13% Cirrhosis: 100%
Krinsky, 2002 <sup>113</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	NR	NR	No	1995	1	United States	24	Age: 52 Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Kumano, 2009 <sup>115</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	No	NA	7	No	2002	4	Japan	28	Age: NR Male: 79% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Kwak, 2004 <sup>118</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus and ferumoxides	Yes	Yes	6	No	2000	4	South Korea	24	Age: 52 Male: 75% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: NR
Kwak, 2005 <sup>117</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s and ferumoxides	Yes	Yes	2.3	Yes	2002	4	South Korea	49	Age: 57 Male: 80% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: 100%
Lauenstein, 2007 <sup>120</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2-3	Yes	2004	1	United States	115	Age: 54 Male: 67% HBV: 9% Cirrhosis: NR
Lee CH, 2012 <sup>121</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	46	Age: 57 Male: 83% HBV: 80% Cirrhosis: NR
Lee JY, 2010 <sup>126</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s and ferucarbotran	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2007	2	South Korea	27	Age: 54 Male: 78% HBV: 93% Cirrhosis: 52%
Lee MH, 2011 <sup>127</sup>	4	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2008	2	South Korea	66	Age: 59 Male: 77% HBV: 68% Cirrhosis: 100%
Libbrecht, 2002 <sup>132</sup>	2, 5	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine or gadoterate meglumine, 1.5 to 2 ml/s	No	NA	8	No	2000	1	Belgium	49	Age: 53 Male: 65% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Lin MT, 2011 <sup>136</sup>	2	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	2006	2	Taiwan	343	Age: 56 Male: 78% HBV: 57% Cirrhosis: 47%
Lu CH, 2010 <sup>141</sup>	2, 5	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 1.6-1.8 ml/s	Yes	No	5	No	2006	1	Taiwan	57	Age: 51 Male: 89% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Marin, 2009 (2) <sup>150</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2005	4	Italy	36	Age: 66 Male: 75% HBV: 29% Cirrhosis: NR Note: described entire sample, including those excluded from analysis
Marrero, 2005 <sup>151</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2002	2	United States	94	Age: 56 years Male: 69% HBV: 11% Cirrhosis: NR
Matsuo, 2001 <sup>152</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	8-10	No	1998	4	Japan	53	Age: 64 Male: 75% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 90%
Mori, 2005 <sup>156</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadodiamide, 2.5 ml/s	Yes	Yes	8-9	No	2002	4	Japan	31	Age: 68 Male: 84% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Motosugi, 2010 <sup>159</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2008	4	Japan	80	Age: 69 Male: 69% HBV: 19% Cirrhosis: NR
Nakamura, 2000 <sup>164</sup>	2	1.5 T	NR	NR	NR	8	No	1997	3	Japan	30	Age: 63 Male: 97% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 83%
Nakamura, 2013 <sup>163</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	1	Japan	11	Age: 69 Male: 73% HBV: 9% Cirrhosis: 100%
Noguchi, 2003 <sup>166</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	NR	4	Japan	53	Age: 63 Male: 68% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Onishi, 2012 <sup>168</sup>	2	1.5 T or 3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	4	Yes	2008	4	Japan	31	Age: 70 Male: 90% HBV: 13% Cirrhosis: NR
Ooka, 2013 <sup>170</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	6	No	2008	2	Japan	54	Age: 69 Male: 74% HBV: 20% Cirrhosis: NR
Park G, 2010 <sup>174</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s or Gadoxetic acid disodium, 3 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2.5-3	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	43	Age: NR, range 44 to 70 Male: 65% HBV: 98% Cirrhosis: NR

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Park, 2010 <sup>173</sup>	2	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium and gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2007	2	South Korea	18	Age: 53 Male: 94% HBV: 89% Cirrhosis: 67%
Park, 2012 <sup>171</sup>	2	1.5 T	No contrast or gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	NR	2-3	No	2005	1	United States	52	Age: 57 Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Pauleit, 2002 <sup>177</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 5 ml/s and ferumoxides	Yes	Yes	8-9	No	NR	4	Germany	43	Age: 60 HBV: 23% Male: 79% Cirrhosis: 63%
Petrucci, 2013 <sup>180</sup>	2	1.5 T or 3.0 T	NR	Yes	NR	NR	No	2009	1	United States	45	NR
Piana, 2011 <sup>181</sup>	2	1.5 T	No contrast or gadoterate meglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2004	4	France	91	Age: 63 Male: 74% HBV: 20% Cirrhosis: NR
Pitton, 2009 <sup>182</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	4	Yes	2006	4	Germany	28	Age: 67 Male: 89% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Pugacheva, 2011 <sup>184</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	NR	No	2006	4	Japan	38 (30 underwent CT or MRI)	Age: 69 Male: 75% HBV: 7.9% Cirrhosis: NR
Rhee, 2012 <sup>186</sup>	4	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2008	2	South Korea	34	Age: 57 Male: 88% HBV: 82% Cirrhosis: 97%
Rimola, 2012 <sup>188</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadodiamide, 2 ml/s	Yes	NR	2.5	No	2003	2	Spain	159	Age: 63 Male: 58% HBV: 14% Cirrhosis: 100%
Rode, 2001 <sup>190</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	NR	6-8	No	1996	1	France	43	Age: 51 Male: 70% HBV: 9.3% Cirrhosis: 100%
Sangiovanni, 2010 <sup>192</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2006	2	Italy	64	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Sano, 2011 <sup>193</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	2008	2	Japan	64	Age: 66 Male: 73% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Secil, 2008 <sup>195</sup>	2	1.5 T with and without dynamic subtraction	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	NR	NR	No	NR	4	Turkey	32	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Seitz, 2010 <sup>197</sup>	3	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	No	NA	5-8	No	2004	4	Germany	269	Age: 53 Male: 41 HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Serste, 2012 <sup>198</sup>	3	1.5 T	NR	Yes	NR	NR	No	2005	2	France	74	Age: 60 HBV: 27% Male: 78% Cirrhosis: 82%
Simon, 2005 <sup>200</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	No	4.4	No	1999	4	Germany	25	Age: 60 Male: 84% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 84%
Singh, 2007 <sup>201</sup>	1	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	NR	NR	NR	No	2005	2	United States	17	Age: 56 Male: NR HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Sugimoto, 2012 <sup>205</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, rate NR	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	2008	2	Japan	66	Age: 69 HBV: 12% Male: 70% Cirrhosis: NR
Suh, 2011 <sup>207</sup>	3	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	2	Yes	2007	4	South Korea	48	Age: 56 Male: 62% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Sun, 2010 <sup>208</sup>	4	3.0 T	Gadoxetic acid disodium, 1.5 ml/s	Yes	Yes	4.8	Yes	2008	4	South Korea	69	Age: 56 HBV: 81% Male: 82% Cirrhosis: 100%
Tanaka, 2005 <sup>213</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	6-9	No	NR	4	Japan	31	Age: 67 Male: 65% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Tang, 1999 <sup>215</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	8	No	1997	4	Japan	53	Age: 63 HBV: NR Male: 60% Cirrhosis: NR
Tanimoto, 2002 <sup>216</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 1 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	1998	4	Japan	50	Age: 63 HBV: NR Male: NR Cirrhosis: 60%
Teeffey, 2003 <sup>217</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadodiamide, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	8	No	1996	2	United States	25	Age: 47 HBV: NR Male: 65% Cirrhosis: 100%

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Tsurusaki, 2008 <sup>219</sup>	2	1.5 T with and without SENSE, high vs. low spatial resolution	Gadopentetate dimeglumine at 2 ml/s	No	NA	4	No	NR	4	Japan	35	Age: 65 Male: 69% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Vandecaveye, 2009 <sup>222</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadobenate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	NR	4	No	NR	4	Belgium	55	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: 13% Cirrhosis: 100%
Wagnetz, 2011 <sup>224</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadodiamide or gadobutrol, rate NR	Yes	No	5	No	2005	2	Canada	292	Age: 60 Male: 38% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Xu, 2009 <sup>232</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	7	No	2005	4	China	37	Age: 46 Male: 95% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Xu, 2010 <sup>231</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 2 ml/s	Yes	Yes	7	No	2007	2	China	54	Age: 48 Male: 85% HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: NR
Yan, 2002 <sup>236</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rate NR	Yes	Yes	NR	No	1996	4	China	53	Age: 61 Male: 79% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Yoshioka, 2002 <sup>239</sup>	2	1.5 T with and without SENSE	Gadodiamide, 2.5 ml/s	Yes	Yes	8-10	No	2000	4	Japan	40	Age: 62 Male: 80% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Yu, 2002 <sup>244</sup>	4	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	Yes	Yes	NR	No	NR	4	South Korea	120	Age: NR Male: NR HBV: 77% Cirrhosis: NR
Yu, 2008 <sup>243</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s	Yes	Yes	8-10	No	2000	1	United States	53	Age: 57 Male: 74% HBV: 85% Cirrhosis: NR
Yu, 2009 <sup>242</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, 3 ml/s and ferucarbotran	Yes	Yes	8-10	No	2003	1	United States	42	Age: NR, range 44 to 73 Male: 70% HBV: 69% Cirrhosis: 86%
Yu, 2011 <sup>241</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadodiamide, rate NR	Yes	NR	NR	No	1999	1	United States	638	Age: 53 Male: 64% HBV: 10% Cirrhosis: NR

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for MRI Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scanner Type</b>	<b>Contrast, Rate</b>	<b>Delayed phase?</b>	<b>Delayed Phase Timing &gt;120 s<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Section Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Did study meet all imaging criteria?<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>e</sup></b>
Zhao, 2007 <sup>247</sup>	2	1.5 T	Gadopentetate dimeglumine, rapid bolus	Yes	Yes	7	No	2002	4	China	24	Age: 56 Male: 78% HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100% Note: describes entire sample, including those excluded from analysis

HBV = hepatitis B virus; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; s = second; T = tesla

a Reason for MRI imaging key: 1=surveillance; 2=detection rate in patients undergoing surgery or with known HCC; 3=evaluation/characterization of liver mass; 4=differentiation between HCC and another type of lesion; 5=staging

b Delayed phase reported as time after gadolinium contrast injection

c Imaging criteria = 3.0 T MRI; delayed phase (or hepatobiliary for gadobenate or gadoxetic acid contrast); timing of delayed phase >120 s after contrast injection; slice thickness <5 mm

d Reference standard key: 1=explanted livers only; 2=histological specimen (may include some explanted livers); 3=imaging and clinical criteria; 4=mixed histological and imaging/clinical criteria

e Age reported as mean (years), unless otherwise noted

## Appendix G. Summary Evidence Table: Diagnostic Studies of Positron Emission Tomography Imaging

Author, Year	Reason for PET Imaging <sup>a</sup>	Scan Tracer	Imaging Start Date	Reference Standard <sup>b</sup>	Country	Sample Size	Population Characteristics <sup>c</sup>		
							Population Characteristics <sup>c</sup>		
Chen, 2005 <sup>16</sup>	1	FDG	2000	4	Taiwan	26	Age: 61	Male: 81%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Cheung, 2011 <sup>18</sup>	2	FDG or <sup>11</sup> C-acetate	2004	2	China	58	Age: NR	Male: 84%	HBV: 81% Cirrhosis: NR
Cheung, 2013 <sup>17</sup>	2, 4	FDG and <sup>11</sup> C-acetate or FDG or <sup>11</sup> C-acetate	2004	2	China	43	Age: 60	Male: 79%	HBV: 7% Cirrhosis: NR
Delbeke, 1998 <sup>27</sup>	3	FDG	NR	2	United States	110	Age: 59	Male: 55%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Eckel, 2009 <sup>33</sup>	2	<sup>18</sup> F-fluorothymidine	NR	4	Germany	18	Age: 67	Male: 94%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Ho, 2003 <sup>55</sup>	2	FDG and or <sup>11</sup> C-acetate or FDG	NR	4	China	57	Age: 60	Male: 65%	HBV: 70% Cirrhosis: NR
Ho, 2007 <sup>56</sup>	4	FDG or <sup>11</sup> C-acetate or FDG and <sup>11</sup> C-acetate	2002	4	China	121	Age: 59	Male: 79%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Hwang, 2009 <sup>60</sup>	2	FDG or <sup>11</sup> C-acetate	2006	4	South Korea	13	Age: 51	Male: 85%	HBV: 67% Cirrhosis: NR
Jeng, 2003 <sup>72</sup>	3	FDG	NR	2	Taiwan	48	Age: NR, range 40 to 65 Male: 58%		HBV: 100% Cirrhosis: NR
Kawaoka, 2009 <sup>80</sup>	4	FDG	2005	4	Japan	34	Age: 59	Male: 82%	HBV: 32% Cirrhosis: NR
Khan, 2000 <sup>83</sup>	2	FDG	1995	2	United States	20	Age: 60	Male: 75%	HBV: 18% Cirrhosis: 75%
Kim YK, 2010 (3) <sup>101</sup>	1	FDG	2005	4	South Korea	10	Age: median 48 Male: 100%		
Lee JE, 2012 <sup>124</sup>	4	FDG	2006	4	South Korea	138	Age: 69	Male: 83%	HBV: 64% Cirrhosis: NR
Li, 2006 <sup>129</sup>	2	<sup>11</sup> C-acetate	NR	2	Austria	21	Age: 64	Male: 90%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Liangpunsakul, 2003 <sup>131</sup>	2	FDG	2000	4	United States	8	Age: 53	Male: 50%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Lin WY, 2005 <sup>137</sup>	2	FDG	NR	4	Taiwan	12	Age: 64	Male: 83%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Nagaoka, 2006 <sup>162</sup>	4	FDG	2004	3	Japan	21	Age: median 64 Male: 76%		
Park JW, 2008 <sup>175</sup>	2, 4	FDG or <sup>11</sup> C-acetate	2006	2	South Korea	99	Age: 58	Male: 79%	HBV: 80% Cirrhosis: NR
Sorensen, 2011 <sup>203</sup>	2, 4	FDG	NR	4	Denmark	39	Age: 61	Male: 59%	HBV: 2.6% Cirrhosis: 79%
Sugiyama, 2004 <sup>206</sup>	4	FDG	2000	4	Japan	19	Age: 69	Male: 79%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Sun, 2009 <sup>209</sup>	1	FDG	2007	4	Cjoma	25	Age: 52	Male: 84%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: NR
Talbot, 2006 <sup>212</sup>	2	FDG or <sup>18</sup> F-fluorocholine	2005	4	France	12	Age: NR	Male: 75%	HBV: 8.3% Cirrhosis: 75%
Talbot, 2010 <sup>211</sup>	2	FDG or <sup>18</sup> F-fluorocholine	2005	4	France	59	NR		
Teeffey, 2003 <sup>217</sup>	2	FDG	1996	2	United States	25	Age: 47	Male: 65%	HBV: NR Cirrhosis: 100%
Trojan, 1999 <sup>218</sup>	2	FDG	1996	2	Germany	14	Age: median 60 Male: 71%		
									HBV: 21% Cirrhosis: NR

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Reason for PET Imaging<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Scan Tracer</b>	<b>Imaging Start Date</b>	<b>Reference Standard<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Sample Size</b>	<b>Population Characteristics<sup>c</sup></b>			
Verhoef, 2002 <sup>223</sup>	2	FDG	2002	2	The Netherlands	13	Age: 54	Male: 85%	HBV: 46%	Cirrhosis: 92%
Wolfort, 2010 <sup>226</sup>	4	FDG	2000	2	United States	20	NR			
Wu, 2011 <sup>227</sup>	2, 4	FDG or FDG and <sup>11</sup> C-choline	2007	4	China	76	Age: 56	Male: 84%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: 60%
Wudel, 2003 <sup>228</sup>	1, 2	FDG	1993	4	United States	91	Age: 60	Male: 79%	HBV: NR	Cirrhosis: NR
Yamamoto, 2008 <sup>234</sup>	2	FDG or <sup>11</sup> C-choline	2007	2	Japan	12	Age: 71	Male: 42%	HBV: 17%	Cirrhosis: NR
Yoon, 2007 <sup>238</sup>	4	FDG	2002	3	South Korea	87	Age: median 54 Male: 78%		HBV: 79%	Cirrhosis: NR

FDG = <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose; HBV = hepatitis B virus; NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; PET = positron emission tomography

<sup>a</sup> Reason for PET imaging key: 1=recurrence; 2=detection rate in patients undergoing surgery or with known HCC; 3=evaluation/characterization of liver mass; 4=staging/detection of metastatic disease

<sup>b</sup> Reference standard key: 1=explanted livers only; 2=histological specimen (may include some explanted livers); 3=imaging and clinical criteria; 4=mixed histological and imaging/clinical criteria

<sup>c</sup> Age reported as mean (years), unless otherwise noted

## Appendix H. Evidence Table: Patient Outcomes for Staging (Randomized Controlled Trials)

Author, Year	Imaging Tests Used for Screening	Details of Imaging Tests	Definition of a Positive Test on Imaging and Followup	Population Characteristics	Eligibility Criteria	Country, Setting	Number Approached, Eligible, Enrolled, Analyzed
Trinchet JC, 2011 <sup>252</sup>	Ultrasound  Note: AFP was assessed but after analyses, high rates of AFP observed in 2 groups precluded interpretation based on AFP randomization and analysis was restricted to ultrasound randomization	Technical details of ultrasound not reported	In cases of focal liver lesions, diagnostic procedure using contrast-enhanced imaging, serum AFP, and/or guided biopsy was performed according to EASL guidelines; HCC diagnosis based on histology, if lesion >2 cm in diameter then early arterial hypervascularization on 2 contrast-enhanced methods, or when there was an association between serum AFP >400 ng/mL plus early arterial hypervascularization on one contrast-enhanced method; in case of increased AFP with no focal liver lesion on ultrasound, CT scan was performed	Age (mean): 55 years  Male: 69%  Race: NR  Alcoholic cirrhosis: 39%  HCV-related cirrhosis: 44%  HBV-related cirrhosis: 13%  Hemochromatosis-related cirrhosis: 1.6%  Cirrhosis due to other etiology: 2.5%  Note: other etiology = nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, autoimmune hepatitis, cryptogenic cirrhosis	Patients >18 years with histologically proven cirrhosis due to either excessive alcohol consumption, chronic HCV or HBV, or hereditary hemochromatosis, with no complications from cirrhosis, patients with Child-Pugh class A or B and no focal liver lesion. Excluded patients with Child-Pugh class C, severe uncontrolled extrahepatic disease resulting in estimated life expectancy <1 year, co-infection with HIV	France and Belgium; Selected from clinical centers in a cooperative group that included specialized liver disease centers	Overall (3-month surveillance vs. 6-month surveillance)  Number approached: NR  Number eligible: 1340  Number enrolled: 1340 (668 vs. 672)  Number analyzed: 1278 (640 vs. 638)
Wang JH, 2013 <sup>253</sup>	Ultrasound	Technical details of ultrasound not reported	Newly detected hepatic nodule on ultrasound >1cm in diameter suspicious for HCC; referred to medical centers for further diagnostic procedures; followup by public health nurses; final diagnosis based on histology, EASL imaging criteria, or AASLD imaging criteria	Age (mean): 65.2 years  Male: 50%  Race: NR  HBV: 28%  HCV: 65%	Patients >40 years with either positive HBsAg or anti-HCV and a platelet count <150 (x109)/L. Excluded those with history of hepatic malignancy.	Taiwan; Selected from health data for 10 townships	Overall (4-month surveillance vs. 12-month surveillance)  Number approached: 28,722  Number eligible: 1581 (785 vs. 796)  Number enrolled: 744

Author, Year	Imaging Tests Used for Screening	Details of Imaging Tests	Definition of a Positive Test on Imaging and Followup	Population Characteristics	Eligibility Criteria	Country, Setting	Number Approached, Eligible, Enrolled, Analyzed
				HBV and HCV: 7% Liver cirrhosis: 32%			(387 vs. 357)  Number analyzed: 744 (387 vs. 357)
Zhang BH, 2004 <sup>254</sup>	Ultrasound (in conjunction with AFP)	Technical details of ultrasound not reported	Solid liver lesion on ultrasound or AFP >20 mcg/l; individuals with an initial positive test underwent retesting; individuals with a positive retest underwent additional diagnostic evaluation (history, physical exam, serum AFP, ultrasound by senior doctor, CT or MRI as required); final diagnosis based on histology or long-term followup	Age (mean): 41.5 <sup>a</sup> years  Male: 63%  Race: NR  HBsAg positive: 64%  Hepatitis: 27%  HBsAg positive and hepatitis: 9%	People aged 35 to 59 years with serum evidence of HBV infection or a history of chronic hepatitis without HBV infection (abnormal biochemistry ≥6 months). Excluded those with history of HCC, or other malignant diseases, or serious illness.	China; Selected from medical records of primary care centers	Overall (screening vs. control)  Number approached: NR  Number eligible: 19,200 (9757 vs. 9373)  Number enrolled: 18,816 (9373 vs. 9443)  Number analyzed: 18,816 (9373 vs. 9443)

Author, Year	Duration of Followup	Attrition	Interventions	Outcomes	Adverse Events/Harms	Sponsor	Risk of Bias
Trinchet JC, 2011 <sup>252</sup>	Mean followup 47.1 months in 3-month surveillance group vs. 46.8 months in 6-month surveillance group	0.9% (12/1340) patients lost to followup; 11.9% (143/1278) of patients not compliant with protocol, 14.6% (86/638) in 6-month surveillance group, 9.4% (57/640) in 3-month surveillance group  Note: the raw numbers do not exactly equal the	A: Ultrasound every 3 months  B: Ultrasound every 6 months	A vs. B  <u>Cases of HCC/ new focal liver lesion</u>  53/183 (30%) vs. 70/155 (45%)  <u>2 and 5-year cumulative incidence of HCC</u>  4.0%, 10.0% vs. 2.7%, 12.3%  <u>Prevalence and cumulative incidence of</u>	NR	French Ministry of Health; French Ligue de Recherche contre le Cancer	Moderate

Author, Year	Duration of Followup	Attrition	Interventions	Outcomes	Adverse Events/Harms	Sponsor	Risk of Bias
		reported proportions for compliance		<u>HCC &lt;30 mm</u> 79%, 7.8% vs. 70%, 9.1% <u>Survival rates for all patients</u> At 2 years: 95.8% vs. 93.5% At 5 years: 84.9% vs. 85.8% <u>Cases of HCC-related mortality</u> 17/72 (23.6%) vs. 12/82 (14.6%) Note: all associations were NS			
Wang JH, 2013 <sup>253</sup>	4 years; individuals in 4-month surveillance scanned mean 7.13+/-2.0 times and individuals in 12-month surveillance scanned mean 2.53+/-0.5 times	NR: 27.4% of 4-month surveillance group and 45.7% of 12-month surveillance group attended all exams (67.6% in 4-month surveillance group attended >6 exams, 73.1% in 12-month surveillance group attended >2 exams)	A: Ultrasound every 4 months  B: Ultrasound every 12 months	A vs. B <u>Cases of HCC/ new hepatic nodule</u> 24/46 (52%) vs. 15/28 (54%), including 5 patients diagnosed outside of surveillance schedule in B <u>3-year cumulative incidence of HCC</u> 11.7% vs. 9.7% <u>1-,2-, and 4- year cumulative survival rates for patients with HCC</u> 95.8%, 78.8%, 57.4% vs. 80%, 64%, 56%	NR	National Scientific Council of Taiwan	Moderate
Zhang BH, 2004 <sup>254</sup>	5 years; all individuals offered screening 5 to 10 times	NR; Screened group completed 58% of offered screening (median: 5 screens)	A: Serum AFP test and ultrasound every 6 months  B: No screening,	A vs. B <u>Cases (incidence per 100,000) of HCC</u> 86 (223.7) vs. 67 (163.1); rate ratio, 1.37	NR	NR	High

<b>Author, Year</b>	<b>Duration of Followup</b>	<b>Attrition</b>	<b>Interventions</b>	<b>Outcomes</b>	<b>Adverse Events/Harms</b>	<b>Sponsor</b>	<b>Risk of Bias</b>
			usual care	(95% CI 0.41 to 0.98);  <u>Cases (incidence per 100,000) of HCC-associated death</u>  32 (83.2) vs. 54 (131.5); rate ratio, 0.63 (95% CI 0.41 to 0.98)			

AASLD = American Association for the Study of Liver Disease; AFP = alphafetoprotein; CT = computed tomography; EASL = European Association for the Study of the Liver; HBV = hepatitis B virus; HBsAg = hepatitis B surface antigen; HBsAb = antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen; HBcAb = antibody to hepatitis B core antigen; HBeAg = hepatitis B e antigen; HBeAb = antibody to hepatitis B e antigen; HCC = hepatocellular cancer; NR = not reported; NS = not significant

<sup>a</sup> Calculated

## Appendix I. Evidence Table: Patient Outcomes for Staging (Cohort Study)

Author, Year	Imaging Tests Evaluated	Details of Imaging Tests	Definition of a Positive Test on Imaging and Followup	Population Characteristics	Eligibility Criteria	Country, Setting	Number Approached, Eligible, Enrolled, Analyzed
Chen MH, 2007 <sup>255</sup>	A: CEUS  B: Conventional US in control group plus contrast-enhanced CT or MRI within one week of RFA	<u>Contrast-enhanced US</u>  Operator: Performed by 3 experienced sonographers  Contrast: sulfur hexafluoride (Sonovue) administered as 2.4 ml bolus over 2 to 3 s  Transducer frequency: 2.5 to 5.0 MHz (3 systems used)  <u>Contrast-enhanced CT</u>  64-slice spiral CT scanner used, other details NR; Images read by 3 experienced radiologists  <u>MRI</u>  1.5 T MRI scanner, other details NR; Images read by 3 experienced radiologists	On CEUS, quick enhancement in arterial phase with fast washout in portal or parenchymal phase; repeat CEUS was done if first CEUS suspicious for new tumor; patients selected for RFA on basis of tumor size, number, position, and anatomic relationship with surrounding structures	Age (mean): 67.2 <sup>a</sup> years  Male: 62%  Race: NR	Patients with HCC diagnosed on imaging or histology	China; enrolled patients, source not reported	18 to 50 months

Author, Year	Comparison Groups	Adjusted Variables for Statistical Analysis	Outcomes	Adverse Events	Funding Source	Risk of Bias
Chen MH, 2007 <sup>255</sup>	Screening: CEUS plus contrast-enhanced CT (n=81) or MRI	No adjustments	Screening vs. control  <u>Local tumor progression rate, % (n)</u>  7.2 (6/83) vs. 18.3 (15/82); p=0.033; RR <sup>a</sup> , 0.40 (95%)	Not reported for screening	NR	High

(n=11)		CI 0.16 to 0.87)		
Control: conventional ultrasound plus contrast-enhanced CT (n=74) or MRI (n=13)		<u>New HCC rate, % (n)</u>  15.7 (13/83) vs. 35.4 (29/82); p=0.004; RR <sup>a</sup> , 0.44 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.79)  <u>Mean local progression-free survival (months)</u>  40.5 (SD 1.9) vs. 33.3 (2.2); p=0.015  <u>New tumor-free survival (months)</u>  38.1 (SD 2.0) vs. 26.4 (SD 2.0); p<0.001		

CT = computed tomography; HCC = hepatocellular cancer; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NR = not reported; RFA = radiofrequency ablation; US = ultrasound

<sup>a</sup> Calculated

## Appendix J. Strength of Evidence

### Key Question 1. Surveillance

#### Key Question 1a. Test performance

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Surveillance settings <i>Unit of analysis: patients with HCC</i>	US without contrast	Sens: 3 Spec: 2	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate	388	Sens: Low Spec: Low
	CT	Sens: 2 Spec: 2	Moderate	High	Indirect	Moderate	385	Sens: Low Spec: Low
	MRI or PET	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence
Surveillance settings <i>Unit of analysis: HCC lesions</i>	US without contrast	Sens: 2 Spec: 1	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Low	137	Sens: Low Spec: Low
	CT	Sens: 1 Spec: 0	Moderate	Single study	Indirect	Low	37	Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	MRI or PET	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence
Nonsurveillance settings <i>Unit of analysis: patients with HCC</i>	US without contrast	Sens: 8 Spec: 6	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	1187	Sens: Low Spec: Low
	CT	Sens: 16 Spec: 11	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	1277	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	MRI	Sens: 11 Spec: 9	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	1111	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	PET	Sens: 15 Spec: 5	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	559	Sens: Low Spec: Low
Nonsurveillance settings <i>Unit of analysis: HCC lesions</i>	US without contrast	Sens: 11 Spec: 2	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	2370	Sens: Low Spec: Low
	US with contrast	Sens: 6 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	280	Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	CT	Sens: 75 Spec: 20	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	5499	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	MRI	Sens: 69 Spec: 13	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	4267	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	PET	Sens: 4 Spec: 1	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	175	Sens: Low Spec: Low
Direct (within-study) comparisons of	US without contrast vs. CT	Sens: 6 Spec: 5	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	High	777	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate

	<b>Imaging modality or comparison</b>	<b>Number of studies</b>	<b>Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)</b>	<b>Consistency (High, moderate, low)</b>	<b>Directness (Direct or indirect)</b>	<b>Precision (High, moderate, low)</b>	<b>Number of subjects</b>	<b>Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)</b>
imaging modalities <i>Unit of analysis:</i> patients with HCC	US without contrast vs. MRI	Sens: 3 Spec: 3	Moderate	High	Direct	High	712	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	MRI vs. CT	Sens: 4 Spec: 4	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	High	3577	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
Direct (within-study) comparisons of imaging modalities <i>Unit of analysis:</i> HCC lesions	US without contrast vs. CT	Sens: 3 Spec: 2	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	High	821	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	US without contrast vs. MRI	Sens: 3 Spec: 2	Moderate	High	Direct	High	821	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	US with contrast vs. CT	Sens: 3 Spec: 0	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	High	140	Sens: Moderate Spec: Insufficient
	US with contrast vs. MRI	Sens: 2 Spec: 0	Moderate	High	Direct	Moderate	91	Sens: Moderate Spec: Insufficient
	MRI vs. CT	Sens: 28 Spec: 6	Moderate	Low	Direct	High	2599	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
Multiple imaging modalities	Various combinations	Sens: 2	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	91	Sens: Low

**Key Question 1a.i. Effects of reference standard on test performance (based on HCC lesions as the unit of analysis)**

<b>Imaging modality or comparison</b>	<b>Number of studies</b>	<b>Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)</b>	<b>Consistency (High, moderate, low)</b>	<b>Directness (Direct or indirect)</b>	<b>Precision (High, moderate, low)</b>	<b>Number of subjects</b>	<b>Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)</b>
US*	Sens: 16 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	1871	Sens: Moderate Spec: Insufficient
CT*	Sens: 74 Spec: 11	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	5112	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
MRI*	Sens: 69 Spec: 13	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	4319	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
PET*	Sens: 3 Spec: 0	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Indirect</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient</b>

**Key Question 1a.ii. Effects of patient, tumor, technical, and other factors on test performance**

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Lesion Size	US	Sens: 14 Spec: 3	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate	1781	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	CT	Sens: 33 Spec: 2	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate	2710	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	MRI	Sens: 25 Spec: 5	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate	1990	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	PET	Sens: 5	Moderate	Low	Direct	Low	268	Sens: Low
Degree of tumor differentiation	US with contrast	Sens: 3 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate	165	Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	CT	Sens: 5 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Direct	High	320	Sens: Moderate Spec: Insufficient
	MRI	Sens: 2 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Direct	Low	242	Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	PET	Sens: 5 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate	268	Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
Other factors	US	1 to 3, depending on factor	Moderate	Low	Direct	Low	1119	Low
	CT	4-8, depending on factor	Moderate	Low	Direct	Low-Moderate	240	Low-Moderate
	MRI	2-8, depending on factor	Moderate	Low	Direct	Low-moderate	518	Low-moderate
	PET	1-8, depending on factor	Moderate	Low	Direct	Low-moderate	372	Low-moderate

**Key Question 1b. Diagnostic thinking**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
All	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence

**Key Question 1c. Clinical and patient-centered outcomes**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
US plus serum AFP	3 RCT's	High	1 study of surveillance vs. no surveillance	Direct	High	18816	Low

**Key Question 1d. Harms**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
MRI, CT, US	2	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Low	248	Insufficient

## Key Question 2. Diagnosis

### Key Question 2a. Test performance

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Evaluation of a previously identified lesion <i>Unit of analysis: patients with HCC</i>	US with contrast	Sens: 9 Spec: 5	Moderate	Low	Indirect	High	1207	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	US without contrast	Sens: 2 Spec: 0	Moderate	High	Indirect	Moderate		Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	CT	Sens: 5 Spec: 3	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate	760	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	MRI	Sens: 3 Spec: 3	Moderate	High	Indirect	Low	432	Sens: Low Spec: Low
Evaluation of a previously identified lesion <i>Unit of analysis: HCC lesions</i>	US with contrast	Sens: 22 Spec: 13	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	1757	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	CT	Sens: 12 Spec: 16	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	829	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	MRI	Sens: 13 Spec: 12	Moderate	Low	Indirect	Moderate	854	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
	PET	Sens: 2 Spec: 2	Moderate	High	Indirect	Low	158	Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
For distinguishing HCC lesions from non-HCC hepatic lesions	US with contrast	1	Moderate	1 study	Indirect	Low	100	Low
	CT	4	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Low	382	Low
	MRI	9	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate	535	Moderate
Direct (within-study) comparisons of imaging modalities <i>Unit of analysis: Patients with HCC</i>	US without contrast vs. CT	Sens: 1 Spec: 0	Moderate	1 study	Direct	Moderate		Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	US with contrast vs. CT	Sens: 4 Spec: 2	Moderate	High	Direct	Moderate	686	Sens: Moderate Spec: Insufficient
	MRI vs. CT	Sens: 1 Spec: 1	Moderate	1 study	Direct	Moderate	74	Sens: Low Spec: Low
Direct (within-study) comparisons of imaging modalities <i>Unit of analysis: HCC lesion</i>	US with contrast vs. CT	Sens: 3 Spec: 0	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate	191	Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	US with contrast vs. MRI	Sens: 1 Spec: 1	Moderate	1 study	Direct	Low		Sens: Low Spec: Low
	MRI vs. CT	Sens: 1 Spec: 1	Moderate	1 study	Direct	Low	63	Sens: Low Spec: Low

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Multiple imaging modalities	Various combinations	7	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Moderate	552	Moderate

**Key Question 2a.i. Effects of reference standard on test performance (based on HCC lesions as the unit of analysis)**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
All	US: 24 CT: 12 MRI: 12	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate		Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate

**Key Question 2a.ii. Effects of patient, tumor, technical, and other factors on test performance**

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Lesion Size	US	Sens: 14 Spec: 3	Moderate	Low	Direct	Moderate		Sens: Moderate Spec: Low
	CT and MRI							See KQ 1a.ii.
Degree of tumor differentiation	US	Sens: 3 Spec: 0	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Low	202	Sens: Low Spec: Insufficient
	CT and MRI							See KQ1a.ii.
Other factors	US	1-2, depending on factor	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Low		Insufficient-low
	CT	1-3. depending on factor	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Low		Insufficient-low
	MRI	5-8, depending on factor	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Low-moderate		Low-moderate

**Key Question 2b. Diagnostic thinking**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
All	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence

**Key Question 2c. Clinical and patient-centered outcomes**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
All	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence

**Key Question 2d. Harms**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
US and CT	1	High	1 study	Direct	Low	190	Insufficient

**Key Question 3. Staging**

**Key Question 3a. Test performance**

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Staging accuracy, using TNM criteria	CT	6	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate		Moderate
	MRI	2	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate		Low
	PET	1	Moderate	1 study	Indirect	Moderate		Low
	MRI vs. CT	2	Moderate	Moderate	Direct	Moderate		Low
Identification of metastatic disease <i>Unit of analysis:</i> Patients with metastatic HCC	PET	Sens: 6 Spec: 5	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Moderate		Sens: Moderate Spec: Moderate
Identification of metastatic disease <i>Unit of analysis:</i> Metastatic HCC lesions	PET	Sens: 5 Spec: 0	Moderate	High	Indirect	Moderate		Sens: Moderate Spec: Insufficient

**Key Question 3.a.i. Effects of reference standard on test performance**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
CT, MRI, PET	6	Moderate	High	Indirect	Low		Sens: Low Spec: Low

**Key Question 3.a.ii. Effects of patient, tumor, and technical factors on test performance**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
CT, MRI, PET	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence
PET	1-8, depending on factor	Moderate	Moderate	Indirect	Low-moderate		Low-moderate

**Key Question 3b. Diagnostic thinking**

	Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
Transplant eligibility, using Milan criteria	CT	7	Moderate	High	Indirect	Low		Moderate
	CT vs. MRI	1	Moderate	1 study	Direct	Low		Low
	PET vs. CT	1	Moderate	1 study	Direct	Low		Low
Use of resection and ablative therapies	MRI vs. CT	1	High	1 study	Direct	Low		Low

**Key Question 3c. Clinical and patient-centered outcomes**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
US with contrast vs. US without contrast plus CT	1	High	1 study	Direct	Low		Low

**Key Question 3d. Harms**

Imaging modality or comparison	Number of studies	Risk of bias (Low, moderate, high)	Consistency (High, moderate, low)	Directness (Direct or indirect)	Precision (High, moderate, low)	Number of subjects	Strength of evidence (high, moderate, low, insufficient)
All	No evidence	--	--	--	--	--	No evidence

AFP = alpha-fetoprotein; CT = computed axial tomography; HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; PET = positron emission tomography; RCT = randomized control trial; Sens = sensitivity; Spec = specificity; TNM = tumor, node, metastasis staging system; US = ultrasound; vs. = versus

## APPENDIX REFERENCES

1. Addley HC, Griffin N, Shaw AS, et al. Accuracy of hepatocellular carcinoma detection on multidetector CT in a transplant liver population with explant liver correlation. *Clin Radiol.* 2011 Apr;66(4):349-56. PMID: 21295772.
2. Ahn SS, Kim M-J, Lim JS, et al. Added value of gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MR imaging in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology.* 2010 May;255(2):459-66. PMID: 20413759.
3. Akai H, Kiryu S, Matsuda I, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma by Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced liver MRI: comparison with triple phase 64 detector row helical CT. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Nov;80(2):310-5. PMID: 20732773.
4. Alaboudy A, Inoue T, Hatanaka K, et al. Usefulness of combination of imaging modalities in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma using Sonazoid(R)-enhanced ultrasound, gadolinium diethylenetriamine-pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, and contrast-enhanced computed tomography. *Oncology.* 2011;81 Suppl 1:66-72. PMID: 22212939.
5. An C, Park MS, Kim D, et al. Added value of subtraction imaging in detecting arterial enhancement in small (<3 cm) hepatic nodules on dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in patients at high risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:924-30. PMID: 23138382.
6. An C, Park M-S, Jeon H-M, et al. Prediction of the histopathological grade of hepatocellular carcinoma using qualitative diffusion-weighted, dynamic, and hepatobiliary phase MRI. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Aug;22(8):1701-8. PMID: 22434421.
7. Baccarani U, Adani GL, Avellini C, et al. Comparison of clinical and pathological staging and long-term results of liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in a single transplant center. *Transplant Proc.* 2006 May;38(4):1111-3. PMID: 16757280.
8. Baek CK, Choi JY, Kim KA, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: a comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and multiphasic MDCT. *Clin Radiol.* 2012 Feb;67(2):148-56. PMID: 21920517.
9. Bartolozzi C, Donati F, Cioni D, et al. MnDPDP-enhanced MRI vs dual-phase spiral CT in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis. *Eur Radiol.* 2000;10(11):1697-702. PMID: 11097390.
10. Bennett GL, Krinsky GA, Abitbol RJ, et al. Sonographic detection of hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules in cirrhosis: correlation of pretransplantation sonography and liver transplant pathology in 200 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Jul;179(1):75-80. PMID: 12076908.
11. Bhattacharyya S, Bhattacharyya T, Quaglia A, et al. Liver transplantation in cirrhotic patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma: an analysis of pre-operative imaging, explant histology and prognostic histologic indicators. *Dig Surg.* 2004;21(2):152-9; discussion 9-60. PMID: 15166485.
12. Burrel M, Llovet JM, Ayuso C, et al. MRI angiography is superior to helical CT for detection of HCC prior to liver transplantation: an explant correlation. *Hepatology.* 2003 Oct;38(4):1034-42. PMID: 14512891.
13. Catala V, Nicolau C, Vilana R, et al. Characterization of focal liver lesions: comparative study of contrast-enhanced ultrasound versus spiral computed tomography. *Eur Radiol.* 2007 Apr;17(4):1066-73. PMID: 17072617.
14. Cereser L, Furlan A, Bagatto D, et al. Comparison of portal venous and delayed phases of gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging study of cirrhotic liver for the detection of contrast washout of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2010;34(5):706-11.
15. Chalasani N, Horlander JC, Sr., Said A, et al. Screening for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with advanced cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1999 Oct;94(10):2988-93. PMID: 10520857.
16. Chen Y-K, Hsieh D-S, Liao C-S, et al. Utility of FDG-PET for investigating unexplained serum AFP elevation in patients with suspected hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. *Anticancer Res.* 2005 Nov-Dec;25(6C):4719-25. PMID: 16334166.
17. Cheung TT, Ho CL, Lo CM, et al. 11C-acetate and 18F-FDG PET/CT for clinical staging and selection of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma for liver transplantation on the basis of milan criteria: Surgeon's perspective. *J Nucl Med.* 2013;54(2):192-200. PMID: 23321459.
18. Cheung TT, Chan SC, Ho CL, et al. Can positron emission tomography with the dual tracers [11C]acetate and [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose predict microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma? *Liver Transpl.* 2011 Oct;17(10):1218-25. PMID: 21688383.
19. Choi SH, Lee JM, Yu NC, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in liver transplantation candidates: detection with gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008 Aug;191(2):529-36. PMID: 18647927.
20. Choi D, Kim SH, Lim JH, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: combined T2-weighted and dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MRI versus

- combined CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2001 Sep-Oct;25(5):777-85. PMID: 11584240.
21. Chou C-T, Chen R-C, Chen W-T, et al. Characterization of hyperintense nodules on T1-weighted liver magnetic resonance imaging: comparison of Ferucarbotran-enhanced MRI with accumulation-phase FS-T1WI and gadolinium-enhanced MRI. *J Chin Med Assoc.* 2011 Feb;74(2):62-8. PMID: 21354082.
  22. Chung J, Yu J-S, Kim DJ, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver: diffusion-weighted imaging versus superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MRI. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2011 Nov;29(9):1235-43. PMID: 21907517.
  23. Chung S-H, Kim M-J, Choi J-Y, et al. Comparison of two different injection rates of gadoxetic acid for arterial phase MRI of the liver. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2010 Feb;31(2):365-72. PMID: 20099350.
  24. Colagrande S, Farnoli R, Dal Pozzo F, et al. Value of hepatic arterial phase CT versus lipiodol ultrafluid CT in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2000 Nov-Dec;24(6):878-83. PMID: 11105704.
  25. Dai Y, Chen MH, Fan ZH, et al. Diagnosis of small hepatic nodules detected by surveillance ultrasound in patients with cirrhosis: Comparison between contrast-enhanced ultrasound and contrast-enhanced helical computed tomography. *Hepatol Res.* 2008 Mar;38(3):281-90. PMID: 17908168.
  26. de Ledinghen V, Laharie D, Lecesne R, et al. Detection of nodules in liver cirrhosis: spiral computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging? A prospective study of 88 nodules in 34 patients. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2002 Feb;14(2):159-65. PMID: 11981340.
  27. Delbeke D, Martin WH, Sandler MP, et al. Evaluation of benign vs malignant hepatic lesions with positron emission tomography. *Arch Surg.* 1998 May;133(5):510-5; discussion 5-6. PMID: 9605913.
  28. Denecke T, Grieser C, Froeling V, et al. Multislice computed tomography using a triple-phase contrast protocol for preoperative assessment of hepatic tumor load in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma before liver transplantation. *Transpl Int.* 2009 Apr;22(4):395-402. PMID: 19000231.
  29. Di Martino M, De Filippis G, De Santis A, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients: prospective comparison of US, CT and MR imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:887-96. PMID: 23179521.
  30. Di Martino M, Marin D, Guerrisi A, et al. Intraindividual comparison of gadoxetate disodium-enhanced MR imaging and 64-section multidetector CT in the Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *Radiology.* 2010 Sep;256(3):806-16. PMID: 20720069.
  31. D'Onofrio M, Rozzanigo U, Masinielli BM, et al. Hypoechoic focal liver lesions: characterization with contrast enhanced ultrasonography. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2005 May;33(4):164-72. PMID: 15856516.
  32. Doyle DJ, O'Malley ME, Jang H-J, et al. Value of the unenhanced phase for detection of hepatocellular carcinomas 3 cm or less when performing multiphase computed tomography in patients with cirrhosis. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2007 Jan-Feb;31(1):86-92. PMID: 17259838.
  33. Eckel F, Herrmann K, Schmidt S, et al. Imaging of proliferation in hepatocellular carcinoma with the in vivo marker 18F-fluorothymidine. *J Nucl Med.* 2009 Sep;50(9):1441-7. PMID: 19690030.
  34. Forner A, Vilana R, Ayuso C, et al. Diagnosis of hepatic nodules 20 mm or smaller in cirrhosis: Prospective validation of the noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma.[Erratum appears in Hepatology. 2008 Feb;47(2):769]. *Hepatology.* 2008 Jan;47(1):97-104. PMID: 18069697.
  35. Freeman RB, Mithoefer A, Ruthazer R, et al. Optimizing staging for hepatocellular carcinoma before liver transplantation: A retrospective analysis of the UNOS/OPTN database. *Liver Transpl.* 2006 Oct;12(10):1504-11. PMID: 16952174.
  36. Freeny PC, Grossholz M, Kaakaji K, et al. Significance of hyperattenuating and contrast-enhancing hepatic nodules detected in the cirrhotic liver during arterial phase helical CT in pre-liver transplant patients: radiologic-histopathologic correlation of explanted livers. *Abdom Imaging.* 2003 May-Jun;28(3):333-46. PMID: 12719903.
  37. Furuse J, Maru Y, Yoshino M, et al. Assessment of arterial tumor vascularity in small hepatocellular carcinoma. Comparison between color doppler ultrasonography and radiographic imagings with contrast medium: dynamic CT, angiography, and CT hepatic arteriography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2000 Oct;36(1):20-7. PMID: 10996754.
  38. Gaiani S, Celli N, Piscaglia F, et al. Usefulness of contrast-enhanced perfusional sonography in the assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma hypervascular at spiral computed tomography. *J Hepatol.* 2004 Sep;41(3):421-6. PMID: 15336445.
  39. Gambarin-Gelwan M, Wolf DC, Shapiro R, et al. Sensitivity of commonly available screening tests in detecting hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2000 Jun;95(6):1535-8. PMID: 10894592.

40. Giorgio A, De Stefano G, Coppola C, et al. Contrast-enhanced sonography in the characterization of small hepatocellular carcinomas in cirrhotic patients: comparison with contrast-enhanced ultrafast magnetic resonance imaging. *Anticancer Res.* 2007 Nov-Dec;27(6C):4263-9. PMID: 18214030.
41. Giorgio A, Ferraioli G, Tarantino L, et al. Contrast-enhanced sonographic appearance of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: Comparison with contrast-enhanced helical CT appearance. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004;183(5):1319-26. PMID: 15505297.
42. Golfieri R, Marini E, Bazzocchi A, et al. Small (<or=3 cm) hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: the role of double contrast agents in MR imaging vs. multidetector-row CT. *Radiol Med (Torino).* 2009 Dec;114(8):1239-66. PMID: 19697104.
43. Goshima S, Kanematsu M, Matsuo M, et al. Nodule-in-nodule appearance of hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison of gadolinium-enhanced and ferumoxides-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2004 Aug;20(2):250-5. PMID: 15269950.
44. Goto E, Masuzaki R, Tateishi R, et al. Value of post-vascular phase (Kupffer imaging) by contrast-enhanced ultrasonography using Sonazoid in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol.* 2012;47(4):477-85. PMID: 22200940.
45. Guo L, Liang C, Yu T, et al. 3 T MRI of hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis: does T2-weighted imaging provide added value? *Clin Radiol.* 2012 Apr;67(4):319-28. PMID: 22099524.
46. Haradome H, Grazioli L, Tinti R, et al. Additional value of gadoxetic acid-DTPA-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MR imaging in the diagnosis of early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with dynamic triple-phase multidetector CT imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2011 Jul;34(1):69-78. PMID: 21598343.
47. Hardie AD, Kizziah MK, Boulter DJ. Diagnostic accuracy of diffusion-weighted MRI for identifying hepatocellular carcinoma with liver explant correlation. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2011 Aug;55(4):362-7. PMID: 21843170.
48. Hardie AD, Kizziah MK, Rissing MS. Can the patient with cirrhosis be imaged for hepatocellular carcinoma without gadolinium?: Comparison of combined T2-weighted, T2\*-weighted, and diffusion-weighted MRI with gadolinium-enhanced MRI using liver explantation standard. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2011 Nov-Dec;35(6):711-5. PMID: 22082541.
49. Hardie AD, Nance JW, Boulter DJ, et al. Assessment of the diagnostic accuracy of T2\*-weighted MR imaging for identifying hepatocellular carcinoma with liver explant correlation. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Dec;80(3):e249-52. PMID: 21112710.
50. Hatanaka K, Kudo M, Minami Y, et al. Differential diagnosis of hepatic tumors: value of contrast-enhanced harmonic sonography using the newly developed contrast agent, Sonazoid. *Intervirology.* 2008;51 Suppl 1:61-9. PMID: 18544950.
51. Hecht EM, Holland AE, Israel GM, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the cirrhotic liver: gadolinium-enhanced 3D T1-weighted MR imaging as a stand-alone sequence for diagnosis. *Radiology.* 2006 May;239(2):438-47. PMID: 16641353.
52. Hidaka M, Takatsuki M, Okudaira S, et al. The expression of transporter OATP2/OATP8 decreases in undetectable hepatocellular carcinoma by Gd-EOB-MRI in the explanted cirrhotic liver. *Hepatology International.* 2012;1-7.
53. Higashihara H, Osuga K, Onishi H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of C-arm CT during selective transcatheter angiography for hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with intravenous contrast-enhanced, biphasic, dynamic MDCT. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Apr;22(4):872-9. PMID: 22120061.
54. Hirakawa M, Yoshimitsu K, Irie H, et al. Performance of radiological methods in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma preoperatively in a recipient of living related liver transplantation: comparison with step section histopathology. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2011 Feb;29(2):129-37. PMID: 21359938.
55. Ho C-L, Yu SCH, Yeung DWC. 11C-acetate PET imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma and other liver masses. *J Nucl Med.* 2003 Feb;44(2):213-21. PMID: 12571212.
56. Ho C-L, Chen S, Yeung DWC, et al. Dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in evaluation of metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2007 Jun;48(6):902-9. PMID: 17504862.
57. Hori M, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of SPIO-enhanced MRI with dynamic helical CT. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 Sep-Oct;26(5):701-10. PMID: 12439302.
58. Hori M, Murakami T, Oi H, et al. Sensitivity in detection of hypervasculär hepatocellular carcinoma by helical CT with intra-arterial injection of contrast medium, and by helical CT and MR imaging with intravenous injection of contrast medium. *Acta Radiol.* 1998 Mar;39(2):144-51. PMID: 9529444.
59. Hwang J, Kim SH, Lee MW, et al. Small (<= 2 cm) hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced 3.0 T MRI and multiphasic 64-multirow detector CT. *Br J Radiol.* 2012 Jul;85(1015):e314-22. PMID: 22167508.

60. Hwang KH, Choi D-J, Lee S-Y, et al. Evaluation of patients with hepatocellular carcinomas using [(11)C]acetate and [(18)F]FDG PET/CT: A preliminary study. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2009 Jul-Aug;67(7-8):1195-8. PMID: 19342249.
61. Iannaccone R, Laghi A, Catalano C, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: role of unenhanced and delayed phase multi-detector row helical CT in patients with cirrhosis. *Radiology.* 2005 Feb;234(2):460-7. PMID: 15671002.
62. Iavarone M, Sangiovanni A, Forzenigo LV, et al. Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis by dynamic contrast imaging: the importance of tumor cell differentiation. *Hepatology.* 2010 Nov;52(5):1723-30. PMID: 20842697.
63. Ichikawa T, Saito K, Yoshioka N, et al. Detection and characterization of focal liver lesions: a Japanese phase III, multicenter comparison between gadoteric acid disodium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and contrast-enhanced computed tomography predominantly in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and chronic liver disease. *Investigative Radiology.* 2010 Mar;45(3):133-41. PMID: 20098330.
64. Ichikawa T, Kitamura T, Nakajima H, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: can double arterial phase imaging with multidetector CT improve tumor depiction in the cirrhotic liver? *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Sep;179(3):751-8. PMID: 12185057.
65. Imamura M, Shiratori Y, Shiina S, et al. Power Doppler sonography for hepatocellular carcinoma: factors affecting the power Doppler signals of the tumors. *Liver.* 1998 Dec;18(6):427-33. PMID: 9869398.
66. Inoue T, Kudo M, Komuta M, et al. Assessment of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI for HCC and dysplastic nodules and comparison of detection sensitivity versus MDCT. *J Gastroenterol.* 2012 Sep;47(9):1036-47. PMID: 22526270.
67. Inoue T, Kudo M, Maenishi O, et al. Value of liver parenchymal phase contrast-enhanced sonography to diagnose premalignant and borderline lesions and overt hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009 Mar;192(3):698-705. PMID: 19234266.
68. Inoue T, Kudo M, Hatanaka K, et al. Imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: Qualitative and quantitative analysis of postvascular phase contrast-enhanced ultrasonography with sonazoid. *Oncology.* 2008;75(SUPPL. 1):48-54. PMID: 19092272.
69. Ito K, Fujita T, Shimizu A, et al. Multiarterial phase dynamic MRI of small early enhancing hepatic lesions in cirrhosis or chronic hepatitis: Differentiating between hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas and pseudolesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004;183(3):699-705. PMID: 15333358.
70. Iwazawa J, Ohue S, Hashimoto N, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of angiographic C-arm CT and MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2010 Oct;195(4):882-7. PMID: 20858813.
71. Jang HJ, Lim JH, Lee SJ, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: are combined CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography in addition to triple-phase helical CT all necessary for preoperative evaluation? *Radiology.* 2000 May;215(2):373-80. PMID: 10796910.
72. Jeng L-B, Changlai S-P, Shen Y-Y, et al. Limited value of 18F-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography to detect hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus carriers. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 2003 Nov-Dec;50(54):2154-6. PMID: 14696485.
73. Jeng C-M, Kung C-H, Wang Y-C, et al. Spiral biphasic contrast-enhanced computerized tomography in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 2002 Aug;101(8):588-92. PMID: 12440092.
74. Jeong WK, Byun JH, Lee SS, et al. Gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced liver MR imaging in cirrhotic patients: quantitative and qualitative comparison of 1-hour and 3-hour delayed images. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2011 Apr;33(4):889-97. PMID: 21448954.
75. Jeong MG, Yu JS, Kim KW, et al. Early homogeneously enhancing hemangioma versus hepatocellular carcinoma: differentiation using quantitative analysis of multiphasic dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. *Yonsei Med J.* 1999 Jun;40(3):248-55. PMID: 10412337.
76. Jin Y, Nah S, Lee J, et al. Utility of Adding Primovist Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Analysis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Liver Dynamic Computed Tomography. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2013;11(2):187-92. PMID: 23142203.
77. Kamura T, Kimura M, Sakai K, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma versus hypervascular pseudolesions: Differential diagnosis on MRI. *Abdom Imaging.* 2002;27(3):315-24. PMID: 12173363.
78. Kang BK, Lim JH, Kim SH, et al. Preoperative depiction of hepatocellular carcinoma: ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging versus triple-phase helical CT. *Radiology.* 2003 Jan;226(1):79-85. PMID: 12511672.
79. Kawada N, Ohkawa K, Tanaka S, et al. Improved diagnosis of well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma with gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and Sonazoid

- contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. *Hepatol Res.* 2010;40(9):930-6. PMID: 20887598.
80. Kawaoka T, Aikata H, Takaki S, et al. FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography for the detection of extrahepatic metastases from hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* 2009;39(2):134-42. PMID: 19208034.
  81. Kawata S, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Multidetector CT: diagnostic impact of slice thickness on detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Jul;179(1):61-6. PMID: 12076906.
  82. Khalili K, Kim TK, Jang H-J, et al. Optimization of imaging diagnosis of 1-2 cm hepatocellular carcinoma: an analysis of diagnostic performance and resource utilization. *J Hepatol.* 2011 Apr;54(4):723-8. PMID: 21156219.
  83. Khan MA, Combs CS, Brunt EM, et al. Positron emission tomography scanning in the evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol.* 2000 May;32(5):792-7. PMID: 10845666.
  84. Kim AY, Kim YK, Lee MW, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and diffusion-weighted MRI with respect to the severity of liver cirrhosis. *Acta Radiol.* 2012 Oct 1;53(8):830-8. PMID: 22847903.
  85. Kim CK, Lim JH, Lee WJ. Detection of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic liver: accuracy of ultrasonography in transplant patients. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine.* 2001 Feb;20(2):99-104. PMID: 11211142.
  86. Kim DJ, Yu JS, Kim JH, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas: value of diffusion-weighted imaging compared with "washout" appearance on dynamic MRI. *Br J Radiol.* 2012 Oct;85(1018):e879-86. PMID: 22573299.
  87. Kim KW, Lee JM, Klotz E, et al. Quantitative CT color mapping of the arterial enhancement fraction of the liver to detect hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology.* 2009 Feb;250(2):425-34. PMID: 19188314.
  88. Kim M-J, Lee M, Choi J-Y, et al. Imaging features of small hepatocellular carcinomas with microvascular invasion on gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR imaging. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012 Oct;81(10):2507-12. PMID: 22137613.
  89. Kim PN, Choi D, Rhim H, et al. Planning ultrasound for percutaneous radiofrequency ablation to treat small (<= 3 cm) hepatocellular carcinomas detected on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging: a multicenter prospective study to assess factors affecting ultrasound visibility. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2012 May;23(5):627-34. PMID: 22387030.
  90. Kim SE, Lee HC, Shim JH, et al. Noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatic masses >2 cm in a hepatitis B virus-endemic area. *Liver Int.* 2011 Nov;31(10):1468-76. PMID: 21745284.
  91. Kim SH, Kim SH, Lee J, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI versus triple-phase MDCT for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2009 Jun;192(6):1675-81. PMID: 19457834.
  92. Kim SH, Choi D, Kim SH, et al. Ferucarbotran-enhanced MRI versus triple-phase MDCT for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005 Apr;184(4):1069-76. PMID: 15788575.
  93. Kim SJ, Kim SH, Lee J, et al. Ferucarbotran-enhanced 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging using parallel imaging technique compared with triple-phase multidetector row computed tomography for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma.[Erratum appears in *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008 Jul-Aug;32(4):615]. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2008 May-Jun;32(3):379-85. PMID: 18520541.
  94. Kim SK, Lim JH, Lee WJ, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of dynamic three-phase computed tomography images and four-phase computed tomography images using multidetector row helical computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 Sep-Oct;26(5):691-8. PMID: 12439300.
  95. Kim T, Murakami T, Hori M, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma revealed by double arterial phase CT performed with single breath-hold scanning and automatic bolus tracking. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002 Apr;178(4):899-904. PMID: 11906869.
  96. Kim TK, Lee KH, Jang H-J, et al. Analysis of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MR findings for characterizing small (1-2-cm) hepatic nodules in patients at high risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology.* 2011 Jun;259(3):730-8. PMID: 21364083.
  97. Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: intraindividual comparison of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI at 3.0 and 1.5 T.[Erratum appears in *Invest Radiol.* 2011 Sep;46(9):600]. *Investigative Radiology.* 2011 Jun;46(6):383-9. PMID: 21467946.
  98. Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of liver malignancy with gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI: is addition of diffusion-weighted MRI beneficial? [Erratum appears in *Clin Radiol.* 2011 Oct;66(10):1006]. *Clin Radiol.* 2011 Jun;66(6):489-96. PMID: 21367403.
  99. Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: can gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging replace combining gadopentetate dimeglumine-enhanced

- and superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging? *Investigative Radiology*. 2010 Nov;45(11):740-6. PMID: 20644488.
100. Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Comparison of gadoteric acid-enhanced MRI and superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MRI for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Radiol*. 2010 May;65(5):358-65. PMID: 20380933.
  101. Kim Y-K, Lee K-W, Cho SY, et al. Usefulness 18F-FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography for detecting recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in posttransplant patients. *Liver Transpl*. 2010 Jun;16(6):767-72. PMID: 20517911.
  102. Kim YK, Kim CS, Han YM, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: gadoteric acid-enhanced 3-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging versus multi-detector row computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2009 Nov-Dec;33(6):844-50. PMID: 19940648.
  103. Kim YK, Lee YH, Kim CS, et al. Added diagnostic value of T2-weighted MR imaging to gadolinium-enhanced three-dimensional dynamic MR imaging for the detection of small hepatocellular carcinomas. *Eur J Radiol*. 2008 Aug;67(2):304-10. PMID: 17714904.
  104. Kim YK, Lee YH, Kim CS, et al. Double-dose 1.0-M gadobutrol versus standard-dose 0.5-M gadopentetate dimeglumine in revealing small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas. *Eur Radiol*. 2008 Jan;18(1):70-7. PMID: 17404740.
  105. Kim YK, Kwak HS, Han YM, et al. Usefulness of combining sequentially acquired gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and resovist-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with computed tomography hepatic arteriography and computed tomography arteriopertigraphy using 16-slice multidetector computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2007 Sep-Oct;31(5):702-11. PMID: 17895780.
  106. Kim YK, Kim CS, Chung GH, et al. Comparison of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced dynamic MRI and 16-MDCT for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2006 Jan;186(1):149-57. PMID: 16357395.
  107. Kim YK, Kwak HS, Kim CS, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: comparison of SPIO-enhanced MR imaging and 16-detector row CT. *Radiology*. 2006 Feb;238(2):531-41. PMID: 16371577.
  108. Kim YK, Kim CS, Kwak HS, et al. Three-dimensional dynamic liver MR imaging using sensitivity encoding for detection of hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison with superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced mr imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2004 Nov;20(5):826-37. PMID: 15503325.
  109. Kitamura T, Ichikawa T, Erturk SM, et al. Detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma with multidetector-row CT: single arterial-phase imaging with computer-assisted automatic bolus-tracking technique compared with double arterial-phase imaging. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2008 Sep-Oct;32(5):724-9. PMID: 18830101.
  110. Kondo H, Kanematsu M, Itoh K, et al. Does T2-weighted MR imaging improve preoperative detection of malignant hepatic tumors? Observer performance study in 49 surgically proven cases. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2005 Jan;23(1):89-95. PMID: 15733793.
  111. Korenaga K, Korenaga M, Furukawa M, et al. Usefulness of Sonazoid contrast-enhanced ultrasonography for hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison with pathological diagnosis and superparamagnetic iron oxide magnetic resonance images. *J Gastroenterol*. 2009;44(7):733-41. PMID: 19387532.
  112. Koushima Y, Ebara M, Fukuda H, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma: assessment with T1-weighted spin-echo magnetic resonance imaging with and without fat suppression. *Eur J Radiol*. 2002 Jan;41(1):34-41. PMID: 11750150.
  113. Krinsky GA, Lee VS, Theise ND, et al. Transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis: Sensitivity of magnetic resonance imaging. *Liver Transpl*. 2002;8(12):1156-64. PMID: 12474156.
  114. Krinsky GA, Lee VS, Theise ND, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules in patients with cirrhosis: prospective diagnosis with MR imaging and explantation correlation. *Radiology*. 2001 May;219(2):445-54. PMID: 11323471.
  115. Kumano S, Uemura M, Haraikawa T, et al. Efficacy of double arterial phase dynamic magnetic resonance imaging with the sensitivity encoding technique versus dynamic multidetector-row helical computed tomography for detecting hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *Jpn J Radiol*. 2009 Jul;27(6):229-36. PMID: 19626408.
  116. Kunishi Y, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Efficacy of fusion imaging combining sonography and hepatobiliary phase MRI with Gd-EOB-DTPA to detect small hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2012 Jan;198(1):106-14. PMID: 22194485.
  117. Kwak H-S, Lee J-M, Kim Y-K, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of ferumoxides-enhanced and gadolinium-enhanced dynamic three-dimensional volume interpolated breath-hold MR imaging. *Eur Radiol*. 2005 Jan;15(1):140-7. PMID: 15449000.

118. Kwak H-S, Lee J-M, Kim C-S. Preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of combined contrast-enhanced MR imaging and combined CT during arterial portography and CT hepatic arteriography. *Eur Radiol*. 2004 Mar;14(3):447-57. PMID: 14531005.
119. Laghi A, Iannaccone R, Rossi P, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with triple-phase multidetector row helical CT in patients with chronic hepatitis. *Radiology*. 2003 Feb;226(2):543-9. PMID: 12563152.
120. Lauenstein TC, Salman K, Morreira R, et al. Gadolinium-enhanced MRI for tumor surveillance before liver transplantation: center-based experience. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007 Sep;189(3):663-70. PMID: 17715115.
121. Lee CH, Kim KA, Lee J, et al. Using low tube voltage (80kVp) quadruple phase liver CT for the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: two-year experience and comparison with Gd-EOB-DTPA enhanced liver MRI. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012 Apr;81(4):e605-11. PMID: 22297180.
122. Lee DH, Kim SH, Lee JM, et al. Diagnostic performance of multidetector row computed tomography, superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, and dual-contrast magnetic resonance imaging in predicting the appropriateness of a transplant recipient based on milan criteria: correlation with histopathological findings. *Investigative Radiology*. 2009 Jun;44(6):311-21. PMID: 19462486.
123. Lee J, Won JL, Hyo KL, et al. Early hepatocellular carcinoma: Three-phase helical CT features of 16 patients. *Korean J Radiol*. 2008;9(4):325-32. PMID: 18682670.
124. Lee JE, Jang JY, Jeong SW, et al. Diagnostic value for extrahepatic metastases of hepatocellular carcinoma in positron emission tomography/computed tomography scan. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2012 Jun 21;18(23):2979-87. PMID: 22736922.
125. Lee J-M, Kim I-H, Kwak H-S, et al. Detection of small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas in cirrhotic patients: comparison of superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging with dual-phase spiral CT. *Korean J Radiol*. 2003 Jan-Mar;4(1):1-8. PMID: 12679628.
126. Lee JY, Kim SH, Jeon YH, et al. Ferucarbotran-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging versus gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the preoperative detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: initial experience. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2010 Jan;34(1):127-34. PMID: 20118735.
127. Lee MH, Kim SH, Park MJ, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MRI and high-b-value diffusion-weighted imaging to distinguish well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinomas from benign nodules in patients with chronic liver disease. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011 Nov;197(5):W868-75. PMID: 22021534.
128. Lee MW, Kim YJ, Park HS, et al. Targeted sonography for small hepatocellular carcinoma discovered by CT or MRI: factors affecting sonographic detection. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010 May;194(5):W396-400. PMID: 20410384.
129. Li S, Beheshti M, Peck-Radosavljevic M, et al. Comparison of (11)C-acetate positron emission tomography and (67)Gallium citrate scintigraphy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int*. 2006 Oct;26(8):920-7. PMID: 16953831.
130. Li CS, Chen RC, Tu HY, et al. Imaging well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma with dynamic triple-phase helical computed tomography. *Br J Radiol*. 2006 Aug;79(944):659-65. PMID: 16641423.
131. Liangpunsakul S, Agarwal D, Horlander JC, et al. Positron emission tomography for detecting occult hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis C cirrhosis awaiting for liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc*. 2003 Dec;35(8):2995-7. PMID: 14697959.
132. Libbrecht L, Bielen D, Verslype C, et al. Focal lesions in cirrhotic explant livers: pathological evaluation and accuracy of pretransplantation imaging examinations. *Liver Transpl*. 2002 Sep;8(9):749-61. PMID: 12200773.
133. Lim JH, Kim SH, Lee WJ, et al. Ultrasonographic detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: Correlation of preoperative ultrasonography and resected liver pathology. *Clin Radiol*. 2006;61(2):191-7. PMID: 16439225.
134. Lim JH, Choi D, Kim SH, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: value of adding delayed phase imaging to dual-phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2002 Jul;179(1):67-73. PMID: 12076907.
135. Lim JH, Kim CK, Lee WJ, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic livers: accuracy of helical CT in transplant patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2000 Sep;175(3):693-8. PMID: 10954452.
136. Lin M-T, Chen C-L, Wang C-C, et al. Diagnostic sensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma imaging and its application to non-cirrhotic patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011 Apr;26(4):745-50. PMID: 21418303.
137. Lin W-Y, Tsai S-C, Hung G-U. Value of delayed 18F-FDG-PET imaging in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*. 2005 Apr;26(4):315-21. PMID: 15753790.
138. Liu YI, Shin LK, Jeffrey RB, et al. Quantitatively defining washout in hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR*

- Am J Roentgenol. 2013 Jan;200(1):84-9. PMID: 23255745.
139. Liu YI, Kamaya A, Jeffrey RB, et al. Multidetector computed tomography triphasic evaluation of the liver before transplantation: importance of equilibrium phase washout and morphology for characterizing hypervascular lesions. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2012 Mar-Apr;36(2):213-9. PMID: 22446362.
140. Liu WC, Lim JH, Park CK, et al. Poor sensitivity of sonography in detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in advanced liver cirrhosis: accuracy of pretransplantation sonography in 118 patients. *Eur Radiol*. 2003 Jul;13(7):1693-8. PMID: 12835987.
141. Lu CH, Chen CL, Cheng YF, et al. Correlation between imaging and pathologic findings in explanted livers of hepatocellular carcinoma cases. *Transplant Proc*. 2010 Apr;42(3):830-3. PMID: 20430183.
142. Luca A, Caruso S, Milazzo M, et al. Multidetector-row computed tomography (MDCT) for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic candidates for liver transplantation: prevalence of radiological vascular patterns and histological correlation with liver explants.[Erratum appears in Eur Radiol. 2011 Jul;21(7):1574 Note: Gruttaduria, Salvatore [corrected to Gruttaduria, Salvatore]]. *Eur Radiol*. 2010 Apr;20(4):898-907. PMID: 19802612.
143. Luo W, Numata K, Kondo M, et al. Sonazoid-enhanced ultrasonography for evaluation of the enhancement patterns of focal liver tumors in the late phase by intermittent imaging with a high mechanical index. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2009 Apr;28(4):439-48. PMID: 19321671.
144. Luo W, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Focal liver tumors: characterization with 3D perflubutane microbubble contrast agent-enhanced US versus 3D contrast-enhanced multidetector CT. *Radiology*. 2009 Apr;251(1):287-95. PMID: 19221060.
145. Luo W, Numata K, Morimoto M, et al. Three-dimensional contrast-enhanced sonography of vascular patterns of focal liver tumors: pilot study of visualization methods. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009 Jan;192(1):165-73. PMID: 19098197.
146. Lv P, Lin XZ, Chen K, et al. Spectral CT in patients with small HCC: investigation of image quality and diagnostic accuracy. *Eur Radiol*. 2012 Oct;22(10):2117-24. PMID: 22618521.
147. Lv P, Lin XZ, Li J, et al. Differentiation of small hepatic hemangioma from small hepatocellular carcinoma: recently introduced spectral CT method. *Radiology*. 2011 Jun;259(3):720-9. PMID: 21357524.
148. Maetani YS, Ueda M, Haga H, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients undergoing living-donor liver transplantation. Accuracy of multidetector computed tomography by viewing images on digital monitors. *Intervirology*. 2008;51 Suppl 1:46-51. PMID: 18544948.
149. Marin D, Catalano C, De Filippis G, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: added value of coronal reformations from isotropic voxels with 64-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009 Jan;192(1):180-7. PMID: 19098199.
150. Marin D, Di Martino M, Guerrisi A, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: qualitative comparison of gadobenate dimeglumine-enhanced MR imaging and multiphasic 64-section CT. *Radiology*. 2009 Apr;251(1):85-95. PMID: 19332848.
151. Marrero JA, Hussain HK, Nghiem HV, et al. Improving the prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients with an arterially-enhancing liver mass. *Liver Transpl*. 2005 Mar;11(3):281-9. PMID: 15719410.
152. Matsuo M, Kanematsu M, Itoh K, et al. Detection of malignant hepatic tumors: comparison of gadolinium-and ferumoxide-enhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2001 Sep;177(3):637-43. PMID: 11517061.
153. Mita K, Kim SR, Kudo M, et al. Diagnostic sensitivity of imaging modalities for hepatocellular carcinoma smaller than 2 cm. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2010 Sep 7;16(33):4187-92. PMID: 20806437.
154. Mok TSK, Yu SCH, Lee C, et al. False-negative rate of abdominal sonography for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis B and elevated serum alpha-fetoprotein levels. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2004 Aug;183(2):453-8. PMID: 15269040.
155. Monzawa S, Ichikawa T, Nakajima H, et al. Dynamic CT for detecting small hepatocellular carcinoma: usefulness of delayed phase imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007 Jan;188(1):147-53. PMID: 17179357.
156. Mori K, Yoshioka H, Takahashi N, et al. Triple arterial phase dynamic MRI with sensitivity encoding for hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of the diagnostic accuracy among the early, middle, late, and whole triple arterial phase imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2005 Jan;184(1):63-9. PMID: 15615952.
157. Moriyasu F, Itoh K. Efficacy of perflubutane microbubble-enhanced ultrasound in the characterization and detection of focal liver lesions: phase 3 multicenter clinical trial. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009 Jul;193(1):86-95. PMID: 19542399.

158. Mortele KJ, De Keukeleire K, Praet M, et al. Malignant focal hepatic lesions complicating underlying liver disease: dual-phase contrast-enhanced spiral CT sensitivity and specificity in orthotopic liver transplant patients. *Eur Radiol.* 2001;11(9):1631-8. PMID: 11511882.
159. Motosugi U, Ichikawa T, Sou H, et al. Distinguishing hypervascular pseudolesions of the liver from hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas with gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology.* 2010 Jul;256(1):151-8. PMID: 20574092.
160. Murakami T, Kim T, Kawata S, et al. Evaluation of optimal timing of arterial phase imaging for the detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma by using triple arterial phase imaging with multidetector-row helical computed tomography. *Investigative Radiology.* 2003 Aug;38(8):497-503. PMID: 12874516.
161. Murakami T, Kim T, Takamura M, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with double arterial phase multi-detector row helical CT. *Radiology.* 2001 Mar;218(3):763-7. PMID: 11230652.
162. Nagaoka S, Itano S, Ishibashi M, et al. Value of fusing PET plus CT images in hepatocellular carcinoma and combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma patients with extrahepatic metastases: preliminary findings. *Liver Int.* 2006 Sep;26(7):781-8. PMID: 16911459.
163. Nakamura Y, Tashiro H, Nambu J, et al. Detectability of hepatocellular carcinoma by gadoxetate disodium-enhanced hepatic MRI: Tumor-by-tumor analysis in explant livers. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2013;37(3):684-91. PMID: 23055436.
164. Nakamura H, Ito N, Kotake F, et al. Tumor-detecting capacity and clinical usefulness of SPIO-MRI in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol.* 2000;35(11):849-55. PMID: 11085494.
165. Nakayama A, Imamura H, Matsuyama Y, et al. Value of lipiodol computed tomography and digital subtraction angiography in the era of helical biphasic computed tomography as preoperative assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg.* 2001 Jul;234(1):56-62. PMID: 11420483.
166. Noguchi Y, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of dynamic MR imaging with dynamic double arterial phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003 Feb;180(2):455-60. PMID: 12540451.
167. Noguchi Y, Murakami T, Kim T, et al. Detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma by dynamic magnetic resonance imaging with double-echo chemical shift in-phase and opposed-phase gradient echo technique: comparison with dynamic helical computed tomography imaging with double arterial phase. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2002 Nov-Dec;26(6):981-7. PMID: 12488747.
168. Onishi H, Kim T, Imai Y, et al. Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas: detection with gadoxetate disodium-enhanced MR imaging and multiphasic multidetector CT. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 Apr;22(4):845-54. PMID: 22057248.
169. Ooi C-C, Low S-CA, Schneider-Kolsky M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of contrast-enhanced ultrasound in differentiating benign and malignant focal liver lesions: a retrospective study. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2010 Oct;54(5):421-30. PMID: 20958940.
170. Ooka Y, Kanai F, Okabe S, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI compared with CT during angiography in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2013;31(5):748-54 Epub 2012 Dec 5. PMID: 23218794.
171. Park M-S, Kim S, Patel J, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with diffusion-weighted versus contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in pretransplant patients. *Hepatology.* 2012 Jul;56(1):140-8. PMID: 22370974.
172. Park JH, Kim SH, Park HS, et al. Added value of 80 kVp images to averaged 120 kVp images in the detection of hepatocellular carcinomas in liver transplantation candidates using dual-source dual-energy MDCT: results of JAFROC analysis. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Nov;80(2):e76-85. PMID: 20875937.
173. Park Y, Kim SH, Kim SH, et al. Gadoxetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA)-enhanced MRI versus gadobenate dimeglumine (Gd-BOPTA)-enhanced MRI for preoperatively detecting hepatocellular carcinoma: an initial experience. *Korean J Radiol.* 2010 Jul-Aug;11(4):433-40. PMID: 20592927.
174. Park G, Kim YK, Kim CS, et al. Diagnostic efficacy of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI in the detection of hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison with gadopentetate dimeglumine. *Br J Radiol.* 2010 Dec;83(996):1010-6. PMID: 20682591.
175. Park J-W, Kim JH, Kim SK, et al. A prospective evaluation of 18F-FDG and 11C-acetate PET/CT for detection of primary and metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2008 Dec;49(12):1912-21. PMID: 18997056.
176. Paul SB, Gulati MS, Sreenivas V, et al. Evaluating patients with cirrhosis for hepatocellular carcinoma: value of clinical symptomatology, imaging and alpha-fetoprotein. *Oncology.* 2007;72 Suppl 1:117-23. PMID: 18087192.
177. Pauleit D, Textor J, Bachmann R, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: detection with gadolinium- and ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging of the liver. *Radiology.* 2002 Jan;222(1):73-80. PMID: 11756708.

178. Pei XQ, Liu LZ, Xiong YH, et al. Quantitative analysis of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography: Differentiating focal nodular hyperplasia from hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Radiol.* 2013 Mar;86(1023):20120536 Epub 2013 Feb 7. PMID: 23392189.
179. Peterson MS, Baron RL, Marsh JW, Jr., et al. Pretransplantation surveillance for possible hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: epidemiology and CT-based tumor detection rate in 430 cases with surgical pathologic correlation. *Radiology.* 2000 Dec;217(3):743-9. PMID: 11110938.
180. Petruzzelli N, Mitchell D, Guglielmo F, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma likelihood on MRI exams. Evaluation of a standardized categorization System. *Acad Radiol.* 2013 Jun;20(6):694-8 Epub 2013 Mar 28. PMID: 23541479.
181. Piana G, Trinquet L, Meskine N, et al. New MR imaging criteria with a diffusion-weighted sequence for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic liver diseases. *J Hepatol.* 2011 Jul;55(1):126-32. PMID: 21145857.
182. Pitton MB, Kloeckner R, Herber S, et al. MRI versus 64-row MDCT for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2009 Dec 28;15(48):6044-51. PMID: 20027676.
183. Pozzi Mucelli RM, Como G, Del Frate C, et al. Multidetector CT with double arterial phase and high-iodine-concentration contrast agent in the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiol Med (Torino).* 2006 Mar;111(2):181-91. PMID: 16671376.
184. Pugacheva O, Matsui O, Kozaka K, et al. Detection of small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas by EASL criteria: comparison with double-phase CT during hepatic arteriography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2011 Dec;80(3):e201-6. PMID: 20855175.
185. Quaia E, Alaimo V, Baratella E, et al. The added diagnostic value of 64-row multidetector CT combined with contrast-enhanced US in the evaluation of hepatocellular nodule vascularity: implications in the diagnosis of malignancy in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Eur Radiol.* 2009 Mar;19(3):651-63. PMID: 18815790.
186. Rhee H, Kim MJ, Park MS, et al. Differentiation of early hepatocellular carcinoma from benign hepatocellular nodules on gadoteric acid-enhanced MRI. *Br J Radiol.* 2012 Oct;85(1018):e837-44. PMID: 22553295.
187. Rickes S, Schulze S, Neye H, et al. Improved diagnosing of small hepatocellular carcinomas by echo-enhanced power Doppler sonography in patients with cirrhosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2003 Aug;15(8):893-900. PMID: 12867800.
188. Rimola J, Forner A, Tremosini S, et al. Non-invasive diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma <= 2 cm in cirrhosis. Diagnostic accuracy assessing fat, capsule and signal intensity at dynamic MRI. *J Hepatol.* 2012 Jun;56(6):1317-23. PMID: 22314420.
189. Rizvi S, Camci C, Yong Y, et al. Is post-Lipiodol CT better than i.v. contrast CT scan for early detection of HCC? A single liver transplant center experience. *Transplant Proc.* 2006 Nov;38(9):2993-5. PMID: 17112883.
190. Rode A, Bancel B, Douek P, et al. Small nodule detection in cirrhotic livers: evaluation with US, spiral CT, and MRI and correlation with pathologic examination of explanted liver. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2001 May-Jun;25(3):327-36. PMID: 11351179.
191. Ronzoni A, Artioli D, Scardina R, et al. Role of MDCT in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007 Oct;189(4):792-8. PMID: 17885047.
192. Sangiovanni A, Manini MA, Iavarone M, et al. The diagnostic and economic impact of contrast imaging techniques in the diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis. *Gut.* 2010 May;59(5):638-44. PMID: 19951909.
193. Sano K, Ichikawa T, Motosugi U, et al. Imaging study of early hepatocellular carcinoma: usefulness of gadoteric acid-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology.* 2011 Dec;261(3):834-44. PMID: 21998047.
194. Schima W, Hammerstingl R, Catalano C, et al. Quadruple-phase MDCT of the liver in patients with suspected hepatocellular carcinoma: Effect of contrast material flow rate. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006;186(6):1571-9. PMID: 16714645.
195. Sevil M, Obuz F, Altay C, et al. The role of dynamic subtraction MRI in detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Diagn Interv Radiol.* 2008 Dec;14(4):200-4. PMID: 19061165.
196. Seitz K, Strobel D, Bernatik T, et al. Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS) for the characterization of focal liver lesions - prospective comparison in clinical practice: CEUS vs. CT (DEGUM multicenter trial). Parts of this manuscript were presented at the Ultrasound Dreilandertreffen 2008, Davos. *Ultraschall Med.* 2009 Aug;30(4):383-9. PMID: 19688670.
197. Seitz K, Bernatik T, Strobel D, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) for the characterization of focal liver lesions in clinical practice (DEGUM Multicenter Trial): CEUS vs. MRI--a prospective comparison in 269 patients. *Ultraschall Med.* 2010 Oct;31(5):492-9. PMID: 20652854.

198. Serste T, Barrau V, Ozanne V, et al. Accuracy and disagreement of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules: role of biopsy. *Hepatology*. 2012 Mar;55(3):800-6. PMID: 22006503.
199. Shah SA, Tan JC, McGilvray ID, et al. Accuracy of staging as a predictor for recurrence after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transplantation*. 2006 Jun 27;81(12):1633-9. PMID: 16794527.
200. Simon G, Link TM, Wortler K, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of Gd-DTPA- and ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging. *Eur Radiol*. 2005 May;15(5):895-903. PMID: 15800773.
201. Singh P, Erickson RA, Mukhopadhyay P, et al. EUS for detection of the hepatocellular carcinoma: results of a prospective study. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2007 Aug;66(2):265-73. PMID: 17543307.
202. Sofue K, Tsurusaki M, Kawasaki R, et al. Evaluation of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver: comparison of different concentrations of contrast material with multi-detector row helical CT--a prospective randomized study. *Eur J Radiol*. 2011 Dec;80(3):e237-42. PMID: 21067880.
203. Sørensen M, Frisch K, Bender D, et al. The potential use of 2-[<sup>18</sup>F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-galactose as a PET/CT tracer for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2011;38(9):1723-31. PMID: 21553087.
204. Strobel D, Raeker S, Martus P, et al. Phase inversion harmonic imaging versus contrast-enhanced power Doppler sonography for the characterization of focal liver lesions. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2003 Jan;18(1):63-72. PMID: 12458384.
205. Sugimoto K, Moriyasu F, Saito K, et al. Comparison of Kupffer-phase Sonazoid-enhanced sonography and hepatobiliary-phase gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma and correlation with histologic grading. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2012 Apr;31(4):529-38. PMID: 22441909.
206. Sugiyama M, Sakahara H, Torizuka T, et al. 18F-FDG PET in the detection of extrahepatic metastases from hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol*. 2004;39(10):961-8.
207. Suh YJ, Kim M-J, Choi J-Y, et al. Differentiation of hepatic hyperintense lesions seen on gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011 Jul;197(1):W44-52. PMID: 21700994.
208. Sun HY, Lee JM, Shin CI, et al. Gadoxetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for differentiating small hepatocellular carcinomas (< or =2 cm in diameter) from arterial enhancing pseudolesions: special emphasis on hepatobiliary phase imaging. *Investigative Radiology*. 2010 Feb;45(2):96-103. PMID: 20057319.
209. Sun L, Guan YS, Pan WM, et al. Metabolic restaging of hepatocellular carcinoma using whole-body F-FDG PET/CT. *World J Hepatol*. 2009 Oct 31;1(1):90-7. PMID: 21160970.
210. Suzuki S, Iijima H, Moriyasu F, et al. Differential diagnosis of hepatic nodules using delayed parenchymal phase imaging of levovist contrast ultrasound: comparative study with SPIO-MRI. *Hepatol Res*. 2004 Jun;29(2):122-6. PMID: 15163434.
211. Talbot J, Fartoux L, Balogova S, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma with PET/CT: a prospective comparison of <sup>18</sup>F-fluorocholine and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG in patients with cirrhosis or chronic liver disease. *J Nucl Med*. 2010 Nov;51(11):1699-706. PMID: 20956466.
212. Talbot J, Gutman F, Fartoux L, et al. PET/CT in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma using [(<sup>18</sup>F)]fluorocholine: preliminary comparison with [(<sup>18</sup>F)]FDG PET/CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2006 Nov;33(11):1285-9. PMID: 16802155.
213. Tanaka O, Ito H, Yamada K, et al. Higher lesion conspicuity for SENSE dynamic MRI in detecting hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: analysis through the measurements of liver SNR and lesion-liver CNR comparison with conventional dynamic MRI. *Eur Radiol*. 2005 Dec;15(12):2427-34. PMID: 16041592.
214. Tanaka S, Ioka T, Oshikawa O, et al. Dynamic sonography of hepatic tumors. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2001 Oct;177(4):799-805. PMID: 11566675.
215. Tang Y, Yamashita Y, Arakawa A, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma arising in cirrhotic livers: comparison of gadolinium- and ferumoxides-enhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1999 Jun;172(6):1547-54. PMID: 10350287.
216. Tanimoto A, Yuasa Y, Jinzaki M, et al. Routine MR imaging protocol with breath-hold fast scans: diagnostic efficacy for focal liver lesions. *Radiat Med*. 2002 Jul-Aug;20(4):169-79. PMID: 12296432.
217. Teefey SA, Hildeboldt CC, Dehdashti F, et al. Detection of primary hepatic malignancy in liver transplant candidates: prospective comparison of CT, MR imaging, US, and PET. *Radiology*. 2003 Feb;226(2):533-42. PMID: 12563151.
218. Trojan J, Schroeder O, Raedle J, et al. Fluorine-18 FDG positron emission tomography for imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1999 Nov;94(11):3314-9. PMID: 10566736.

219. Tsurusaki M, Semelka RC, Uotani K, et al. Prospective comparison of high- and low-spatial-resolution dynamic MR imaging with sensitivity encoding (SENSE) for hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol.* 2008 Oct;18(10):2206-12. PMID: 18446347.
220. Valls C, Cos M, Figueras J, et al. Pretransplantation diagnosis and staging of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: value of dual-phase helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004 Apr;182(4):1011-7. PMID: 15039179.
221. Van Thiel DH, Yong S, Li SD, et al. The development of de novo hepatocellular carcinoma in patients on a liver transplant list: frequency, size, and assessment of current screening methods. *Liver Transpl.* 2004 May;10(5):631-7. PMID: 15108254.
222. Vandecaveye V, De Keyzer F, Verslype C, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI provides additional value to conventional dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol.* 2009 Oct;19(10):2456-66. PMID: 19440718.
223. Verhoef C, Valkema R, de Man RA, et al. Fluorine-18 FDG imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma using positron coincidence detection and single photon emission computed tomography. *Liver.* 2002 Feb;22(1):51-6. PMID: 11906619.
224. Wagnitz U, Atri M, Massey C, et al. Intraoperative ultrasound of the liver in primary and secondary hepatic malignancies: comparison with preoperative 1.5-T MRI and 64-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2011 Mar;196(3):562-8. PMID: 21343497.
225. Wang ZL, Tang J, Weskott HP, et al. Undetermined focal liver lesions on gray-scale ultrasound in patients with fatty liver: characterization with contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008 Oct;23(10):1511-9. PMID: 18713302.
226. Wolfert RM, Papillion PW, Turnage RH, et al. Role of FDG-PET in the evaluation and staging of hepatocellular carcinoma with comparison of tumor size, AFP level, and histologic grade. *Int Surg.* 2010 Jan-Mar;95(1):67-75. PMID: 20480845.
227. Wu H-b, Wang Q-s, Li B-y, et al. F-18 FDG in conjunction with 11C-choline PET/CT in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2011 Dec;36(12):1092-7. PMID: 22064078.
228. Wudel LJ, Jr., Delbeke D, Morris D, et al. The role of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography imaging in the evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am Surg.* 2003 Feb;69(2):117-24; discussion 24-6. PMID: 12641351.
229. Xiao X-g, Han X, Shan W-d, et al. Multi-slice CT angiography by triple-phase enhancement in preoperative evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chinese Medical Journal.* 2005 May 20;118(10):844-9. PMID: 15989766.
230. Xu HX, Lu MD, Liu LN, et al. Discrimination between neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions in cirrhotic liver using contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Br J Radiol.* 2012 Oct;85(1018):1376-84. PMID: 22553290.
231. Xu P-J, Yan F-H, Wang J-H, et al. Contribution of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in the characterization of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic liver. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2010 Jul;34(4):506-12. PMID: 20657216.
232. Xu P-J, Yan F-H, Wang J-H, et al. Added value of breathhold diffusion-weighted MRI in detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma lesions compared with dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI alone using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2009 Feb;29(2):341-9. PMID: 19161186.
233. Xu H-X, Xie X-Y, Lu M-D, et al. Contrast-enhanced sonography in the diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma < or = 2 cm. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2008 Jun;36(5):257-66. PMID: 18088056.
234. Yamamoto Y, Nishiyama Y, Kameyama R, et al. Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma using 11C-choline PET: Comparison with 18F-FDG PET. *J Nucl Med.* 2008 Aug;49(8):1245-8. PMID: 18632827.
235. Yamamoto K, Shiraki K, Deguchi M, et al. Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma using digital subtraction imaging with the contrast agent, Levovist: comparison with helical CT, digital subtraction angiography, and US angiography. *Oncol Rep.* 2002 Jul-Aug;9(4):789-92. PMID: 12066210.
236. Yan FH, Shen JZ, Li RC, et al. Enhancement patterns of small hepatocellular carcinoma shown by dynamic MRI and CT. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* 2002 Aug;1(3):420-4. PMID: 14607719.
237. Yoo HJ, Lee JM, Lee JY, et al. Additional value of SPIO-enhanced MR imaging for the noninvasive imaging diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver. *Investigative Radiology.* 2009 Dec;44(12):800-7. PMID: 19838119.
238. Yoon KT, Kim JK, Kim DY, et al. Role of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in detecting extrahepatic metastasis in pretreatment staging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncology.* 2007;72 Suppl 1:104-10. PMID: 18087190.
239. Yoshioka H, Takahashi N, Yamaguchi M, et al. Double arterial phase dynamic MRI with sensitivity encoding (SENSE) for hypervascul

- hepatocellular carcinomas. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2002 Sep;16(3):259-66. PMID: 12205581.
240. Yu Y, Lin X, Chen K, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma and focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver: differentiation with CT spectral imaging. *Eur Radiol*. 2013 Jun;23(6):1660-8 Epub 2013 Jan 10. PMID: 23306709.
241. Yu NC, Chaudhari V, Raman SS, et al. CT and MRI improve detection of hepatocellular carcinoma, compared with ultrasound alone, in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011 Feb;9(2):161-7. PMID: 20920597.
242. Yu JS, Chung JJ, Kim JH, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinomas: value of "washout" on gadolinium-enhanced dynamic MR imaging compared to superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced imaging. *Eur Radiol*. 2009 Nov;19(11):2614-22. PMID: 19513719.
243. Yu JS, Lee JH, Chung JJ, et al. Small hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: limited value of portal and delayed phases on dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. *Acta Radiol*. 2008 Sep;49(7):735-43. PMID: 18608015.
244. Yu JS, Kim KW, Park MS, et al. Transient peritumoral enhancement during dynamic MRI of the liver: Cavernous hemangioma versus hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2002 May-Jun;26(3):411-7. PMID: 12016371.
245. Yukisawa S, Okugawa H, Masuya Y, et al. Multidetector helical CT plus superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging for focal hepatic lesions in cirrhotic liver: a comparison with multiphase CT during hepatic arteriography. *Eur J Radiol*. 2007 Feb;61(2):279-89. PMID: 17070663.
246. Zacherl J, Pokieser P, Wrba F, et al. Accuracy of multiphasic helical computed tomography and intraoperative sonography in patients undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation for hepatoma: what is the truth? *Ann Surg*. 2002 Apr;235(4):528-32. PMID: 11923609.
247. Zhao H, Yao J-L, Wang Y, et al. Detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of dynamic enhancement magnetic resonance imaging and multiphase multirow-detector helical CT scanning. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007 Feb 28;13(8):1252-6. PMID: 17451209.
248. Zhao H, Yao JL, Han MJ, et al. Multiphase hepatic scans with multirow-detector helical CT in detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int*. 2004 May;3(2):204-8. PMID: 15138110.
249. Zhao H, Zhou K-R, Yan F-H. Role of multiphase scans by multirow-detector helical CT in detecting small hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2003 Oct;9(10):2198-201. PMID: 14562377.
250. Zheng X-H, Guan Y-S, Zhou X-P, et al. Detection of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison of multi-detector CT with digital subtraction angiography and Lipiodol CT. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2005 Jan 14;11(2):200-3. PMID: 15633215.
251. Zhou K-R, Yan F-H, Tu B-W. Arterial phase of biphasic enhancement spiral CT in diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int*. 2002 Feb;1(1):68-71. PMID: 14607626.
252. Trinchet JC, Chaffaut C, Bourcier V, et al. Ultrasonographic surveillance of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: a randomized trial comparing 3- and 6-month periodicities. *Hepatology*. 2011 Dec;54(6):1987-97. PMID: 22144108.
253. Wang JH, Chang KC, Kee KM, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance at 4- vs. 12-month intervals for patients with chronic viral hepatitis: a randomized study in community. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013 Mar;108(3):416-24. PMID: 23318478.
254. Zhang BH, Yang BH, Tang ZY. Randomized controlled trial of screening for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2004 Jul;130(7):417-22. PMID: 15042359.
255. Chen MH, Wu W, Yang W, et al. The use of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography in the selection of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma for radio frequency ablation therapy. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2007;26(8):1055-63. PMID: 17646367.